Climate Change and Zoological Shifts: Documenting Altitudinal Migration of Himalayan Wildlife Species Towards 2047

A review-based projection for conservation science, climate adaptation and Himalayan biodiversity governance

[1]Dr. Mukul Baijal, [2]Rachit Baijal
[1]Assistant Professor, [2]Director General
[1]Department of Zoology
[1]Narain College, Shikohabad, Dr. B.R.A. University, Agra, India, [2]Artha-Niti Institute for Governance, India

***Abstract*—Climate change is reshaping the thermal, hydrological, and vegetation gradients that structure Himalayan wildlife habitats. This paper reviews evidence of altitudinal migration and climate-linked range shifts among Himalayan fauna, with special attention to birds, snow leopard, red panda, pika species, Himalayan monal, and associated prey communities. The analysis combines published climate assessments, species distribution modelling studies, community science evidence, and conservation policy review to project likely zoological shifts towards 2047. The central argument is that altitudinal migration should no longer be treated as a purely seasonal behavioural phenomenon; in a warming Himalaya it is becoming an early-warning signal of habitat compression, altered trophic relations, disease exposure, genetic isolation, and new human-wildlife interface zones. By 2047, the most serious risks are expected for cold-adapted alpine specialists, narrow-range Eastern Himalayan birds, red panda populations dependent on bamboo and temperate broadleaf forests, pika guilds above the tree line, and high-altitude predator-prey systems where snow leopard, blue sheep, ibex, livestock, and expanding human land use overlap. The paper proposes a Himalayan Altitudinal Biodiversity Observatory, permanent elevational transects, climate-refugia mapping, corridor protection across full elevational gradients, community-based wildlife reporting, and legal integration of climate adaptation into protected-area management. A 2047 conservation strategy must protect not only present habitat, but also the future vertical pathways through which wildlife will attempt to survive.**

***Index Terms*—Altitudinal migration, climate change, Himalayan wildlife, snow leopard, red panda, pika, Himalayan monal, species distribution modelling, climate refugia, conservation policy.**

I. Introduction

The Himalaya is simultaneously a mountain system, a climate regulator, a biodiversity reservoir, and a civilisational water source. Its steep vertical gradients compress tropical, temperate, subalpine, alpine, nival, and trans-Himalayan ecosystems into comparatively short horizontal distances. This compression makes the region one of the most sensitive natural laboratories for documenting ecological responses to climate change. Small changes in temperature, snowfall, snowmelt timing, monsoon intensity, or vegetation structure can shift the location of viable habitat by hundreds of metres in elevation. For wildlife, this means that survival increasingly depends on the ability to track a moving climate envelope.

Altitudinal migration is generally understood as seasonal movement between lower and higher elevations, often driven by breeding requirements, snow cover, food availability, predation risk, and thermal tolerance [13]. In the Himalaya, however, the concept must be expanded. Climate change is transforming seasonal movement into longer-term distributional reorganisation. Species that historically descended in winter and ascended during breeding seasons may now encounter warmer winters, delayed or reduced snowfall, early plant phenology, drying springs, altered insect emergence, and human expansion into mid- and high-elevation areas. The result is not a simple upward march of all species, but a complex pattern of upslope expansion by some, downslope seasonal tracking by others, and habitat loss for species already near mountain summits.

The choice of 2047 as a horizon is analytically useful for India. It is close enough to be relevant for current policy cycles, protected-area planning, infrastructure regulation, community adaptation, and climate finance. It is also distant enough for cumulative ecological effects to become visible in population trends, breeding ranges, disease ecology, prey-predator interactions, and genetic connectivity. This paper therefore asks: how should India document, interpret, and govern altitudinal migration of Himalayan wildlife species towards 2047?

II. Objectives of the Study

The first objective is to synthesise evidence on climate-driven and climate-associated altitudinal shifts in Himalayan fauna. The second is to distinguish between three related but different processes: seasonal altitudinal migration, long-term elevational range shift, and climate-induced habitat suitability change. The third is to identify taxa and landscapes that require priority monitoring before 2047. The fourth is to propose an institutional and legal architecture through which India can convert scattered observations into a continuous climate-biodiversity evidence system.

The paper is structured as a review and policy-oriented projection rather than a new field survey. It uses published studies, species distribution modelling outputs, climate assessments, and conservation-policy reasoning to create an applied research framework for zoologists, protected-area managers, state forest departments, universities, and policy institutions.

III. Climate Signal in the Himalaya

The physical basis for altitudinal wildlife response is increasingly clear. Assessments of the Hindu Kush Himalayan region show elevation-dependent warming, glacier retreat, snow-cover decline, permafrost thaw, and more erratic snowfall patterns [2], [3], [4]. The Indian regional assessment notes that the Himalaya and Tibetan Plateau have experienced substantial twentieth-century warming, with faster warming after the mid-twentieth century and strong warming at high elevations [4], [19]. These changes modify the environmental boundaries that structure montane life.

For wildlife, temperature alone is not the only driver. Elevation mediates oxygen pressure, snow duration, vegetation types, insect abundance, prey availability, nesting substrates, denning sites, and human access. When warmer conditions push treelines, shrub zones, bamboo belts, insect emergence, and grassland productivity upward, faunal communities often respond indirectly through habitat and trophic change. The ecological unit of concern is therefore not a single species moving upward; it is an entire elevational web being reorganised.

The Himalayan climate signal is spatially uneven. The western Himalaya, central Himalaya, eastern Himalaya, trans-Himalayan cold deserts, and north-eastern hill systems have different rainfall regimes, seasonality, slope aspects, land-use pressures, and biodiversity profiles. Projection to 2047 must therefore avoid a single uniform conclusion. The likely pattern is a mosaic of local upslope shifts, seasonal compression, new assemblages at higher elevations, range contraction in summit specialists, and expansion of disturbance-tolerant species into previously colder zones.

IV. Conceptual Framework: From Migration to Habitat Compression

A useful 2047 framework separates five mechanisms. First, thermal tracking occurs when wildlife follows preferred temperature conditions upslope. Second, resource tracking occurs when animals follow plants, insects, seeds, fruits, prey, or carrion that shift in timing or elevation. Third, snowline response occurs when reduced snow cover changes winter access, predation risk, denning conditions, and forage. Fourth, disturbance displacement occurs when roads, tourism, grazing, hydropower, settlement, or military infrastructure compress wildlife into smaller vertical corridors. Fifth, summit squeeze occurs when cold-adapted species have no higher habitat into which they can move.

These mechanisms can operate together. For example, a high-altitude predator may track prey upslope, while prey respond to forage changes and livestock competition. A montane bird may move upslope during the breeding season because cooler microclimates remain above former ranges, but may still descend seasonally if winter food remains lower. A red panda population may be forced to higher elevations not only by warming, but by the joint movement of suitable bamboo, canopy cover, and low human disturbance. A pika population may gain small patches above current ranges but lose climate stability in lower or fragmented zones. Thus altitudinal migration should be documented as an ecosystem process, not merely a behavioural observation.

V. Evidence from Himalayan and Indian Faunal Studies

Birds provide the strongest evidence base because they are visible, widely recorded, and increasingly represented in community science datasets. A national-scale modelling study of 1091 terrestrial bird species in India projected that 66 to 73 percent of species may shift to higher elevations or northward by 2070, while 58 to 59 percent may lose parts of their ranges under RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5 scenarios [8]. Although 2070 is beyond the 2047 horizon, the direction of change is highly relevant: species richness is expected to increase above 2500 m even as lower-elevation richness declines in several regions [8]. This implies that by 2047 many higher Himalayan zones may already host novel bird assemblages.

Eastern Himalayan bird studies show that community science data can detect probable upslope responses over short time frames. Girish and Srinivasan used 13 years of eBird data to evaluate whether Eastern Himalayan birds were shifting upward, finding patterns consistent with upslope range shifts and emphasizing the need for intact habitats across whole elevational gradients [6]. This is important because it demonstrates that altitudinal response is not only a long-term model projection but can be detected using contemporary observation networks.

Seasonal elevational movement adds nuance. Menon and colleagues, analysing eBird data from 2011 to 2022, found that many Himalayan birds moved downslope in winter, while a smaller share of lower-elevation species shifted upslope; diet, thermal regime, and regional seasonality shaped the magnitude of movement [7]. This means that climate adaptation cannot be assessed only by drawing upward arrows on maps. Conservation planning must recognise both seasonal migratory pathways and long-term climate-linked range shifts.

Large mammals show more data gaps but high conservation significance. Snow leopard studies indicate that climate change may alter habitat suitability through treeline shifts, snowline movement, prey redistribution, and changing human use. A range-wide model projected a roughly 100 m upward shift in the mean elevation of snow leopard distribution by 2070 and a northwestward centroid movement, while also emphasizing the importance of protecting current habitat [9]. Earlier vulnerability assessments warned that treeline shifts could reduce Himalayan snow leopard habitat by shrinking the alpine zone [17]. For India, especially Ladakh, Himachal Pradesh, Uttarakhand, and Sikkim-Arunachal landscapes, the major 2047 concern is not only snow leopard presence but the stability of the predator-prey-livestock system.

The red panda is a particularly sensitive indicator of climate-linked altitudinal habitat change because it depends on montane forest structure, bamboo availability, and low-disturbance corridors. A study in Neora Valley National Park, West Bengal, predicted significant loss and upward shift of potential Himalayan red panda habitat under climate change [10]. By 2047, red panda conservation in the Eastern Himalaya is likely to depend on protecting elevational corridors connecting West Bengal, Sikkim, Arunachal Pradesh, Bhutan, and Nepal, along with bamboo regeneration and forest-canopy continuity.

Pikas are strong indicators of alpine climate stress because they are cold-adapted, small-bodied lagomorphs associated with high-altitude rocky and meadow ecosystems. A recent species-specific assessment of Himalayan pikas projected mixed patterns of habitat loss, gain, and stability across elevation bands, with Royle's pika showing upslope range tracking and high vulnerability relative to other species [11]. This finding is crucial: even closely related cold-adapted species may not respond uniformly. Policy must therefore avoid single-species assumptions and build guild-level monitoring.

VI. Species Evidence Matrix

Table 1 summarises representative taxa relevant for tracking altitudinal migration and climate-linked distribution change towards 2047. The matrix is not a complete checklist; it is a monitoring-priority framework derived from the literature reviewed above.

TABLE 1. REPRESENTATIVE HIMALAYAN FAUNA FOR ALTITUDINAL MIGRATION AND CLIMATE-SHIFT MONITORING

Taxon / species group	Indicative species	Observed or projected shift signal	Primary 2047 risk	Monitoring priority
High-altitude carnivores	Snow leopard	Models suggest upward elevation movement and climate-sensitive habitat change; prey and treeline shifts are critical.	Predator-prey-livestock conflict, shrinking alpine zone, fragmented refugia.	Camera traps, prey surveys, livestock overlap, snow and treeline data.
Montane forest mammals	Himalayan red panda	Potential habitats in Eastern Himalayan forests are projected to lose area and shift upward.	Loss of bamboo-canopy habitat and corridor disruption.	Bamboo phenology, canopy continuity, sign surveys, genetic connectivity.
Alpine small mammals	Royle's pika, Nubra pika, large-eared pika	Species-specific models show mixed habitat loss, gain, stability, and upslope tracking.	Summit squeeze, fragmentation, microclimate sensitivity.	Occupancy plots, microclimate loggers, eDNA, rocky meadow condition.
Galliformes	Himalayan monal and pheasants	Habitat suitability shifts under SSP scenarios; precipitation and habitat fragmentation matter.	Breeding habitat loss, tourism/grazing disturbance, isolated patches.	Acoustic surveys, lek/breeding sites, snow-cover and disturbance records.
Montane birds	Warblers, laughingthrushes, flycatchers, thrushes	Community science shows seasonal elevational movement and evidence of	Phenological mismatch, lower-elevation species replacing specialists.	eBird validation, point counts, acoustic monitoring, breeding evidence.

		upslope range responses.		
Ungulates and prey	Blue sheep, Himalayan tahr, ibex, musk deer	Expected indirect shifts via forage, snow, grazing pressure, and predator tracking.	Competition with livestock, disease transmission, altered predator pressure.	Line transects, camera traps, forage phenology, livestock vaccination data.

The matrix should be read as a starting point for field validation. Species and guild priorities should be refined by state-level surveys, community knowledge, and protected-area management needs.

VII. Projected Zoological Shifts Towards 2047

By 2047, four broad zoological patterns are probable. The first is upward expansion by lower and mid-elevation generalists. Warmer winters and changing vegetation may permit some birds, small mammals, mesocarnivores, insects, and invasive or synanthropic species to occupy elevations that were previously too cold or too snow-bound. This could increase local species richness at higher elevations, but richness increase is not the same as ecological security. It may represent ecological turnover, competition pressure, and replacement of specialised communities by generalists.

The second pattern is habitat compression for alpine specialists. Species already living near the upper ecological limit, including pikas, snow leopard prey species, high-altitude galliformes, and cold-adapted invertebrates, may face reduced area as suitable climate and vegetation belts move upward. In steep mountains, habitat area often declines with elevation; in fragmented landscapes, high-elevation refugia may be isolated by valleys, roads, settlements, or degraded grazing lands. Summit squeeze is therefore a central 2047 risk.

The third pattern is phenological mismatch. If plants flower earlier, insects emerge earlier, snow melts sooner, or bamboo phenology changes, then breeding, foraging, and migration schedules may become mismatched. Himalayan birds that rely on narrow seasonal windows may arrive at breeding sites after peak food availability. Carnivores may track prey movements into areas closer to livestock. Ungulates may shift calving or foraging patterns in response to snow and forage changes. Such changes may not be visible in a simple presence-absence survey, which is why phenological monitoring must accompany distribution mapping.

The fourth pattern is new human-wildlife interface zones. As wildlife moves upward and people also expand roads, tourism, horticulture, pastoral use, and hydropower into higher elevations, overlap will increase. Climate change can therefore convert remote alpine and subalpine habitats into contested shared landscapes. By 2047, conflict and conservation planning in the Himalaya will need to include not only leopard, bear, elephant, or monkey conflict in lower regions, but also high-altitude depredation, disease transmission, grazing competition, feral dog predation, and waste-linked scavenger changes.

VIII. Documentation Strategy: Himalayan Altitudinal Biodiversity Observatory

India needs a dedicated Himalayan Altitudinal Biodiversity Observatory by 2047. The proposed observatory should not replace existing institutions; it should integrate the Zoological Survey of India, Botanical Survey of India, Wildlife Institute of India, GB Pant National Institute of Himalayan Environment, state forest departments, universities, eBird and other community science platforms, remote-sensing agencies, and local communities.

The observatory should operate through permanent elevational transects from foothills to alpine zones in each major Himalayan state. Each transect should record species presence, abundance, breeding behaviour, camera-trap detections, acoustic signals, dung and hair DNA, vegetation type, snow duration, temperature, humidity, livestock pressure, tourism pressure, road proximity, fire incidence, disease events, and human-wildlife conflict. Data should be collected seasonally rather than annually because altitudinal migration is inherently seasonal.

A standardised climate-biodiversity protocol is essential. For birds, repeated point counts, acoustic monitoring, and community science validation can track distribution shifts. For mammals, camera traps, sign surveys, environmental DNA, and GPS collaring of selected species can be combined. For pikas and small mammals, plot-based occupancy surveys and microclimate loggers are needed. For red panda, bamboo phenology and canopy continuity should be monitored along with direct signs. For snow leopard and prey, predator-prey-livestock surveys should be integrated into high-altitude rangeland management.

TABLE 2. PROPOSED MONITORING ARCHITECTURE FOR A HIMALAYAN ALTITUDINAL BIODIVERSITY OBSERVATORY

Monitoring layer	Data to record	Responsible institutions	2047 policy use
Climate and cryosphere	Temperature, humidity, snowfall, snow persistence, spring flow, glacier and permafrost indicators.	IMD, universities, ICIMOD partners, state agencies.	Identify climate exposure and refuge zones.
Species occurrence	Presence, abundance, breeding, mortality, disease, conflict, seasonal arrival and departure.	Forest departments, ZSI, WII, universities, citizen networks.	Detect range shifts and conservation priorities.
Habitat and land use	Treeline, bamboo, alpine meadows, forest degradation, roads, tourism, grazing, fire.	State forest departments, remote-sensing agencies, panchayats.	Regulate infrastructure and restore corridors.
Community interface	Livestock depredation, crop damage, feral dogs, waste, grazing routes, local observations.	Eco-development committees, pastoral groups, district administration.	Reduce conflict and build adaptation finance.

This architecture would help convert isolated sightings and short-term studies into a continuous evidence system for 2047 planning.

IX. Conservation and Legislative Needs

Existing Indian wildlife law is strong in prohibiting hunting and protecting notified areas, but climate-induced movement requires additional legal imagination. The Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972 and protected-area notifications largely protect fixed boundaries. Climate change, however, shifts ecological boundaries. A species may move outside a national park, sanctuary, conservation reserve, or community reserve not because protection has failed, but because suitable habitat has moved. By 2047, conservation law must recognise dynamic habitat, climate refugia, and vertical corridors.

First, every Himalayan protected-area management plan should include a Climate and Altitudinal Migration Chapter. This chapter should map current habitat, projected 2047 habitat, seasonal movement routes, climate refugia, and conflict-prone interface zones. Second, environmental impact assessments for roads, rail, tunnels, hydropower, ropeways, tourism infrastructure, and border infrastructure should assess obstruction of elevational connectivity, not only horizontal wildlife corridors. Third, state biodiversity boards should maintain a Himalayan species shift registry that records verified changes in elevation, breeding range, seasonal arrival, disease occurrence, and conflict.

Fourth, India should create legally recognised climate-refugia conservation zones. These need not all be strict no-use areas. Some can be community reserves, conservation reserves, biodiversity heritage sites, sacred groves, high-altitude pasture agreements, or corridor conservation agreements. Fifth, compensation and insurance mechanisms for high-altitude livestock depredation should be linked with predator conservation, vaccination of livestock and dogs, improved corrals, and grazing zoning. Sixth, transboundary coordination with Nepal, Bhutan, and China is essential for red panda, snow leopard, migratory birds, and alpine rangeland species whose climate responses will not follow political boundaries.

X. Role of Community Science and Local Ecological Knowledge

A major lesson from recent bird studies is that community science can generate powerful data when carefully validated [6], [7], [8]. Himalayan citizens, forest guards, guides, porters, pastoralists, village youth, monks, school teachers, and birdwatchers can record unusual sightings, first arrival dates, breeding evidence, snowline change, wildlife mortality, invasive species, and new conflict events. However, community science should not be romanticised. It requires training, verification, metadata quality, location accuracy, ethical safeguards for threatened species, and protection against misuse of sensitive wildlife locations.

Local ecological knowledge is especially important in remote high-altitude areas where formal surveys are expensive and infrequent. Pastoralists often know shifts in grazing quality, snow persistence, wild ungulate movement, predator presence, and disease events before institutions do. Women in mountain communities often track fuelwood, fodder, water, and crop-wildlife interactions. Combining such knowledge with remote sensing and scientific protocols can create a more accurate 2047 evidence base.

XI. Discussion

The 2047 challenge is not merely to document that species are moving. It is to interpret what movement means. An upslope shift can be adaptive if suitable habitat exists, connectivity is maintained, and population size remains viable. It can be dangerous if it reflects eviction from lower habitats, compression into fragmented high-elevation patches, or movement into areas of high human pressure. A local increase in species richness can indicate ecological novelty but also biotic homogenisation. A decline in sightings may indicate true population loss, displacement beyond survey areas, observer bias, or seasonal movement. Therefore, documentation must be statistically rigorous and ecologically cautious.

The literature shows that Himalayan zoological shifts are taxon-specific. Birds provide strong evidence of elevational responsiveness, but not all birds move the same way. Snow leopard models suggest potential upward shift, but also highlight the importance of current habitat protection. Red panda models point to upward habitat displacement in specific landscapes. Pika studies show that cold-adapted mammals may experience divergent species-specific responses. Himalayan monal modelling demonstrates that habitat suitability can show both loss and gain depending on scenarios and time periods [12]. These findings collectively reject simple narratives and support adaptive, data-rich conservation planning.

The deepest governance gap is the lack of a permanent, interoperable, publicly accountable Himalayan biodiversity monitoring system. India has many excellent institutions and protected-area staff, but the data architecture remains fragmented. Towards 2047, the country needs a system that can answer practical questions: Which species have shifted upward? At what rate? Is the shift seasonal or permanent? Are breeding ranges changing? Are protected areas still covering climate refugia? Which road or hydropower projects block elevational corridors? Where will new conflict zones emerge? Which communities are bearing adaptation costs?

XII. Limitations

This paper is a review and projection, not a new field survey. It relies on published literature, model outputs, and policy synthesis. Species distribution models are sensitive to input data quality, assumptions about dispersal, climate scenarios, spatial resolution, and land-use variables. Community science datasets can contain observation bias towards accessible areas and charismatic species. Many Himalayan taxa, including reptiles, amphibians, bats, insects, freshwater organisms, and small carnivores, remain understudied. The 2047 projections should therefore be treated as planning hypotheses requiring field validation, not deterministic predictions.

Another limitation is that climate change interacts with non-climatic pressures: hunting, grazing, feral dogs, roads, hydropower, tourism, waste, fire, invasive species, and forest degradation. In many landscapes, these proximate pressures may determine whether wildlife can actually use newly suitable climatic space. A future research agenda must integrate climate models with land-use change, demographic trends, local livelihoods, and species interaction networks.

XIII. Recommendations

India should establish permanent elevational transects in all Himalayan states and Union Territories, with standardised protocols for birds, mammals, amphibians, insects, vegetation, snow cover, and microclimate. Data collection should be seasonal, repeated, geo-referenced, and open to scientific audit.

Protected-area management plans should be revised to include climate-refugia mapping, vertical corridor protection, and 2047 habitat suitability projections. Conservation should prioritise full elevational gradients rather than isolated high-altitude fragments.

A Himalayan Species Shift Registry should be created to store verified records of altitudinal migration, breeding range changes, phenological shifts, disease events, and new human-wildlife interface incidents. Sensitive species locations should be protected through restricted-access protocols.

Environmental impact assessment rules should require assessment of vertical connectivity for all major infrastructure in the Himalayan region. Linear infrastructure should include wildlife passages, slope-sensitive design, construction timing restrictions, and cumulative impact assessment across valleys.

Community science should be institutionalised through training modules for forest guards, eco-development committees, schools, colleges, birdwatching groups, pastoral communities, and tourism operators. Data quality should be improved through photographic evidence, expert review, and GPS metadata.

Climate adaptation funding should support habitat restoration, bamboo regeneration, alpine meadow protection, feral dog control, livestock vaccination, predator-proof corrals, and compensation systems in high-altitude conflict zones.

Transboundary cooperation should be strengthened for snow leopard, red panda, migratory birds, and high-altitude rangelands through shared monitoring methods and climate-refugia planning.

XIV. Conclusion

Climate change is pushing the Himalaya into a period of zoological reorganisation. Altitudinal migration and elevational range shifts are not isolated curiosities; they are biological signals of a changing mountain system. Towards 2047, India must treat Himalayan wildlife movement as a matter of ecological security, scientific documentation, and anticipatory governance.

The evidence reviewed in this paper suggests that birds, snow leopard, red panda, pikas, Himalayan monal, and associated prey communities will not respond uniformly. Some species will move upward, some will shift seasonally, some will face habitat compression, and some may temporarily gain habitat while losing long-term stability. The conservation task is therefore to protect movement, refugia, and ecological gradients before a crisis becomes irreversible.

A 2047-ready Himalayan conservation strategy requires permanent monitoring, legal recognition of climate refugia, protection of vertical corridors, integration of community science, and climate-s

XV. Acknowledgment

The authors acknowledge the contribution of Himalayan researchers, forest staff, community observers, citizen scientists, and conservation institutions whose long-term observations make climate-biodiversity research possible. No external funding is declared for this review-based paper.

References

[1] IPCC, Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Cambridge University Press, 2022.

[2] Hock, R., G. Rasul, C. Adler, et al., "High mountain areas," in IPCC Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate, 2019.

[3] P. Wester, A. Mishra, A. Mukherji, et al., Water, Ice, Society, and Ecosystems in the Hindu Kush Himalaya. Kathmandu: ICIMOD, 2023. doi: 10.53055/ICIMOD.1028.

[4] T. P. Sabin, R. Krishnan, J. V. Revadekar, et al., "Climate change over the Himalayas," in Assessment of Climate Change over the Indian Region, Springer, 2020, pp. 207-222.

[5] Y. Telwala, B. W. Brook, K. Manish, and M. K. Pandit, "Climate-induced elevational range shifts and increase in plant species richness in a Himalayan biodiversity epicentre," PLoS ONE, vol. 8, no. 2, e57103, 2013. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0057103.

[6] K. S. Girish and U. Srinivasan, "Community science data provide evidence for upward elevational range shifts by Eastern Himalayan birds," Biotropica, vol. 54, pp. 1457-1468, 2022. doi: 10.1111/btp.13133.

[7] T. Menon, V. Ramesh, and U. Srinivasan, "Himalayan birds that show the greatest elevational shifts remain within the narrowest thermal regimes," Global Ecology and Biogeography, vol. 32, pp. 2107-2120, 2023. doi: 10.1111/geb.13761.

[8] A. Deomurari, A. Sharma, D. Ghose, and R. Singh, "Projected shifts in bird distribution in India under climate change," Diversity, vol. 15, no. 3, 404, 2023. doi: 10.3390/d15030404.

[9] X. Li, Y. S. Song, and E. Gong, "Potential range shift of snow leopard in future climate change scenarios," Sustainability, vol. 14, no. 3, 1115, 2022. doi: 10.3390/su14031115.

[10] S. W. Bhutia, A. Giri, P. Gupta, and B. S. Holeyachi, "Identifying potential habitats of Himalayan red panda Ailurus fulgens in Neora Valley National Park, West Bengal, India," Journal of Threatened Taxa, vol. 15, no. 12, pp. 24345-24351, 2023. doi: 10.11609/jott.8635.15.12.24345-24351.

[11] N. Dahal, P. Chhetri, S. Kumar, et al., "Species-specific assessment of climate change vulnerability in Himalayan pikas and identification of at-risk elevational and latitudinal zones," Scientific Reports, 2026. doi: 10.1038/s41598-026-53693-z.

[12] R. Lochan, et al., "Habitat suitability and projecting distribution shifts of Himalayan monal (Lophophorus impejanus) habitats across changing landscapes of the Indian Himalayan region," Scientific Reports, 2025. doi: 10.1038/s41598-025-11729-w.

[13] A. C. Hsiung, W. A. Boyle, R. J. Cooper, and R. B. Chandler, "Altitudinal migration: ecological drivers, knowledge gaps, and conservation implications," Biological Reviews, vol. 93, pp. 2049-2070, 2018. doi: 10.1111/brv.12435.

[14] C. Parmesan and G. Yohe, "A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across natural systems," Nature, vol. 421, pp. 37-42, 2003. doi: 10.1038/nature01286.

[15] I. C. Chen, J. K. Hill, R. Ohlemuller, D. B. Roy, and C. D. Thomas, "Rapid range shifts of species associated with high levels of climate warming," Science, vol. 333, pp. 1024-1026, 2011. doi: 10.1126/science.1206432.

[16] J. L. Forrest, E. Wikramanayake, R. Shrestha, et al., "Conservation and climate change: assessing the vulnerability of snow leopard habitat to treeline shift in the Himalaya," Biological Conservation, vol. 150, no. 1, pp. 129-135, 2012. doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2012.03.001.

[17] C. M. McCain and R. K. Colwell, "Assessing the threat to montane biodiversity from discordant shifts in temperature and precipitation in a changing climate," Ecology Letters, vol. 14, pp. 1236-1245, 2011. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01695.x.

[18] R. Krishnan, J. Sanjay, C. Gnanaseelan, et al., Assessment of Climate Change over the Indian Region. Singapore: Springer, 2020.

[19] K. Manish, Y. Telwala, D. C. Nautiyal, and M. K. Pandit, "Modelling the impacts of future climate change on plant communities in the Himalaya: a case study from Eastern Himalaya, India," Modeling Earth Systems and Environment, vol. 2, 92, 2016. doi: 10.1007/s40808-016-0163-1.

[20] S. Chhetri, B. Badola, and S. Barat, "Modelling climate change impacts on distribution of Himalayan pheasants," Ecological Indicators, vol. 123, 107368, 2021. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolind.2021.107368.