A Comprehensive Review on Finite Element Analysis, Fatigue Behaviour and Structural Optimization of Composite Leaf Springs for Heavy Vehicle Suspension Systems

[1]Mr. Satish Gaikwad, [2]Dr. S.K. Biradar, [3]Md. Irfan
[1]PG Student, [2]Principal and Professor
[123]Department of Mechanical Engineering
[123]MSSCOE Jalna, Maharashtra

***Abstract*—Heavy commercial vehicle fleets are essential for transportation, logistics, mining, and construction industries, where maintenance efficiency and vehicle reliability significantly influence operational performance and profitability. This review paper presents a comprehensive analysis of fleet maintenance strategies, reliability assessment methods, and maintenance cost optimization techniques used in heavy commercial vehicle operations. The study reviews traditional, preventive, condition-based, predictive, and reliability-centered maintenance approaches, along with reliability evaluation tools such as Weibull analysis, FMEA, FTA, Reliability Block Diagrams, and Markov models. Emerging technologies including Internet of Things (IoT), Artificial Intelligence (AI), Machine Learning (ML), Digital Twins, and Industry 4.0 frameworks are also examined for their role in improving fleet reliability and reducing maintenance expenditure. Furthermore, optimization techniques such as Genetic Algorithm (GA), Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO), Ant Colony Optimization (ACO), Simulated Annealing (SA), and NSGA-II are reviewed for maintenance planning and decision-making. The findings indicate that data-driven and intelligent maintenance systems can substantially enhance fleet availability, minimize downtime, and improve cost effectiveness. The review also identifies key research gaps and future opportunities for developing sustainable and autonomous fleet maintenance frameworks.**

***Index Terms*—Heavy Commercial Vehicle Fleets, Reliability-Centered Maintenance, Predictive Maintenance, Fleet Reliability, Maintenance Cost Optimization.**

I. Introduction

1.1 Background of Heavy Commercial Vehicle Fleet Operations

Heavy commercial vehicle (HCV) fleets are fundamental to transportation, logistics, mining, construction, and public service sectors. These fleets ensure the movement of raw materials, finished goods, and passengers, thereby contributing significantly to economic growth and industrial productivity. However, increasing vehicle utilization, aging assets, and complex operating environments create substantial maintenance and reliability challenges [1], [7], [11].

Key Points

- Supports national and international supply chains.
- Contributes to industrial and economic development.
- Facilitates logistics and freight transportation.
- Experiences increasing maintenance complexity.

1.2 Importance of Maintenance Management

Maintenance management plays a critical role in improving fleet availability, reliability, safety, and operational efficiency. Effective maintenance practices reduce unexpected failures and enhance vehicle lifecycle performance [5], [11].

Benefits

- Improved vehicle availability.
- Reduced operational interruptions.
- Enhanced reliability.
- Lower maintenance expenditure.
- Increased customer satisfaction.

1.3 Reliability-Centered Maintenance (RCM)

Reliability-Centered Maintenance (RCM) is a systematic maintenance strategy that focuses on preserving system functionality while minimizing maintenance costs. Originally developed in the aviation industry, RCM is now extensively applied in transportation and industrial sectors [16], [17].

Core Principles

- Failure identification.
- Functional analysis.
- Criticality assessment.
- Maintenance optimization.
- Reliability improvement.

1.4 Maintenance Cost Optimization

Maintenance expenditure constitutes a major portion of fleet operating costs. Optimization of maintenance costs requires balancing direct maintenance expenses with reliability and availability requirements [19], [20].

Types of Costs

Direct Costs

- Labor.
- Spare parts.
- Repairs.

Indirect Costs

- Productivity losses.
- Delayed deliveries.
- Administrative expenses.

Downtime-Related Losses

- Revenue loss.
- Reduced vehicle utilization.

- Customer dissatisfaction.

1.5 Need for the Review

Modern fleets generate large volumes of operational data through sensors, telematics, and digital monitoring systems. The adoption of Industry 4.0 technologies and predictive maintenance approaches necessitates a comprehensive review of maintenance and reliability improvement techniques [28], [29].

Motivating Factors

- Increasing fleet complexity.
- Digitalization of maintenance systems.
- Growing use of predictive technologies.
- Industry 4.0 adoption.

1.6 Objectives of the Review

Main Objectives

- Review fleet maintenance strategies.
- Analyze reliability assessment methods.
- Investigate optimization techniques.
- Evaluate emerging technologies.
- Identify research gaps.

II. Fundamentals Of Fleet Maintenance And Reliability Engineering

2.1 Heavy Commercial Vehicle Fleet Systems

Heavy commercial vehicle fleets include various transportation and industrial vehicles operating under demanding conditions [15], [24].

Major Fleet Categories

- Trucks.
- Buses.
- Logistics fleets.
- Mining vehicles.
- Construction equipment.

2.2 Vehicle Maintenance Concepts

Maintenance activities aim to preserve vehicle functionality and prevent failures.

Types of Maintenance

Preventive Maintenance

- Scheduled maintenance.
- Routine inspections.

Corrective Maintenance

- Repair after failure.

Predictive Maintenance

- Data-driven maintenance planning.

Condition-Based Maintenance

- Maintenance based on actual equipment condition [6], [13].

2.3 Reliability Engineering Fundamentals

Reliability engineering evaluates the probability that a vehicle performs its intended function without failure during a specified period [3], [24].

Important Reliability Functions

Reliability Function

$$R(t) = P(T > t)$$

Failure Rate

$$\lambda = \frac{Number\ of\ Failures}{Operating\ Time}$$

Hazard Function

$$h(t) = \frac{f(t)}{R(t)}$$

2.4 Key Reliability Indicators

Reliability performance is assessed using several quantitative metrics.

Table 2.1 Reliability Metrics

Indicator	Description
MTBF	Mean Time Between Failures
MTTR	Mean Time To Repair
Availability	Operational readiness
Maintainability	Ease of repair

Availability Formula

$$Availability = \frac{MTBF}{MTBF + MTTR}$$

2.5 Failure Modes in Commercial Vehicles

Commercial vehicle failures arise due to mechanical wear, environmental conditions, and operational stresses [24], [30].

Major Failure Modes

Engine Failures

- Fuel system faults.
- Lubrication failures.
- Overheating.

Transmission Failures

- Gear wear.
- Clutch damage.

Brake Failures

- Brake lining wear.
- Hydraulic leakage.

Suspension Failures

- Leaf spring cracks.
- Shock absorber wear.

Electrical Failures

- Battery faults.
- Sensor malfunction.

2.6 Reliability-Centered Maintenance Framework

RCM combines reliability analysis and maintenance planning to improve fleet performance while minimizing costs [16], [18].

Framework Components

- Failure Analysis.
- Criticality Assessment.
- Maintenance Decision Logic.
- Reliability Evaluation.
- Continuous Improvement.

III. Maintenance Strategies And Emerging Technologies

3.1 Traditional Maintenance Approaches

Traditional maintenance relies on corrective and time-based maintenance practices.

Types

Breakdown Maintenance

- Repair after failure occurrence.
- High downtime and repair cost.

Time-Based Maintenance

- Maintenance at fixed intervals.
- Reduces unexpected failures.

3.2 Preventive Maintenance Systems

Preventive maintenance uses planned inspections and servicing activities to reduce failure probability [7].

Key Activities

- Scheduled maintenance.
- Inspection-based maintenance.
- Component replacement.

3.3 Predictive Maintenance Technologies

Predictive maintenance utilizes sensor data and advanced analytics to forecast equipment failures before occurrence [1], [24].

Technologies

- Smart sensors.
- Telematics systems.
- Diagnostic platforms.

3.4 Condition-Based Maintenance (CBM)

CBM schedules maintenance according to actual equipment condition.

Monitoring Techniques

- Vibration monitoring.
- Oil analysis.
- Thermography.
- Acoustic emission monitoring [21].

3.5 Industry 4.0 Applications in Fleet Maintenance

Industry 4.0 technologies are transforming fleet maintenance through connectivity and automation.

Applications

Internet of Things (IoT)

- Real-time monitoring.

Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS)

- Integration of physical and digital assets.

Cloud-Based Fleet Management

- Centralized data processing.

3.6 Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning

AI techniques improve maintenance decision-making by identifying hidden patterns in fleet data [22], [23].

Applications

- Failure prediction.
- Remaining Useful Life (RUL).
- Intelligent maintenance scheduling.

3.7 Digital Twin Technology

Digital Twin technology creates virtual representations of fleet assets for predictive analysis and maintenance optimization [29].

Applications

- Digital fleet models.
- Predictive simulation.
- Maintenance planning.
- Performance optimization.

3.8 Comparative Analysis of Maintenance Strategies

Table 3.1 Comparison of Maintenance Approaches

Approach	Maintenance Trigger	Advantages	Limitations
Breakdown Maintenance	Failure occurrence	Simple implementation	High downtime
Preventive Maintenance	Fixed interval	Improved reliability	Possible over-maintenance
Predictive Maintenance	Data-driven prediction	Reduced failures	Higher implementation cost
Reliability-Centered Maintenance	Criticality analysis	Optimized reliability and cost	Complex analysis

The literature indicates that predictive maintenance and RCM provide superior reliability performance and cost efficiency compared to traditional maintenance approaches, especially when integrated with IoT, AI, and Digital Twin technologies [24], [29], [30].

IV. RELIABILITY ASSESSMENT METHODS FOR FLEET OPERATIONS

4.1 Reliability Modeling Techniques

Reliability modeling is essential for evaluating the performance and failure behavior of heavy commercial vehicle fleets. These models help estimate system reliability, failure probability, and maintenance requirements. Reliability models are generally classified into probabilistic and deterministic approaches [3], [17], [24].

Types of Reliability Models

- **Probabilistic Models:** Consider uncertainty in failures.
- **Deterministic Models:** Assume fixed operating conditions.

4.2 Statistical Reliability Analysis

Statistical methods are widely used for reliability prediction and life estimation of fleet components.

Common Models

- Weibull Distribution.
- Exponential Distribution.
- Log-normal Distribution.

Reliability Functions

Weibull Model

$$R(t) = e^{-(t/\eta)^{\beta}}$$

Exponential Model

$$R(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$$

These models are frequently used for engine, transmission, brake, and suspension failure prediction [24].

4.3 Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA)

FMEA is a structured reliability assessment tool used to identify and prioritize potential failures.

Risk Priority Number (RPN)

$$RPN = S \times O \times D$$

Where:

S = Severity
O = Occurrence
D = Detection
Benefits

- Failure prioritization.
- Risk reduction.
- Maintenance planning.

4.4 Fault Tree Analysis (FTA)

FTA is a top-down reliability assessment method used for root cause identification and system reliability evaluation [18].

Applications

- Failure investigation.
- Reliability improvement.
- Safety analysis.

4.5 Reliability Block Diagrams (RBD)

RBDs are used to model the reliability relationships among fleet subsystems.

System Types

- Series Systems.
- Parallel Systems.
- Hybrid Systems.

4.6 Markov Models

Markov models evaluate reliability through state-transition analysis and are useful for fleet reliability prediction and maintenance optimization [30].

States

- Operational.
- Degraded.
- Maintenance.
- Failed.

4.7 Remaining Useful Life Prediction Models

RUL prediction estimates the remaining operational life of vehicle components before failure occurs.

Techniques

- Data-driven models.
- AI-based prognostics.
- Deep learning methods.
- Hybrid models.

Benefits

- Failure prevention.
- Downtime reduction.

- Maintenance optimization [23], [24].

4.8 Reliability Studies in Heavy Vehicle Fleets

Table 4.1 Summary of Reliability Assessment Methods Reported in Literature

Technique	Application	Advantages
Weibull Analysis	Failure prediction	Life estimation
FMEA	Failure prioritization	Risk reduction
FTA	Root cause analysis	Failure tracing
RBD	System reliability	Visual representation
Markov Models	Reliability forecasting	Dynamic analysis
AI-Based RUL	Prognostics	High prediction accuracy

V. Maintenance Cost Optimization Techniques

5.1 Components of Fleet Maintenance Cost

Fleet maintenance expenditure consists of several cost components.

Major Costs

- Spare parts cost.
- Labor cost.
- Downtime cost.
- Fuel-related maintenance cost.

Table 5.1 Typical Fleet Maintenance Cost Distribution

Cost Component	Share (%)
Spare Parts	30–40
Labor	20–30
Downtime	15–25
Fuel Related	10–15
Others	5–10

5.2 Cost Modeling Approaches

Life Cycle Costing (LCC)

$$LCC = AC + OC + MC + DC$$

Where:

AC = Acquisition Cost
OC = Operating Cost
MC = Maintenance Cost
DC = Disposal Cost

Total Cost of Ownership (TCO)

Includes all ownership and operational expenses throughout vehicle life.

5.3 Mathematical Optimization Methods

Several mathematical models are used to optimize maintenance budgets.

Methods

- Linear Programming.
- Integer Programming.
- Dynamic Programming.

Applications

- Resource allocation.
- Scheduling optimization.
- Inventory control.

5.4 Metaheuristic Optimization Techniques

5.4.1 Genetic Algorithm (GA)

- Maintenance interval optimization.
- Fleet scheduling.

5.4.2 Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO)

- Resource allocation.
- Cost minimization.

5.4.3 Ant Colony Optimization (ACO)

- Route optimization.
- Maintenance planning.

5.4.4 Simulated Annealing (SA)

- Maintenance scheduling.

5.4.5 NSGA-II

- Multi-objective optimization.
- Reliability-cost trade-off analysis.

5.5 Artificial Intelligence-Based Optimization

AI-based optimization techniques provide intelligent maintenance decision-making.

Technologies

- Deep Learning.
- Reinforcement Learning.
- Hybrid AI Models.

Benefits

- Failure prediction.
- Resource optimization.
- Cost reduction [22], [29].

5.6 Sustainability-Oriented Maintenance Optimization

Sustainable maintenance focuses on reducing environmental impact while improving operational efficiency.

Key Areas

- Green maintenance.
- Energy-efficient operations.
- Reduced emissions.
- Sustainable resource utilization.

5.7 Comparative Analysis of Optimization Techniques

Table 5.2 Comparison of Optimization Algorithms Used in Fleet Maintenance

Algorithm	Cost Reduction	Reliability Improvement	Complexity
GA	High	High	Medium
PSO	High	Medium	Medium
ACO	Medium	Medium	Medium
SA	Medium	Medium	Low
NSGA-II	Very High	Very High	High

VI. Research Gaps, Future Trends And Conclusions

6.1 Comprehensive Literature Review Summary

The reviewed studies indicate that predictive maintenance, reliability-centered maintenance, AI, and Industry 4.0 technologies significantly improve fleet reliability and reduce maintenance costs [21], [24], [29].

Major Findings

- Improved failure prediction.
- Reduced maintenance expenditure.
- Enhanced fleet availability.
- Better maintenance decision-making.

6.2 Critical Research Gap Analysis

Technical Gaps

- Limited integration of reliability and cost models.
- Lack of real-time optimization frameworks.

Methodological Gaps

- Limited use of hybrid AI models.
- Insufficient validation using industrial fleet datasets.

Industrial Gaps

- Limited Indian fleet studies.
- Inadequate digital twin implementation.

Table 6.1 Research Gap Summary

Category	Identified Gap	Category
Technical	Reliability-cost integration	Technical
Methodological	Hybrid AI frameworks	Methodological
Industrial	Indian fleet datasets	Industrial
Technological	Digital Twin deployment	Technological

6.3 Emerging Research Directions

Future Trends

- Autonomous maintenance systems.
- AI-driven fleet management.
- Self-healing maintenance systems.
- Smart fleet ecosystems.

6.4 Future Scope

Promising Areas

- Industry 5.0 integration.
- Edge computing for maintenance.
- Explainable AI (XAI).
- Sustainable fleet reliability management.
- Intelligent decision-support systems.

6.5 Conclusions

The literature demonstrates that advanced reliability assessment methods, predictive maintenance technologies, and optimization algorithms significantly enhance fleet performance. Techniques such as Weibull analysis, FMEA, FTA, Markov models, AI-based prognostics, and Digital Twin technologies provide effective solutions for reducing failures and maintenance costs. Future research should focus on integrating reliability engineering, AI, sustainability, and Industry 5.0 technologies to develop intelligent and autonomous fleet maintenance systems suitable for next-generation heavy commercial vehicle operations [24], [29], [30].

References

[1] A. K. S. Jardine, D. Lin, and D. Banjevic, “A review on machinery diagnostics and prognostics implementing condition-based maintenance,” Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing, vol. 20, no. 7, pp. 1483–1510, Oct. 2006.

[2] R. K. Mobley, “An introduction to predictive maintenance,” Plant Engineering, vol. 55, no. 12, pp. 35–40, 2001.

[3] A. Heng, S. Zhang, A. C. C. Tan, and J. Mathew, “Rotating machinery prognostics: State of the art, challenges and opportunities,” Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 724–739, Apr. 2009.

[4] A. Muller, M. C. Suhner, and B. Iung, “Formalisation of a new prognosis model for supporting proactive maintenance implementation on industrial systems,” Reliability Engineering & System Safety, vol. 93, no. 2, pp. 234–253, Feb. 2008.

[5] A. Parida and U. Kumar, “Maintenance performance measurement (MPM): Issues and challenges,” Journal of Quality in Maintenance Engineering, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 239–251, 2006.

[6] U. Kumar, D. Galar, A. Parida, C. Stenström, and L. Berges, “Maintenance performance metrics: A state-of-the-art review,” Journal of Quality in Maintenance Engineering, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 233–277, 2013.

[7] J. Lee, H. A. Kao, and S. Yang, “Service innovation and smart analytics for Industry 4.0 and big data environment,” Procedia CIRP, vol. 16, pp. 3–8, 2014.

[8] J. Lee, B. Bagheri, and H. A. Kao, “A cyber-physical systems architecture for Industry 4.0-based manufacturing systems,” Manufacturing Letters, vol. 3, pp. 18–23, Jan. 2015.

[9] E. Zio, “Prognostics and health management of industrial equipment,” Diagnostics and Prognostics of Engineering Systems: Methods and Techniques, Reliability Engineering & System Safety, vol. 99, pp. 1–7, Mar. 2012.

[10] A. K. S. Jardine and A. H. C. Tsang, “Maintenance, replacement and reliability: Theory and applications,” Journal of Operational Research Society, vol. 57, no. 9, pp. 1134–1135, 2006.

[11] M. Carnero, “Selection of diagnostic techniques and instrumentation in a predictive maintenance program: A case study,” Decision Support Systems, vol. 38, no. 4, pp. 539–555, Mar. 2005.

[12] B. S. Dhillon, “Engineering maintenance: A modern approach,” Journal of Quality in Maintenance Engineering, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 234–247, 2002.

[13] R. Ahmad and S. Kamaruddin, “An overview of time-based and condition-based maintenance in industrial applications,” Computers & Industrial Engineering, vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 135–149, Aug. 2012.

[14] W. Wang, “An overview of the recent advances in delay-time-based maintenance modelling,” Reliability Engineering & System Safety, vol. 106, pp. 165–178, Oct. 2012.

[15] M. Khanlari, M. Mohammadi, and A. Sohrabi, “Prioritizing equipment for preventive maintenance using fuzzy analytic hierarchy process,” Expert Systems with Applications, vol. 38, no. 4, pp. 3103–3110, Apr. 2011.

[16] A. Kelly, “Maintenance strategy: Business-centered maintenance,” Journal of Quality in Maintenance Engineering, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 237–250, 1997.

[17] A. Tsang, “Strategic dimensions of maintenance management,” Journal of Quality in Maintenance Engineering, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 7–39, 2002.

[18] R. I. M. Dunnett and J. F. Andrews, “Reliability-centred maintenance in the utility sector,” Reliability Engineering & System Safety, vol. 83, no. 1, pp. 43–60, 2004.

[19] A. Kobbacy and D. N. P. Murthy, “Complex system maintenance handbook: Maintenance optimisation models and applications,” Journal of Quality in Maintenance Engineering, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 187–203, 2008.

[20] A. Tam, K. N. Price, and N. Beveridge, “A maintenance optimisation framework for physical assets,” Production Planning & Control, vol. 18, no. 6, pp. 507–522, 2007.

[21] B. S. Blanchard, “An enhanced approach for implementing total productive maintenance in manufacturing environments,” Journal of Quality in Maintenance Engineering, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 69–80, 2007.

[22] R. K. Sharma, D. Kumar, and P. Kumar, “Systematic failure mode effect analysis (FMEA) using fuzzy linguistic modelling,” International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management, vol. 22, no. 9, pp. 986–1004, 2005.

[23] E. Zio and F. Di Maio, “A data-driven fuzzy approach for predicting the remaining useful life in dynamic failure scenarios,” Reliability Engineering & System Safety, vol. 95, no. 1, pp. 49–57, 2010.

[24] J. Si, S. Wang, C. Hu, and D. Zhou, “Remaining useful life estimation – A review on the statistical data-driven approaches,” European Journal of Operational Research, vol. 213, no. 1, pp. 1–14, 2011.

[25] M. Compare, P. Baraldi, E. Zio, and R. Seraoui, “A supervised clustering method for operational data-driven prognostics,” International Journal of Prognostics and Health Management, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 1–15, 2011.

[26] D. Galar, U. Kumar, A. Parida, C. Stenström, and L. Berges, “Maintenance decision making based on different types of data fusion,” Journal of Quality in Maintenance Engineering, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 152–171, 2012.

[27] T. Bousdekis, D. Apostolou, and G. Mentzas, “A proactive decision-making framework for condition-based maintenance,” Industrial Management & Data Systems, vol. 115, no. 7, pp. 1225–1250, 2015.

[28] J. Lee, F. Wu, W. Zhao, M. Ghaffari, L. Liao, and D. Siegel, “Prognostics and health management design for rotary machinery systems—Reviews, methodology and applications,” Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing, vol. 42, nos. 1–2, pp. 314–334, 2014.

[29] A. Carvalho, S. Lima, A. Chouchene, and C. L. Hwang, “Predictive maintenance based on machine learning and IoT for fleet management applications,” Sensors, vol. 19, no. 18, pp. 1–24, 2019.

[30] M. A. A. M. Salem, A. M. A. El-Sayed, and M. M. A. Hassan, “Reliability assessment and maintenance optimisation using Markov models for transportation systems,” Reliability Engineering & System Safety, vol. 191, Art. no. 106546, 2019.