Volume 2, Issue 4, Apr 2026 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19344557

CHILD CARE INSTITUTIONS AND HUMAN CAPITAL FORMATION IN DELHI: REHABILITATIVE FRAMEWORKS TOWARDS VIKSIT BHARAT 2047

[1]Arunendra Narayan, [2]Dr. Yogesh Kumar
[1]Research Scholar, [2]Professor
[1]Department of Arts, [2]Department of Arts
[1]Faculty of Humanities, Mangalayatan University, Aligarh, UP

Abstract—**Delhi's child care institutions (CCIs) shelter a significant cohort of vulnerable youths who represent future human capital. This review analyzes India's child welfare framework – including the Juvenile Justice (JJ) Act 2015 (amended 2021), the Integrated Child Protection Scheme (ICPS), and Mission Vatsalya (2021) – and recent CCI data. It also reviews studies on institutional care and trauma-informed practice. We find that while Delhi's CCIs typically meet children's basic needs (shelter, food, schooling), notable gaps persist in emotional/psychosocial support, individualized rehabilitation, vocational training, and aftercare[1][2]. To evaluate outcomes, we outline a mixed-methods study: quantitative surveys of children's education, health and psychosocial status, and qualitative interviews with caregivers and youth. Proposed outcome measures include literacy levels, nutritional/health indices, mental well-being (e.g. SDQ scores) and life skills attainment. Key recommendations are: enforce and regularly update Individual Care Plans for every child, integrate regular trauma-counseling and mental health services, align CCI education/vocational programmes with national skill policies (e.g. NEP 2020), and strengthen monitoring by authorities. These reforms align with India's Viksit Bharat 2047 vision of inclusive growth, helping CCIs become developmental platforms that nurture children as "the most vital reservoir of future human capital"[3].**

I. Introduction

India's children (≈460 million under 18) are a strategic asset for development. The Constitution (Art.15(3)) and international commitments (UNCRC, 1992) mandate special care for children. The Juvenile Justice (Care & Protection of Children) Act 2015 (amended 2021) and its 2016 Model Rules define **Child Care Institutions (CCIs)** – including children's homes, open shelters, places of safety and adoption agencies – for orphans, abandoned or abused children[4]. The JJ Act/Rules require CCIs to provide a "home away from home" environment: safe shelter, nutritious meals, clothing, healthcare, education and counseling[5]. Crucially, each child must have an **Individual Care Plan (ICP)** detailing educational, health and psychosocial goals. These legal provisions complement the Right to Education (2009), ensuring free schooling for all CCI residents.

In Delhi's urban context, effective CCIs are vital. The city's high migration and socioeconomic stress mean many street children, child laborers and youths from broken homes enter CCIs when family care is unavailable. Official data highlight the scale: in FY 2019–20, Delhi had **41 registered CCIs with 1,986**

children; by 2020–21 this was **40 CCIs and 1,500 children**[6]. Though a small fraction of Delhi's overall child population, these numbers represent a vulnerable group whose future productivity and social integration matter for the city's cohesion and economy. As experts emphasize, children are "the most vital reservoir of future human capital," and investments in their health, nutrition and education yield high economic and social returns[3]. Conversely, neglecting institutionalized children can hinder India's demographic dividend. Under the Viksit Bharat 2047 vision of inclusive growth, no child – including those in CCIs – should be left behind.

Despite robust laws, implementation gaps persist. Field reports and social audits frequently note CCIs suffer from understaffing and infrastructure shortfalls. For example, qualitative assessments found Delhi CCIs often have counselors on staff, but **no individualized mental health care plans are formulated**, and most staff beyond counselors lack any training in children's psychological needs[1]. Many children enter CCIs with trauma (loss of parents, abuse, etc.), yet emotional support is minimal. These findings mirror broader research: institutional care without trauma-informed support can produce anxiety, depression and attachment disorders in youth. In recognition of such gaps, the government launched **Mission Vatsalya (2021)** to consolidate child welfare schemes and emphasize family-based care (foster, kinship, sponsorship) with aftercare support[7][8]. However, preliminary evidence suggests on-the-ground services remain uneven, and key practices like regular ICP reviews or counseling sessions often fall short.

Given this backdrop, a systematic study of Delhi's CCIs is timely. We propose evaluating outcomes in education, health, psychosocial well-being and life skills, to see how well CCIs contribute to children's human capital formation.

Our objectives are to:

(a) assess educational achievement and cognitive skills of CCI children,

(b) evaluate their psychosocial/emotional well-being,

(c) examine their health and nutritional status,

(d) analyze life-skills and vocational training impacts, and

(e) identify challenges in transitioning out of CCIs.

The findings will clarify how CCIs align with India's developmental vision and suggest where reforms are needed to build resilient future citizens.

II. Background and Literature Review

Role of CCIs in Education and Skills. Empirical studies indicate CCIs generally secure basic education and some vocational training for children who lack family support. For instance, field research in Delhi CCIs found that over 90% of girls were enrolled in formal schools, and about 78% had completed a vocational course (e.g. computer skills, tailoring, beauty care) during their stay[9]. These programmes were linked to gains in confidence and routine management. More broadly, evaluations of Indian orphanages note that at least primary literacy is usually achieved, whereas out-of-school or street children typically would have no schooling. However, the quality and relevance of training vary. Under-resourced CCIs may offer only rudimentary life-skills (e.g. basic sewing or cooking). Child-rights advocates argue CCIs should integrate with national education reforms (like NEP 2020) to provide digital literacy and career counseling, and connect with government vocational schemes.

Psychosocial Well-being and Trauma. A dominant theme is the emotional impact of institutionalization. Many CCI residents have suffered severe early trauma (parental loss, abuse, exploitation). Without proper support, such trauma can lead to long-term mental health issues. For example, prior work highlights that institutionalized children often show high levels of anxiety, depression and attachment disorders if emotional needs are neglected[2]. Leading practice calls for **trauma-informed care** in CCIs: training caregivers to recognize trauma symptoms, providing regular counseling, and creating consistent, nurturing relationships. International evidence (e.g. Rutter 1998; UNICEF 2019) similarly shows that even well-run homes can impair social-emotional growth if children's psychological distress is ignored. Best practices include assigning consistent caregivers, regular therapeutic activities, and building a safe, child-friendly environment. A recent Delhi study found counselors present in CCIs but noted that **no individualized mental health care plans were formulated** for the children[1]. Such gaps underscore the need for CCIs to prioritize mental health. Our proposed study will include validated well-being scales and interviews to gauge emotional health and trauma coping.

Individualized Care Plans (ICPs). By law, each child in a CCI should have an ICP tailored to their educational, health and social needs. In practice, however, ICPs often become mere formalities. Several reports indicate that many CCIs produce generic or outdated care plans because of heavy caseloads and limited staff[1]. Yet each child's situation is unique (disabilities, learning needs, trauma history, etc.), calling for personalized support. Experts advocate active case management: dedicated caseworkers who review each ICP regularly, involve children in goal-setting, and coordinate with teachers, therapists and family. Evidence suggests children with strong ICPs fare better post-care. In our interviews with CCI administrators and caregivers, we will examine how ICPs are implemented in Delhi – whether they are updated, child-centered, and integrated into daily programming.

Health and Nutrition. Physical well-being is a core part of human capital. Studies of Indian CCIs report that basic healthcare (immunizations, check-ups) is usually provided and at least two meals a day are standard. For example, a Delhi CCI survey noted that homes generally maintain medical records and organize health camps. However, variations exist: some homes lack funds for regular doctor visits or special nutrition. National data suggest institutionalized children have higher malnutrition rates than average schoolchildren, reflecting resource gaps. Child-welfare experts recommend linking CCIs with government health programs (for example, regular camps with local hospitals or anganwadis) and NGOs to ensure thorough coverage. Our survey will include health questions (e.g. immunization status) and, where feasible, record children's height/weight to gauge nutrition. These data will indicate whether Delhi CCIs meet health needs consistently.

Life Skills and Transition Readiness. Beyond academics, CCIs must prepare youth for independent living. Life skills like financial literacy, hygiene, communication, and decision-making are critical. Many CCIs run life-skills workshops or clubs (often with NGO support). Evidence from Delhi shows positive signs: graduates reported confidence in daily tasks – managing money, cooking basic meals, job-hunting – after such training[10]. However, analyses caution that curricula can be limited; for instance, some homes only teach very basic trades. Experts recommend that CCIs adopt formal life-skills curricula (for example from government vocational training programs) and arrange apprenticeships or internships with local businesses. In our study, we will assess the scope of life-skills education in sampled CCIs through child surveys (self-rated skills) and caregiver interviews (what training is offered). We will also ask older youths about their preparedness for employment or further education, to gauge if CCIs are fostering practical independence.

Family- and Community-Based Alternatives. There is growing consensus that institutional care should be temporary, not the long-term norm. Both UNICEF guidelines and the JJ Act favor family-like settings whenever possible. Recent policy pushes in India reflect this: Mission Vatsalya and other schemes provide incentives for foster care, kinship care and sponsorship. For example, a recent media report notes that children in family-based care quadrupled nationwide in just two years, thanks to these incentives[7]. Research on kinship care in India shows better emotional outcomes and continuity for children than orphanage care. Accordingly, CCIs are now envisioned as short-term shelters with active plans for family tracing or foster placement. In our questionnaires, we will ask about kinship care investigations and follow-up plans for reunification. Interviews will explore how Delhi CCIs work with Child Welfare Committees to reintegrate children into families or alternative homes. This will reveal whether CCIs serve primarily as "homes" or as steps in a transition process.

Aftercare and Reintegration. Exiting a CCI is often fraught. Studies in India find many former residents lack structured aftercare – with no counseling, housing or education support – leading some to drop out of

school or even become homeless. Although the JJ Act mandates aftercare support up to age 21, in practice such services are sparse. Best-practice models emphasize aftercare homes, mentoring, and education/vocational stipends. We will examine Delhi's follow-up mechanisms by asking administrators about alumni programs and interviewing youths aged 16–18 about their post-CCI plans. These insights will show whether CCIs equip children for life outside or leave them vulnerable. Bridging the CCI-to-community gap is crucial for truly building human capital, as an unsupported youth negates much of the CCI's investment.

Implementation and Monitoring. A persistent challenge is the gap between policy and practice. National audits by bodies like the NCPCR repeatedly highlight underqualified staff, infrastructure deficits and poor record-keeping in CCIs. Even when rules exist (e.g. required staffing ratios, routine inspections by Child Welfare Committees), enforcement is uneven. For instance, the JJ Rules call for annual reviews of all CCIs by district committees, but official records of such oversight are often missing. Experts therefore urge greater transparency and community oversight – e.g. managing committees with local stakeholders, child rights clubs in homes – to improve accountability. Our study will include observations of facility conditions and, where accessible, reviews of audit reports or registers. This will help gauge how well the sampled Delhi CCIs comply with norms and identify systemic weaknesses.

Summary: In sum, the literature indicates CCIs can provide essential shelter and schooling for children who lack family care, but their developmental impact depends on targeted support. Both Indian and international studies stress the need for child-centered, trauma-sensitive practices. To organize the issues, Table 1 below outlines India's key child protection policies and noted gaps; Table 2 shows recent Delhi CCI statistics. These contextualize our proposed analysis of Delhi's CCIs as potential contributors to India's long-term human capital goals.

Key Policy/Scheme	Year	Objective	Provisions	Implementation Gaps
JJ Act (2015, Amended 2021)	2015 (2021)	Protect and rehabilitate children (CPL & CCL); establish child welfare bodies	Defines CCIs & minimum care standards (food, shelter, health, education, counseling)[5]; mandates ICPs and child rights; sets up Child Welfare Committees (CWCs)	Many CCIs remain understaffed or lack trained caregivers. ICPs are often perfunctory or outdated[1]. Enforcement of standards varies by state.

Key Policy/Scheme	Year	Objective	Provisions	Implementation Gaps
			and Juvenile Justice Boards (JJBs); details adoption, foster care, sponsorship pathways.	
ICPS (2009)	2009	Strengthen child protection infrastructure nationwide	Central grants to States for CCIs, CWCs, JJBs; funds for ChildLine (1098), adoption services, sponsorships; training for personnel.	State-level utilization varies; gaps in CCI capacity and monitoring persist; data collection is inconsistent.
Mission Vatsalya	2021	Consolidate schemes; emphasize family-based care and vulnerable children	Continues ICPS aims; adds dedicated funding for foster/kinship care, sponsorship, aftercare; focuses on prevention (ChildLine, outreach)[7].	Early rollout has limited grassroots awareness; some components (e.g. aftercare homes) are underdeveloped; many CCIs have been slow to adopt more child-centric practices.
JJ (Model) Rules (CCI Guidelines)	2016	Standardize CCI operations	Specifies norms for facilities, staffing ratios, education/vocational programs, health services, counseling and recreation; requires detailed record-keeping and periodic reviews.	Compliance is patchy: many CCIs do not meet infrastructure or staffing norms. Oversight mechanisms (e.g. CWC/JJB inspections) are inconsistently applied, with many inspections unrecorded.

Table 2: Delhi CCIs – Registered Homes and Children (Mission Vatsalya data)

Year	Registered CCIs	Children in CCIs[6]	Notes
2019–20	41	1,986	Data from Ministry of WCD report

2020–21	40	1,500	
2021–22	*Unspecified*	*Unspecified*	(Latest public data pending)
2022–23	*Unspecified*	*Unspecified*	

(Source: Ministry of Women & Child Development/Delhi WCD)

III. Methods

We propose a **cross-sectional mixed-methods** design to evaluate outcomes for children in Delhi's CCIs. This will combine (a) a structured quantitative survey of children's indicators, and (b) qualitative interviews with staff and youths. This triangulation allows numerical measurement of outcomes alongside in-depth context.

3.1 Quantitative Component

1 **Sampling:** We will purposively select 4–5 CCIs in Delhi to cover diversity (e.g. a government-run boys' home, an NGO girls' home, an open shelter, etc.). Assuming ~25 children per CCI, we aim to survey about 80 children, sampling 3–4 children per home across age and gender groups. This sample size balances logistical feasibility with meaningful coverage.

2 **Measures:** A structured questionnaire (Hindi/English) will capture:

3 *Education:* Current school enrollment status, highest grade completed, basic literacy/numeracy assessment (age-appropriate reading or arithmetic tasks).

4 *Health/Nutrition:* Self-reported general health; record of recent illnesses; anthropometry if feasible (height/weight to calculate BMI or nutritional status relative to age).

5 *Psychosocial Well-being:* A standardized instrument such as the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) to measure emotional and behavioral health.

6 *Life Skills:* Self-rated competencies (e.g. managing money, digital literacy, household skills) using a simple scale.

7 **Data Analysis:** We will compute descriptive statistics (means, frequencies) for all outcomes. Bivariate analyses (t-tests, chi-square) will explore factors related to better outcomes (e.g. comparing children who received counseling versus not). If the sample permits, multivariate regression can identify predictors (e.g. does the presence of vocational training or a counselor in the CCI predict higher well-being scores?). We will account for clustering by CCI in all analyses.

3.2 Qualitative Component

i- Participants: We will conduct semi-structured interviews with:

(1) **Caregivers/Counselors** in each CCI (n≈10–15), asking about daily practices, training and challenges they face.

(2) **CCI Administrators/Directors** (n≈5) to discuss policies, resources and links with government/NGO programs.

(3) **Youth case studies** – purposively selected older children (ages 14–18, n≈8–10) who can articulate their experiences of CCI life and aspirations.

ii- Topics: Interview guides will explore perceptions of child development services and gaps. Topics include the child's education and skill development, emotional support provided (trauma counseling, recreation), use of Individual Care Plans, health and nutrition practices, family involvement, and readiness for the future. We will probe how CCIs view their role (custodial vs. rehabilitative) and what reforms they believe are needed.

iii- Data Analysis: Interviews will be audio-recorded, transcribed and coded thematically. We will use qualitative coding software to identify recurrent themes across informants, such as barriers to implementing ICPs or children's attitudes toward life skills training. Themes from caregivers/administrators will be compared with youths' perspectives. We will then triangulate qualitative insights with survey findings. For instance, if many children report stress, interviews may reveal whether counseling is absent or ineffective.

3.3 Ethical Considerations

Working with vulnerable minors requires strict ethics. We will obtain Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval. Key safeguards include:

1. **Informed Consent:** Written consent from each child's legal guardian (generally the Child Welfare Committee or CCI Director) and assent from the child.
2. **Confidentiality:** All data will be anonymized; names or identifying details will be omitted. Child responses will not be shown to their caregivers.
3. **Minimizing Harm:** Interviews with children will be conducted sensitively by trained researchers. A counselor will be on hand if any child becomes distressed discussing personal issues. Participation is voluntary and refusal will not affect the child's care.
4. All procedures will align with the JJ Rules and UN CRC guidelines on research with children.

3.4 Data Sources and Instruments

In addition to primary data, we will review secondary sources: official documents (text of the JJ Act, Mission Vatsalya guidelines), Delhi WCD statistics, and existing NGO reports on CCIs. The child survey

will use standardized measures (e.g. SDQ, BMI percentile charts). The caregiver survey may adapt parts of existing CCI evaluation tools. We will pilot-test instruments for clarity and cultural fit in a small group before full rollout.

IV. Discussion (Anticipated)

We anticipate discussing how Delhi's CCIs measure up against their rehabilitative mandate. Based on literature, likely findings include:

i) **Education and Skills:** Most children will be in school, reflecting Delhi data (e.g. Dutta found >90% girls in CCIs attended school[9]). Survey results may show high basic literacy. Many may have completed vocational courses; Dutta (2017) found ~78% of girls did so[9]. We will analyze the quality of these programs – do administrators describe updated curricula (digital, market-linked skills)? If not, we will note the need for curriculum enhancement. We expect to find that CCIs do provide some schooling and life-skills, but may lack modern tools (e.g. computers, internet) or career counseling. This would echo findings that institutional education is variable and often minimalistic. We will also examine if those who underwent vocational training report higher self-efficacy.

ii) **Psychosocial Care:** We anticipate that the psychosocial support will emerge as a weak area. The survey may show elevated SDQ scores (indicating distress) for many children, especially those with abuse histories. Caregivers' interviews may reveal limited counseling: indeed, prior work found that Delhi CCIs had counselors but still no individualized mental health plans[1]. We may confirm this: children might report receiving only group counseling or none at all. Administrators may cite lack of funds or trained staff as reasons. If so, we will compare this gap with best-practice trauma-informed models[2] and emphasize the need for systematic counseling and caregiver training.

iii) **Health and Nutrition:** We expect basic health coverage to be present (e.g. immunizations done, illnesses treated). Survey data may show relatively low acute illness rates if medical check-ups occur, but anthropometrics might reveal some malnutrition. If any children are underweight or stunted compared to norms, we will flag nutritional insufficiency. Administrators might mention tie-ups with local hospitals; if not, this would highlight a need for regular medical camps or partnerships. This will be contrasted with national data showing institutional children often have worse nutrition than peers.

iv) **Life Skills and Readiness:** Many children might feel capable of daily tasks (the Delhi study reported ~66% confident in self-care and budget management[10]). We will measure this directly. If children rate themselves high on household skills but low on modern skills (e.g. digital literacy or vocational readiness), this suggests a gap. Interviews might reveal which

life-skills are taught – likely basic hygiene, cooking – but perhaps not financial planning or communication skills. We will discuss aligning life skills training with formal programs like JAN SHIKSHAN or NSDC.

v) **Aftercare and Reintegration:** Older youths may report anxiety about leaving the CCI. We might find that few have concrete plans, indicating poor aftercare. If any administrators mention aftercare homes or sponsorship programmes, we will detail those, but we anticipate many CCIs lack structured follow-up. This would mirror reports that without aftercare, CCI graduates often drop out of education or face homelessness. Emphasizing this, we will highlight the policy vision (JJ Act up to age 21 support) versus practice reality.

vi) **Policy Gaps:** We will synthesize findings to identify critical gaps. For instance, if ICPs were found in name only, we will emphasize the literature's call for fully implemented ICPs. If CCIs lack community linkage, we will point to the global shift to non-institutional care[7]. Any disconnect between laws (like JJ Act mandates) and observed practice will be discussed as an implementation failure. We will relate our findings back to the broader developmental context: how can CCIs best transform a vulnerable child into a confident, skilled citizen? This will involve citing our literature (e.g. confirming Dutta's findings on education[9] or highlighting the persistent psychosocial issues noted by experts[2]).

We will acknowledge limitations: our cross-sectional design cannot prove causation, and findings from 4–5 CCIs may not generalize across all of Delhi. However, by combining surveys with deep interviews, we aim for a rich understanding. Ultimately, we aim to present CCIs as supportive yet improvable – capable of housing and educating children who would otherwise be unserved, but in need of targeted reforms to fulfill their rehabilitative mission.

V. Policy Implications

Our findings will guide practical reforms for Delhi (and by extension other Indian urban centers). Specific recommendations include:

i) **Individual Care Plans:** Enforce JJ Act rules that **every child's ICP be created and reviewed regularly**. CWCs and CCI staff should be held accountable for up-to-date ICPs, perhaps via digital records. Training CCI staff on how to develop and use ICPs will ensure children's unique needs are addressed.

ii) **Trauma Counseling:** Integrate routine psychological services. Each CCI should have at least one trained child counselor (or regular visits by a psychologist/psychiatrist). Implement standard mental health screening on admission and periodically. This aligns with "trauma-informed care"

principles[2]. The government could fund counselor positions or tie-up CCIs with local mental health institutions.

iii) **Enhanced Education/Vocational Training:** Update curricula in CCIs. For example, connect with the National Skill Development Mission to offer recognized certificates (e.g. ITI courses, digital literacy) rather than informal activities. Ensure all school-age children are in formal schools or bridge programs as per RTE. Encourage use of digital learning tools (with government support like PM eVIDYA).

iv) **Life Skills and Aftercare Programs:** Adopt formal life-skills curricula (e.g. those from NIPCCD or NGOs). Pair youths with mentors or apprenticeships as they near leaving age. Expand foster care, sponsorship and aftercare grants under Mission Vatsalya, and publicize these schemes widely among CCIs. For instance, assigning a caseworker to each youth for 1–2 years after exit could be piloted.

v) **Monitoring and Accountability:** Strengthen oversight: ensure CWCs document regular inspections, and outcomes (education, health, vocational achievements) are reported. Establish a state-level CCI management committee involving civil society and former residents, to review conditions. Collect and publish CCI statistics annually (building on the Minister’s reports) to track progress.

These reforms should be communicated as part of Delhi’s contribution to child development targets (e.g. SDGs, Vision 2047). Demonstrating that even children in institutions are receiving world-class support will underscore India’s commitment to inclusive growth.

VI. Conclusion

Delhi’s CCIs sit at the nexus of child welfare and human capital formation. Legally they are obliged to be “homes away from home,” but practice gaps can limit their impact. Our proposed study – grounded in both data and human stories – aims to rigorously assess how well CCIs are preparing children to thrive. We will identify strengths (e.g. high school enrollment, life skills taught) and weaknesses (e.g. weak psychosocial support, poor aftercare), and translate these into policy directives. Ultimately, the goal is to ensure that institutional care becomes a stepping stone, not a trap: equipping vulnerable children with education, resilience and skills so they can become empowered, contributing citizens in a Viksit Bharat 2047 society.

References

1. Patra, B.N., & Gupta, P. (2016). *Gaps Analysis in Mental Health Care Services in Child Care Institutions: A Delhi-based Study*. (Report, AIIMS/NCPCR, Delhi).
2. Choudhury, A. (2020). "Trauma-Informed Care in the Childcare and Family Placement Process: Miracle Foundation's Approach within Alternative Care in India." *Institutionalised Children Explorations and Beyond*, 7(1): 65–73.
3. UNICEF India (2025, Nov 20). *Prioritising Children in Policy and Budgets* (Press Release).
4. Wanglar, E. (2021). "Child Care Institutions in India: Investigating Issues and Challenges in Children's Rehabilitation and Social Integration." *Children and Youth Services Review*, 122: 105915.
5. Press Information Bureau, Ministry of WCD, Govt. of India (2022). *Status of Child Care Institutes* (Press Release, Feb 2, 2022).
6. Press Trust of India (2025, Mar 9). "India's shift from institutional to family-based childcare gaining force despite challenges." *Economic Times*.
7. Microsoft Word - Cover Page Study Report _2_.docx - https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Prashant_Gupta68/publication/318350888_Gaps_Analysis_in_Mental_Health_Care_Services_in_Child_Care_Institutions_a_Delhi_based_Study/links/596516014585157fcc5e36f8/Gaps-Analysis-in-Mental-Health-Care-Services-in-Child-Care-Institutions-a-Delhi-based-Study.pdf
8. Trauma-Informed Care in the Childcare and Family Placement Process: Miracle Foundation's Approach Within Alternative Care in India - https://miraclefoundationindia.in/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/Trauma-Informed-Care-in-the-Childcare-Miracle-Foundations-Approach-Within-Alternative-Care-in-India.pdf
9. Prioritising Children in Policy and Budgets - https://www.unicef.org/india/press-releases/prioritising-children-policy-and-budgets
10. Child care institutions in India: Investigating issues and challenges in children's rehabilitation and social integration - ScienceDirect - https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0190740920323379
11. STATUS OF CHILD CARE INSTITUTES - https://www.pib.gov.in/Pressreleaseshare.aspx?PRID=1794729®=3&lang=2
12. India's shift from institutional to family-based childcare gaining force despite challenges - The Economic Times - https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/news/india/indias-shift-from-institutional-to-family-based-childcare-gaining-force-despite-challenges/articleshow/118817094.cms?from=mdr