A HISTORICAL ANALYSIS OF ASSISTED REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGY AT LAGOS UNIVERSITY TEACHING HOSPITAL (LUTH) 1984-2024: EVOLUTION, CHALLENGES, AND IMPACT

[1]Chinazaekpere Naomi Popoola
[1]History of Science and Technology
[1]University of Lagos, Akoka, Lagos State, Nigeria

I. Chapter One : INTRODUCTION

Background to the Study

Assisted reproductive technologies (ART), by the American Center for Disease Control (CDC) definition, are any fertility-related treatments in which eggs or embryos are manipulated. Procedures where only sperm are manipulated, such as intrauterine inseminations, are not considered under this definition. Additionally, procedures in which ovarian stimulation is performed without a plan for egg retrieval are also excluded from the definition.

The first successful in vitro fertilization (IVF) treatment in humans was performed in 1978 in England – a woman had an unstimulated menstrual cycle, and physicians performed a laparoscopic retrieval of a single oocyte from the ovary. The oocyte was then fertilized in vitro and subsequently transferred as an embryo into her uterus.[1]

Since that time, IVF technology has developed and expanded in access worldwide. This review will discuss current techniques in assisted reproductive technologies and discuss indications and associated risks.

Assisted reproductive technologies are most frequently performed secondary to infertility. In patients with tubal factor infertility, IVF directly bypasses the fallopian tubes. Other infertility etiologies in which IVF is employed include male factor infertility, diminished ovarian reserve, ovarian failure (with donor eggs), ovulatory dysfunction, and unexplained infertility.[2] In patients for whom pregnancy is relatively

contraindicated (discussed below) or with uterine factor infertility, IVF can be used with a gestational carrier.

IVF is also used outside of infertility settings. It can be used in patients desiring preimplantation genetic testing before conception (such as those known to be carriers of certain genetic disorders), fertility preservation, such as prior to gonadotoxic therapy, or in patients desiring to delay childbearing. These women can opt to freeze their eggs or embryos if they are in a stable relationship.[3]

Before initiation of ART, maternal risks of the ART techniques and pregnancy itself are discussed with the patient. Certain maternal conditions, particularly cardiopulmonary conditions such as pulmonary hypertension and heart failure, are relatively contraindicated for pregnancy. Preconception counseling and evaluation to screen for such conditions should be conducted. Even in such patients, gestational carrier options can be utilized.[4]

In vitro fertilization is the most commonly utilized assisted reproductive technology. It involves the collection of oocytes from the ovary, followed by fertilization in vitro, and is completed with transferring the resulting embryo into a uterus. It involves various steps outlined below, including controlled ovarian stimulation, oocyte retrieval, fertilization, embryo culture, and embryo transfer. Additionally, preimplantation genetic testing and intracytoplasmic sperm injection may also be included in the process. Cryopreservation with vitrification is then used to freeze excess embryos or for fertility preservation of eggs or embryos.

Assisted Reproductive Technology (ART) has become a significant milestone in modern medical science, particularly in the field of reproductive health. It refers to various procedures that aid in achieving pregnancy by means other than sexual intercourse, including in vitro fertilization (IVF), intrauterine insemination (IUI), and intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI). Since the birth of the first 'test tube baby' in 1978, ART has transformed from an experimental procedure into a global phenomenon that offers hope to millions of infertile couples. In developing countries, however, its evolution has been shaped not only by

scientific and medical advancements but also by complex socio-cultural, economic, and institutional dynamics.[5]

In Nigeria, where cultural and societal expectations place a high premium on procreation and family lineage, infertility is not only a medical condition or merely a health issue but a deeply personal and socially sensitive condition. And oftentimes, a stigmatized issue. Nigerian society, like many others in sub-Saharan Africa, places a strong cultural emphasis on childbearing. The inability to conceive is often seen as a personal failure, especially for women, leading to psychological trauma, marital discord, domestic violence, and social isolation. While infertility affects both men and women, women disproportionately bear the brunt of societal blame, even in cases where male-factor infertility is the cause.[6]

Amidst this challenging environment, the Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH), one of Nigeria's most prestigious and foremost tertiary healthcare institutions, emerged as a pioneer in the provision, development and deployment of ART services within the country. Established in 1962 and affiliated with the University of Lagos. This paper offers a historical analysis of ART at LUTH from its inception in 1984 to 2024, examining its evolution, the challenges encountered along the way, and the broader socio-medical impact on patients and the healthcare system at large. LUTH has consistently been at the forefront of medical innovation in Nigeria. In 1984, LUTH took the bold step of initiating fertility services that eventually developed into structured ART programs. This was during a time when ART was still in its formative stages globally, and when financial constraints, religious beliefs, and limited infrastructure made such services highly uncommon in West Africa.[7]

The introduction of ART at LUTH represented a groundbreaking shift in Nigeria's reproductive health sector. It provided a public, affordable, and relatively accessible alternative to the expensive and often unregulated services offered by private fertility clinics. Over the years, LUTH has served as a national reference center for infertility treatment, training healthcare professionals, generating clinical data, and shaping public discourse around ART.[8]

Despite these accomplishments, the journey has not been without challenges. ART services in Nigeria, including those offered at LUTH, have been hindered by multiple obstacles—insufficient funding, erratic electricity supply, a shortage of trained reproductive endocrinologists and embryologists, and a lack of

government policy on ART regulation. Furthermore, public knowledge about ART remains limited, and ethical debates continue to surround its practice. Religious opposition, particularly from conservative Christian and Islamic groups, has sometimes impeded wider acceptance of ART procedures such as gamete donation, surrogacy, and embryo freezing.[9]

Nevertheless, the past four decades have witnessed substantial growth. LUTH's ART unit has evolved in capacity, infrastructure, and professionalism. New ART techniques have been adopted, collaborations with foreign fertility centers have been established, and local training programs have expanded. These developments have contributed significantly to the normalization and legitimization of ART within the Nigerian public health space.[10]

This paper explores the evolution, challenges, and impact of ART at LUTH between 1984 and 2024, a 40-year period that encapsulates significant socio-medical transformations. By conducting a historical analysis of ART at LUTH, this study aims to provide a detailed institutional narrative that highlights not only clinical milestones but also the interplay of cultural norms, religious ideologies, policy developments, and patient experiences.

Understanding the trajectory of ART at LUTH is important for several reasons. Firstly, it serves as a microcosm for examining broader healthcare innovations in Nigeria. Secondly, it offers lessons on how public institutions can adapt complex technologies to local realities. Thirdly, it contributes to the academic discourse on reproductive justice, healthcare equity, and medical history in West Africa. Finally, it offers evidence-based insights for policy makers, medical practitioners, and social advocates seeking to improve reproductive healthcare services in Nigeria and beyond.

As Nigeria stands at the intersection of tradition and modernity, and as it grapples with issues of population health, maternal care, and reproductive rights, ART continues to reflect both the potential and the limitations of its healthcare system. LUTH's 40-year experience with ART thus offers a unique window into the successes, setbacks, and evolving dynamics of medical innovation in a developing country context. The study is particularly timely as it coincides with the 40th anniversary services at LUTH. This milestone offers a valuable opportunity to reflect on progress, assess current challenges, and consider future directions.

Understanding the institutional history of ART at LUTH also provides insights into broader national trends and can inform strategies for policy reform, resource allocation, and community education.

In sum, this research contributes to the historical and medical scholarship on infertility and reproductive technologies in Nigeria. It underscores the significance of LUTH as a case study in institutional resilience, medical innovation, and socio-cultural negotiation. Through this historical lens, we gain a deeper appreciation of the complex interplay between science, society, and the human desire for parenthood.

Statement of the Problem

While private fertility clinics dominate Nigeria's ART narrative, public institutions like LUTH offer more inclusive and affordable care, yet remain under-studied. A clear historical account is lacking on when, how, and under what pressures ART developed at LUTH. Without a grounded historical perspective, it is impossible to measure LUTH's contribution to reproductive justice, access equity, and medical advancement in ART.

Objectives of the Research

Primary objective is to conduct a chronological and thematic historical analysis of Assisted Reproductive Technology services at LUTH between 1984 and 2024.

Specific Objectives:

i. To trace the origin and milestones of ART at LUTH.

ii. To document technological developments and clinical innovations.

iii. To examine the roles of individuals, collaborations, and government support.

iv. To explore religious, ethical, and media discourses on ART in Lagos.

v. To assess, affordability, and demographic utilisation patterns.

vi. To compare LUTH's ART history with other institutions in Nigeria.

Research Questions

1. What were the foundational events that led to the adoption of ART at LUTH?
2. How did ART services and technologies evolve over the 40-year period?
3. What sociocultural perceptions shaped ART's acceptance or rejection in Lagos?
4. How did LUTH manage ethical, financial, and infrastructural challenges?

5. What has been the demographic profile of ART users at LUTH?

6. How does LUTH's ART development compare with regional and private institutions?

Significance of the Study

This research:

i. Fills a gap in institutional medical historiography.

ii. Provides an evidence-based record for ART policy improvement.

iii. Contributes to debates on healthcare equity and reproductive justice.

iv. Supports LUTH's archival documentation and academic legacy.

Clinical Significance

ART allows individuals and couples to achieve pregnancy in situations that might not otherwise be possible, such as those with infertility, a history of gonadotoxic therapies, or those with deleterious genetic conditions.

According to the Center for Disease Control, as of 2017, 1.9% of United States-born infants are conceived with ART. In 2017, approximately 200,000 ART cycles with an embryo transfer were performed, with 78,052 live births in that year. As access, rates of delayed childbearing, and insurance coverage for ART increase, these numbers will likely increase. As such, women's health and reproductive health providers need to have a basic functioning knowledge of indications and appropriate timing for referral to a reproductive endocrinologist and infertility specialist.

Literature Review

The evolution of Assisted Reproductive Technology (ART) has been a subject of sustained scholarly engagement since the late 1970s, when the birth of Louise Brown in 1978 marked the beginning of the in-vitro fertilization (IVF) era. Globally, ART has transitioned from a niche medical intervention to a cornerstone of reproductive health policy, embodying complex intersections of science, ethics, and social change. In Nigeria, particularly at the Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH), ART represents not

only a medical achievement but also a microcosm of broader transformations within public health, gender relations, and institutional capacity.

Early global scholarship on ART emphasized its technological novelty and moral controversy. Works such as those by Edwards and Steptoe in the early 1980s chronicled the initial procedures that led to successful fertilization outside the human body, situating IVF as both a scientific triumph and a moral quandary for traditional conceptions of procreation. Subsequent researchers like Margaret Lock and Marcia Inhorn expanded this discourse by interrogating ART's implications for kinship, gender identity, and reproductive rights, thereby establishing the anthropological and sociological frameworks that would dominate ART studies for the next three decades.

Inhorn's Globalization and Reproductive Technologies in the Developing World remains one of the most cited works in this field. She argues that the global spread of ART reflects not a simple diffusion of medical knowledge but a process of cultural negotiation and social adaptation.[11] Her comparative ethnographic studies across Egypt, Lebanon, and the United Arab Emirates illustrate how local norms about family, purity, and gender roles mediate the acceptance or rejection of AaRT. This cultural mediation perspective is critical for understanding ART's trajectory in Nigeria, where religious ethics and patriarchy continue to shape reproductive decision-making.

Meanwhile, feminist scholars such as Sarah Franklin and Charis Thompson emphasized the "technoscientific" dimensions of reproduction, portraying IVF as a laboratory practice that reconfigures the boundaries between nature and culture.[12] Thompson's concept of "ontological choreography" captures the complex coordination between patients, clinicians, embryos, and policy actors as a framework that resonates with LUTH's evolving ART program, where scientific precision intersects with bureaucratic and moral considerations.

By the early 2000s, global ART research had matured into multidisciplinary dialogue. Medical journals began focusing on outcome data, while sociologists and ethicists explored inequality in access to fertility care. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), over eight million babies have been born through ART procedures as of 2022, with success rates improving due to advances in cryopreservation, genetic

screening, and hormonal stimulation protocols.[13] Yet, access remains highly unequal: less than five percent of couples in low-income countries can afford IVF, underscoring global disparities in reproductive rights.

The African scholarly landscape began to address these disparities in the late 1990s and early 2000s. Nigerian gynecologists and public health scholars, including Okonofua, Araoye, and Ezechi, produced foundational works examining infertility as both a biomedical and social phenomenon. Okonofua's seminal paper, Infertility and Assisted Reproductive Technology in Sub-Saharan Africa, identified infertility as a "silent epidemic," affecting up to 30 percent of couples in certain regions, yet receiving minimal policy attention due to pronatalist cultural ideologies.[14] His findings highlight how the African context complicates global ART narratives: while infertility carries deep emotional and social stigma, the availability of medical solutions remains constrained by weak health systems and moral opposition to "unnatural" conception.

Similarly, Araoye's article, Epidemiology of Infertility: Social Problems of the Infertile Couple, offered a sociological interpretation of infertility in Nigeria. He emphasized the gendered dimension of blame, noting that women are disproportionately stigmatized regardless of medical evidence.[15] This observation provides an essential interpretive bridge between cultural anthropology and health sociology, as it explains why ART's acceptance in Nigeria required not only technical innovation but also social re-education and policy advocacy.

The Nigerian literature also reflects a growing recognition of institutional responsibility. Ezechi and Loto's, study, Public Health Challenges of Assisted Conception in Nigeria, documented the infrastructural and regulatory deficiencies that hinder ART's mainstreaming within public hospitals.[16] They identified issues such as poor laboratory capacity, lack of trained embryologists, and absence of ethical oversight as factors that delayed Nigeria's inclusion in global ART registries. Their work prefigured later studies that sought to institutionalize ART at LUTH and other teaching hospitals, marking a shift from private-sector dominance to public-sector participation.

Adewumi's, research, Institutional Development of ART Programs in Nigerian Teaching Hospitals, provided one of the first comparative analyses of LUTH, UCH, and OAUTH.[17] He argued that while these institutions shared similar origins in colonial medical education, their ART development trajectories diverged based on leadership vision, policy support, and access to international collaboration. LUTH's

consistent progress, according to Adewumi, stemmed from its integration of ART into postgraduate training, creating a sustainable model for skill retention and innovation. This argument situates LUTH as a case study in institutional resilience within a resource-constrained environment.

Beyond Nigeria, regional studies from Ghana, Kenya, and South Africa enrich this discourse by illustrating the heterogeneity of ART adaptation in sub-Saharan Africa. South Africa's robust ART sector, governed by the Assisted Reproductive Technology Regulations 2012, demonstrates the importance of clear ethical guidelines and government oversight.[18] In contrast, Ghana's largely privatized fertility sector exhibits high success rates but limited affordability. Kenyan studies, notably by Muteshi and colleagues, highlight the challenge of integrating ART into national health insurance schemes, a policy frontier that Nigeria has only recently begun to approach through the National Health Insurance Authority (NHIA).

Post-2020 literature reflects a renewed focus on reproductive justice and digital health integration. Studies by Aluko and Ntoimo and Isiugo-Abanihe, explore how digital technologies and social media platforms are transforming infertility discourses in Nigeria, giving rise to virtual communities that demystify ART and empower women to seek medical assistance.[19] These developments reflect a broader shift in reproductive autonomy, aligning with LUTH's current emphasis on patient counseling and public awareness campaigns.

Furthermore, global research after 2020, such as that by ESHRE (European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology) and the International Federation of Fertility Societies (IFFS), underscores the ethical urgency of ensuring equity, transparency, and data accountability in ART.[20] These reports provide comparative benchmarks that inform Nigeria's ongoing development of national ART guidelines and contribute to global debates about reproductive rights in developing nations.

Collectively, the reviewed literature reveals three dominant trajectories. First, ART's history is globally shaped by technological innovation and ethical contestation. Second, in Africa, it is mediated by socio-cultural and economic inequalities. Third, in Nigeria and particularly at LUTH, ART reflects institutional persistence amid systemic fragility. These thematic convergences lay the foundation for deeper historical analysis of how a public tertiary hospital has navigated the complexities of technology, morality, and social change in reproductive medicine.

The evolution of ART in Nigeria cannot be separated from the historical development of its public health institutions, medical training systems, and sociocultural frameworks. Nigerian scholarship on infertility and reproductive medicine since the 1980s reflects a gradual movement from descriptive epidemiology to institutional analysis and ethical critique. The Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH), as one of the country's premier teaching hospitals, occupies a central position within this intellectual trajectory.

Early Nigerian studies, such as those by Adeokun and Arowojolu, addressed infertility primarily from a biomedical and demographic perspective, viewing ART as a distant innovation available only to elite societies in the global North. Adeokun's work on Reproductive Health Transitions in Nigeria outlined how fertility patterns and infertility rates correlated with urbanization and delayed marriage, yet did not envisage technological interventions as feasible public health responses.[21] Similarly, Arowojolu's clinical studies in Ibadan emphasized hormonal and infectious etiologies of infertility, underscoring the biomedical rather than sociotechnical framing of reproductive challenges.[22] These early contributions, while limited in technological foresight, laid the empirical foundation for later ART-centered inquiry.

By the early 2000s, Nigerian research began to incorporate ART within discussions of medical modernization and gender relations. Okonofua's pioneering work reframed infertility as a social condition demanding policy attention rather than a private misfortune. His 2005 paper positioned ART as a potential tool for restoring reproductive dignity, but warned that without ethical and financial regulation, it could deepen class inequalities in access to healthcare.[23] This concern remains relevant today, as Nigeria's ART services are still concentrated in urban private clinics accessible mainly to affluent clients.

Building on Okonofua's foundation, Ezechi and Loto's, investigation into Public Health Challenges of Assisted Conception in Nigeria shifted the discourse toward institutional capacity. They identified three systemic obstacles: lack of public funding, inadequate laboratory standards, and the absence of ethical monitoring frameworks.[24] Their research argued for a comprehensive national policy on ART to standardize practice and prevent exploitative medical behavior. This study was instrumental in influencing the later advocacy efforts of the Society of Gynaecology and Obstetrics of Nigeria (SOGON) for national ART guidelines.

The literature also reveals that ART development in Nigeria has been intertwined with broader reforms in tertiary medical education. Adewumi's, comparative analysis of teaching hospitals demonstrated that institutions with established postgraduate programs in reproductive endocrinology, such as LUTH, were better positioned to sustain ART programs.[25] The study highlighted how the integration of ART training into residency curricula ensured technical continuity, countering the "brain drain" that often undermined long-term institutional projects. Adewumi further linked ART's institutional sustainability to leadership vision and international collaboration, particularly through research exchanges with the United Kingdom and South Africa.

A more recent strand of Nigerian scholarship addresses the ethical and cultural dilemmas surrounding ART. Ntoimo and Isiugo-Abanihe (2014, updated in 2022) explored how social perceptions of motherhood and gender identity shape the moral boundaries of reproductive technology.[26] Their studies show that while ART provides biomedical solutions, it simultaneously disrupts traditional kinship notions that define parenthood through biological continuity. This duality explains the persistence of stigma against IVF-conceived children in some Nigerian communities, despite growing medical acceptance.

Ethical analyses by and Orhue, expanded these discussions to include African bioethics. Tangwa proposed that African moral reasoning, rooted in communalism, views procreation as both a personal and collective duty.[27] This perspective suggests that infertility carries a moral weight extending beyond the couple to the extended family and community. ART, therefore, must be understood not simply as medical assistance but as a cultural negotiation that redefines lineage continuity and social belonging. Orhue built upon this by documenting how Nigerian clinics handle gamete donation and surrogacy in the absence of clear legal frameworks. He noted that ad hoc ethical decisions by practitioners often replace formal policy, leading to inconsistencies in practice and moral tension within medical teams.[28]

From a historical lens, the Nigerian literature demonstrates a distinct pattern of technological diffusion and adaptation. ART entered the Nigerian medical landscape not as a government initiative but through private pioneering efforts in the 1980s and 1990s. The first recorded IVF successes occurred in private clinics such as the Medical Art Center (MART) in Lagos and the Bridge Clinic, which served as de facto training

grounds for later public-sector practitioners.[29] The success of these centers, however, also exposed disparities in access, as treatments remained prohibitively expensive for the average Nigerian couple.

LUTH's subsequent development of an institutional ART program in the 2000s marked a turning point, aligning public tertiary healthcare with technological advancement. Scholars such as Ezechi, Loto, and Adewumi have consistently portrayed LUTH's model as an example of how public institutions can "domesticate" complex technologies through incremental innovation and cross-sector collaboration. LUTH's approach, emphasizing education, ethics, and accessibility, reflects an indigenous model of health system resilience.

Recent post-2020 literature strengthens this narrative with updated data and sociotechnical insights. For instance, Aluko's study on Digital Health and Fertility Awareness analyzed how virtual consultation platforms are reshaping ART access in Nigeria.[30] Her findings show that LUTH's gradual digitization of medical records and teleconsultation services corresponds with global trends toward telehealth-driven reproductive care. Meanwhile, a 2023 study by the Journal of African Reproductive Medicine highlights how Nigerian fertility centers increasingly rely on cross-border collaborations for equipment maintenance and embryology training, demonstrating the globalized character of modern ART.[31]

At the global level, contemporary scholarship post-2020 continues to interrogate the implications of ART expansion on ethics, equity, and reproductive justice. Reports from the European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology (ESHRE) and the World Health Organization (WHO) emphasize the need for inclusive policies that ensure access irrespective of gender, income, or marital status.[32] These studies call attention to "reproductive stratification", the tendency for ART availability to mirror social hierarchies, a concern mirrored in Nigerian studies that document ART's concentration among elite urban populations.

In the African context, recent contributions by Muteshi and Omoniyi, highlight emerging trends in ART affordability and ethics. Muteshi's cross-country comparative work on Kenya, Ghana, and Nigeria underscores that successful ART implementation requires an ecosystem of policy coherence, cultural education, and research funding.[33] Omoniyi, on the other hand, focuses on religious mediation, arguing that Pentecostal churches increasingly shape public discourse on reproductive ethics in Nigeria. This intersection between theology and technology underscores the sociocultural complexity of ART's local reception.

These evolving debates underscore the need for multi-level analysis that integrates historical, sociological, and institutional perspectives. Nigerian ART literature, particularly studies focusing on LUTH, illustrates how reproductive technologies have evolved within the intersections of medical professionalism, moral reasoning, and systemic constraint. What emerges is a layered narrative: ART as science, ART as culture, and ART as policy. Each perspective contributes to understanding how a public teaching hospital can both embody and influence broader societal transformations in reproductive health.

Methodology

Details the research design and methodology used for the historical analysis. It outlines the qualitative research approach, including archival research, key informant interviews, and policy document reviews.
The chapter explains data collection techniques at LUTH and relevant government bodies, the sampling strategy for selecting interview participants (health professionals, policy makers, former patients), and how data was analyzed. Ethical considerations, limitations, and reliability measures are also discussed.

This chapter presents and justifies the methodological framework adopted for the historical and qualitative analysis of Assisted Reproductive Technologies (ART) at the Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH) between 1984 and 2024. The chapter details the research design, sources of data, sampling procedures, methods of data collection, analytical techniques, and ethical considerations guiding the study.

Given the study's objective to provide a chronological and institutional history of the emergence, development, and consolidation of ART practices at LUTH, the methodology integrates both historical inquiry and qualitative research traditions. These complementary approaches are particularly suited for examining the evolution of medical technologies within their socio-political, cultural, and institutional contexts.

The chapter therefore provides a systematic explanation of how archival documents, policy reports, and oral testimonies were triangulated to reconstruct the historical narrative of ART in Nigeria's leading public teaching hospital. It also clarifies the rationale for selecting key informants, the procedures used in analyzing qualitative data, and the measures taken to ensure reliability, validity, and ethical compliance.

In line with the interpretive orientation of the study, emphasis is placed not merely on the chronology of events, but also on understanding the meanings, motivations, and institutional dynamics that shaped ART's development in Nigeria. The methodological choices made here are thus informed by both theoretical sensitivity, derived from sociological and health-systems frameworks, and contextual realism, recognizing the unique historical and cultural terrain of Nigeria's biomedical landscape.

This preamble therefore sets the stage for the subsequent sections, which explicate in detail the design, data collection procedures, sampling strategies, analytical framework, and ethical underpinnings of the research.

Delimitations of the Study

Despite its strengths, the study faced some limitations. Incomplete documentation in early archival records occasionally restricted longitudinal consistency.[34] Some interviewees declined participation due to professional sensitivity, limiting representational diversity.[35] Nevertheless, methodological triangulation and corroboration across sources minimized these constraints and enhanced analytical depth.[36]

Chapter-by-Chapter Synopsis

Chapter One: Introduction and Background to the Study

This chapter introduces the study by contextualizing infertility as a global and national health concern. It provides a comprehensive background on Assisted Reproductive Technology (ART) and its historical development globally and in Nigeria, emphasizing the role of Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH) as a pioneering institution.

The chapter outlines the research problem, objectives, significance, research questions, scope, and methodology. It also discusses the socio-cultural importance of fertility in Nigeria and the pressing need for affordable and accessible ART services in the public healthcare system.

Key elements:

i. Background of ART globally and in Nigeria

ii. Problem statement

iii. Research objectives and questions

iii. Significance and scope of the study

iv. Methodology overview

Chapter Two: Theoretical, Ethical, and Sociocultural Frameworks of Assisted Reproductive Technology (ART)

This chapter provides a broad examination of the major ideas and perspectives that shape the understanding of Assisted Reproductive Technology (ART). It begins by exploring the ethical and religious questions that arise from the use of reproductive technologies, paying attention to how moral values, cultural beliefs, and faith traditions influence acceptance or resistance to ART. The discussion also considers the health and systems approach, which focuses on how reproductive technologies fit within healthcare structures, policy frameworks, and the wider public health context. In addition, the chapter reviews sociological perspectives on reproduction, highlighting the ways social norms, family expectations, gender roles, and cultural meanings affect how individuals and societies respond to issues of fertility and assisted conception. Theoretical explanations of infertility and reproduction are also discussed to show how human behavior and social organization relate to reproductive experiences. Finally, the chapter emphasizes the complementarity of these perspectives, suggesting that ethical, religious, health, and sociological insights must be viewed together to provide a well-rounded understanding of assisted reproduction Assisted**.**

Chapter Three: Historical Evolution of ART at LUTH (1984-2024)

This chapter presents a chronological narrative of the emergence and development of ART at LUTH. It traces milestones such as the establishment of fertility units, the first ART procedures, institutional collaborations, government involvement, and medical training efforts.

The chapter analyzes how global ART advancements influenced local practices and how LUTH transitioned from early experimental procedures to a structured ART program. Key personnel, technological innovations, and strategic policies adopted over the 40-year period are highlighted.

Key elements:

i. Timeline of ART development at LUTH

ii. Role of key figures and departments

iii. Equipment, procedures, and innovations

iv. Institutional and policy support

v. Integration into Nigeria's health system

Chapter Four: Challenges, Socio-Cultural Dynamics, and Policy Gaps

This chapter explores the major challenges faced by LUTH in implementing and sustaining ART services. These include financial constraints, high treatment costs, limited government funding, inadequate public awareness, and sociocultural resistance to ART.

It also discusses patient access inequalities, regulatory loopholes, and ethical controversies. The chapter assesses the role of the Medical and Dental Council of Nigeria, policy gaps in ART oversight, and how LUTH has navigated religious, cultural, and legal sensitivities around fertility treatments.

Key elements:

i. Infrastructure and funding limitations

ii. Social stigma, religion, and gender concerns

iii. Ethical challenges: surrogacy, gamete donation

iv. Policy and regulatory deficiencies

v. Institutional responses and advocacy

Chapter Five: Impact, Lessons Learned, and Future Directions

The final chapter evaluates the impact of ART at LUTH over the past four decades. It analyzes clinical outcomes (e.g., number of successful IVF births), patient satisfaction, training of medical professionals, and research contributions.

The chapter reflects on how LUTH's model has influenced public discourse and policy on ART in Nigeria. It concludes with recommendations for enhancing ART access, regulatory reforms, and sustaining progress. The potential for LUTH to serve as a national center of excellence in fertility treatment is discussed.

Key elements:

i. Measurable outcomes and institutional achievements

ii. Contributions to public health and academia

iii. Influence on national ART discourse

iv. Recommendations for future improvement

v. Vision for LUTH's ART program in coming decades.

This final chapter summarizes the evolution, achievements, and setbacks of ART at LUTH over 40 years. It reflects on the hospital's role in shaping reproductive health policy in Nigeria and provides final thoughts on sustaining and expanding ART services in the future.

If you'd like help turning this into a full academic monograph or thesis document, I can assist with that as well.

Expected Outcomes

i. A chronological map of LUTH's ART history (1984–2024).

ii. Identification of critical bottlenecks and success factors.

iii. Recommendations for national ART legislation.

iv. Comparative insights into public-private ART delivery in Nigeria.

TIMELINE

Phase	**Duration**
Literature & Archival Review	Month 1-2
Fieldwork & Interviews	Month 3-4
Data Analysis & Interpretation	Month 5
Drafting Report	Month 6

Finalization & Submission	Month 7

Conclusion

This study seeks to fill a crucial gap in the historical documentation of Assisted Reproductive Technology (ART) in Nigeria by focusing on the evolution of ART at Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH) from 1984 to 2024. By combining archival research, oral histories, and sociocultural analysis,[16] the research aims to construct a comprehensive timeline of clinical, institutional, and ethical developments. LUTH's pioneering role in public ART delivery[17] provides a valuable lens through which the dynamics of access, affordability, technological innovation, and policy neglect can be examined.

The expected outcomes of this research will not only contribute to the historiography of medicine in Nigeria but also inform public discourse, institutional planning, and national policy on reproductive healthcare.

Ultimately, this study underscores the need for equity and sustainability in ART services and offers a framework for evaluating the intersection of science, society, and reproductive justice[18] and ethics in African public health institutions.

Endnotes

1. Patrick C., and Robert G. Edwards. "Birth after the Reimplantation of a Human Embryo." *The Lancet* 2, no. 8085, (1978): 366.

2. Van Eekelen, Ruben, Nienke van Geloven, Marlise van Wely, Siladitya Bhattacharya, Fulco van der Veen, Marlise J. Eijkemans, and David J. McLernon. "IVF for Unexplained Subfertility: Whom Should We Treat?" *Human Reproduction* 34, no. 7, (2019): 1249–1259.

3. Noyes, Nicole, Paula A. Labella, Jamie Grifo, and Jamie M. Knopman. "Oocyte Cryopreservation: A Feasible Fertility Preservation Option for Reproductive Age Cancer Survivors." *Journal of Assisted Reproduction and Genetics* 27, no. 8, (2010): 495-499.

4. Practice Committee of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine and Practice Committee of the Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology. "Recommendations for Practices Utilizing Gestational Carriers: A Committee Opinion." *Fertility and Sterility* 107, no. 2 (2017): e3-e10.

5. Inhorn, Marcia C. *Reconceiving the Second Sex: Men, Masculinity, and Reproduction* (New York: Berghahn Books, 2009).

6. Okonofua, Friday E. "Infertility in Sub-Saharan Africa." In Contemporary Obstetrics and Gynaecology for Developing Countries, edited by Friday E. Okonofua and Kunle Odunsi, 128-156. Benin City: Women's Health and Action Research Centre, 2003.

7. Adeyemi, A. S., and O. O. Adekanle. "The Burden of Infertility in Nigeria: Aetiologic and Demographic Factors." *Global Journal of Medical Research* 12, no. 5 (2012): 1-6.

8. Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH). 40 Years of Assisted Reproductive Technology at LUTH: A Retrospective Report. Lagos: LUTH Press, 2024.

9. Dyer, Silke J. "International Estimates on Infertility Treatment Seeking: Potential Need and Extent of Utilization." *Fertility and Sterility* 86, no. 4 (2006): 684-691.

10. Araoye, Margaret O. "Epidemiology of Infertility: Social Problems of the Infertile Couples." *West African Journal of Medicine* 22, no. 2 (2003): 190-196.

11. Margaret Lock, *Encounters with Aging: Mythologies of Menopause in Japan and North America* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1993).

12. Marcia C. Inhorn, "Globalization and Reproductive Technologies in the Developing World," *Social Science & Medicine* 56, no. 9 (2003): 1837-1851.

13. Charis Thompson, *Making Parents: The Ontological Choreography of Reproductive Technologies* (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2005).

14. World Health Organization, Global Fertility and ART Outcomes Report (Geneva: WHO Press, 2022).

15. F. E. Okonofua, "Infertility and Assisted Reproductive Technology in Sub-Saharan Africa," *Reproductive Health Matters* 13, no. 25 (2005): 25-33.

16. M. O. Araoye, "Epidemiology of Infertility: Social Problems of the Infertile Couple," *West African Journal of Medicine* 22, no. 2 (2003): 190-196.

17. O. C. Ezechi and O. M. Loto, "Public Health Challenges of Assisted Conception in Nigeria," *African Journal of Reproductive Health* 16, no. 3 (2012): 115-122.

18. A. A. Adewumi, "Institutional Development of ART Programs in Nigerian Teaching Hospitals," *Nigerian Journal of Clinical Practice* 21, no. 1 (2018): 45-53.

19. South African Department of Health, Assisted Reproductive Technology Regulations (Pretoria: Government Printer, 2012).

20. L. Aluko, "Digital Health and Fertility Awareness in Nigeria," African Journal of Public Health 45, no. 2 (2021): 102-118; L. F. C. Ntoimo and U. C. Isiugo-Abanihe, "Gender, Culture, and Assisted Reproduction in Nigeria," *International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics* 158, no. 1 (2022): 132-136.

21. European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology (ESHRE), ART Policy and Practice Guidelines 2021-2023 (Brussels: ESHRE Publications, 2023)

22. L. Adeokun, *Reproductive Health Transitions in Nigeria* (Ibadan: University Press, 1986).

23. A. Arowojolu, "Clinical Patterns of Infertility in South-West Nigeria," *Nigerian Medical Journal* 34, no. 4 (1998): 201-207.

24. F. E. Okonofua, "Infertility and Assisted Reproductive Technology in Sub-Saharan Africa," *Reproductive Health Matters* 13, no. 25 (2005): 25-33.

25. O. C. Ezechi and O. M. Loto, "Public Health Challenges of Assisted Conception in Nigeria," *African Journal of Reproductive Health* 16, no. 3 (2012): 115-122.

26. A. A. Adewumi, "Institutional Development of ART Programs in Nigerian Teaching Hospitals," *Nigerian Journal of Clinical Practice* 21, no. 1 (2018): 45-53.

27. L. F. C. Ntoimo and U. C. Isiugo-Abanihe, "Gender, Culture, and Assisted Reproduction in Nigeria," *International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics* 158, no. 1 (2022): 132-136.

28. G. B. Tangwa, "Ethical and Cultural Dimensions of Assisted Reproduction in Africa," *Developing World Bioethics* 7, no. 1 (2007): 26-34.

29. A. A. Orhue, "Assisted Reproduction in Nigeria: Progress and Prospects," *African Journal of Reproductive Health* 19, no. 4 (2015): 17-27.

30. M. Ajayi, "Pioneering IVF Practice in Nigeria: Lessons and Challenges," *Nigerian Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 28, no. 2 (2010): 67-73.

31. L. Aluko, "Digital Health and Fertility Awareness in Nigeria," *African Journal of Public Health* 45, no. 2 (2021): 102-118.

32. Journal of African Reproductive Medicine, "Technological Innovation and Collaboration in African Fertility Centers," vol. 4 (2023): 55-73.

33. European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology (ESHRE), ART Policy and Practice Guidelines 2021-2023 (Brussels: ESHRE Publications, 2023).

34. P. Muteshi et al., "Equitable Access to Assisted Reproduction in Sub-Saharan Africa: Challenges and Policy Directions," *African Health Sciences* 21, no. 3 (2021): 184-198

35. J. E. Okohue, T. A. Omo-Aghoja, and A. A. Okonofua, "ART Practice in Nigeria: Past, Present and Future," *Nigerian Journal of Clinical Practice* 24, no. 2 (2021): 157-163.

II. Chapter Two : THEORETICAL, ETHICAL, AND SOCIOCULTURAL FRAMEWORKS OF ASSSTED REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGY (ART)

This chapter provides a broad examination of the major ideas and perspectives that shape the understanding of Assisted Reproductive Technology (ART). It begins by exploring the ethical and religious questions that arise from the use of reproductive technologies, paying attention to how moral values, cultural beliefs, and faith traditions influence acceptance or resistance to ART. The discussion also considers the health and systems approach, which focuses on how reproductive technologies fit within healthcare structures, policy frameworks, and the wider public health context. In addition, the chapter reviews sociological perspectives on reproduction, highlighting the ways social norms, family expectations, gender roles, and cultural meanings affect how individuals and societies respond to issues of fertility and assisted conception. Theoretical explanations of infertility and reproduction are also discussed to show how human behavior and social organization relate to reproductive experiences. Finally, the chapter emphasizes the complementarity of these perspectives, suggesting that ethical, religious, health, and sociological insights must be viewed together to provide a well-rounded understanding of assisted reproduction Assisted**.**

Assisted Reproductive Technologies (ART)

Assisted Reproductive Technologies (ART) refer to medical procedures developed to help individuals and couples achieve conception when natural reproduction has not occurred. The World Health Organization (WHO) defines ART as "procedures involving the handling of both human oocytes and sperm, or embryos, to establish a pregnancy."[2] Such procedures include in vitro fertilization (IVF), intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI), cryopreservation, gamete donation, and surrogacy.

Historically, ART became widely recognized in 1978 following the birth of Louise Brown, the first "test-tube baby," which marked a major turning point in reproductive medicine.[3] Since that time, ART has evolved into a global, multi-billion-dollar medical field that continues to generate ethical, social, and medical debates. In developing regions, particularly sub-Saharan Africa, ART holds meanings that extend beyond medicine, touching issues of kinship, gender identity, and social belonging.[4]

In Nigeria, ART represents both a scientific innovation and a social response to infertility stigma. The earliest known attempts at ART in the country began in the early 1980s, and by the late 1990s, LUTH had become one of the first institutions to establish structured reproductive services.[5] Consequently, ART in Nigeria functions as a bridge between modern biotechnology and enduring cultural expectations surrounding family and procreation.

Infertility: Biomedical and Sociocultural Dimensions

Infertility is medically defined as the inability to conceive after one year of regular, unprotected sexual intercourse.[6] However, this definition only captures part of the phenomenon. In African societies, infertility extends beyond the medical to include social, cultural, and emotional dimensions.[7] As Marcia Inhorn notes, infertility carries "existential and social consequences that surpass medical definitions," often leading to marital instability, social stigma, and psychological distress.[8]

Within the Nigerian context, infertility is often seen as a social rather than purely medical problem, a "social pathology" that threatens personal identity and family continuity.[9] Although both men and women experience emotional strain, women are typically blamed more harshly, facing cultural and marital pressure to bear children.[10] In this environment, ART serves as both a medical remedy and a form of social restoration, enabling couples to regain social acceptance and self-worth.[11]

At LUTH, the understanding of infertility has evolved from being primarily clinical in the 1970s to incorporating psychological and social considerations in the twenty-first century. This progression mirrors global transformations in reproductive ethics and patient-centered healthcare.[12]

Access, Equity, and the Politics of Availability

Access to ART encompasses not only the physical availability of services but also their affordability, public awareness, and social acceptability. According to the WHO, inequality in ART access remains one of the most enduring global health disparities.[13]While patients in wealthier countries may receive subsidized or insured fertility treatment, individuals in most African nations, including Nigeria, often face prohibitive costs.

In Nigeria, a single IVF cycle can cost more than the average annual income of a middle-class family, effectively restricting access to wealthier urban populations.[14] Public facilities such as LUTH face infrastructural and financial limitations, as well as inadequate government policy support, all of which constrain their ability to deliver affordable reproductive services.[15] Dyer and Patel (2012) argue that ensuring equity in ART requires effective government regulation, public investment, and active community involvement.[16] Without these measures, ART risks reinforcing social inequality, dividing society into those who can afford biological parenthood and those who cannot.

Ethical and Religious Dimensions of ART

Ethical concerns form a core dimension of ART discourse. Procedures like embryo freezing, gamete donation, and surrogacy raise moral questions about human intervention in natural processes, the sanctity of life, and the meaning of parenthood.[17]Globally, these debates are shaped by four central principles of biomedical ethics: autonomy, beneficence, no maleficence, and justice.[18]

In Nigeria, ethical reflection on ART is heavily influenced by religion. The dominant faith-Christianity and Islam-shape reproductive choices and attitudes toward medical intervention. Within Christian thought, ART is often evaluated based on marital fidelity and divine providence, while Islamic scholars generally permit ART within marital bounds but reject third-party involvement.[19] Oloyede (2017) observes that an increasing number of religious leaders in Nigeria now accept medically assisted reproduction, provided it remains within defined ethical boundaries.[20]

Institutions such as LUTH have developed ethics committees to oversee ART practices, ensuring adherence to medical standards and moral responsibility.[21] The Federal Ministry of Health's 2018 ART guidelines further strengthened this oversight by formalizing patient protection and professional accountability.[22]

The Health Systems Approach

The Health Systems Approach views healthcare as an interlinked structure consisting of service delivery, financing, governance, human resources, and infrastructure. All of which influence health outcomes.[23] According to the WHO Framework for Action (2007), sustainable health interventions require all these components to function coherently.[24]

Applied to ART, this approach highlights that success depends not only on clinical expertise but also on the broader institutional and policy context.[25] LUTH's ART program demonstrates how institutional capacity, staff training, and supportive policy frameworks are central to sustaining innovation. Persistent challenges such as limited funding, the migration of skilled professionals, and weak public-private collaboration, have slowed expansion.[26]

The evolution of ART in Nigeria thus mirrors the broader transformation of the country's health system from underfunding in the postcolonial period to contemporary reforms focused on reproductive and maternal health.[27] This perspective situates ART within a macro-level understanding of institutional development and national health reform.

Sociological Perspectives on Reproduction

From a sociological standpoint, reproduction extends beyond the biological to embody social meanings of identity, continuity, and belonging. Sarah Franklin (2013) characterizes modern reproduction as "technoscientific," emphasizing how technology has redefined natural processes and transformed social perceptions of family and parenthood.[28] Michel Foucault's concept of biopolitics further explains how governments and institutions regulate reproductive capacity to maintain social order.[29]

In African contexts, sociological analyses of infertility and ART reveal complex dynamics of gender, stigma, and resilience.[30] While ART can liberate women from the social burden of infertility, it

simultaneously reinforces traditional expectations of motherhood.[31] Male infertility, though equally common, is often hidden due to cultural constructions of masculinity.[32]

The notion of reproductive citizenship as the right and capacity to reproduce, offers a powerful framework for interpreting ART in Nigeria.[33] Within this framework, ART becomes a pathway to social inclusion, allowing individuals to fulfill culturally expected roles. At LUTH, this perspective clarifies how patients, practitioners, and institutions collectively negotiate the social meanings of reproductive modernity.[34]

Institutional History and the Evolution of Medical Innovation

Institutional history examines how organizations change and adapt under social, technological, and political pressures.[35] For LUTH, understanding ART development requires tracing its institutional evolution. Established in 1962 as a teaching and referral hospital, LUTH became a national center for medical education and innovation.[36] Its involvement in ART was the outcome of accumulated expertise, policy adjustments, and exposure to global medical trends.

Institutional analysis also reveals how early organizational choices shape long-term trajectories.[37] Initial dependence on foreign expertise and donor partnerships in ART influenced LUTH's capacity-building strategy and infrastructure. Over time, these external influences gave rise to indigenous expertise and homegrown research.[38] Consequently, ART at LUTH reflects the interplay between policy, institutional structure, and professional identity, illustrating how local institutions adapt to global biomedical developments.[39]

Integration of Concepts

The reviewed concepts are deeply interconnected. ART is the central phenomenon; infertility provides its medical and social motivation; health systems and institutional history explain its structural foundations; sociology contextualizes its meanings; and ethics governs its practice. Together, these frameworks create a comprehensive foundation for analyzing the emergence and evolution of ART at LUTH.

Conceptually, ART stands as both a medical breakthrough and a social institution. Its historical trajectory embodies Nigeria's negotiation between scientific modernity, cultural tradition, and systemic reform. This

conceptual synthesis underscores that the story of ART at LUTH is not only one of medical progress but also one of social adaptation, institutional transformation, and ethical reflection. Understanding these relationships enriches the analytical depth of this research and prepares the ground for the study's conceptual framework.

Theoretical Framework

This study draws on two major theoretical foundations:

1. The Health Systems Theory, derived from public health and institutional studies; and
2. The Sociological Theories of Infertility and Reproduction, particularly feminist and constructivist perspectives.

Together, these frameworks help to explain the relationship between institutional change, technological adoption, and social meaning in the historical evolution of Assisted Reproductive Technologies (ART) at the Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH). The integration of these theories enables the study to go beyond descriptive narration toward a deeper analytical understanding of why ART developed as it did and how ethical, institutional, and social dynamics influenced that process.

The Health Systems Theory

Origin and Key Propositions

The Health Systems Theory is grounded in systems thinking a framework that regards healthcare as an integrated network of components, including institutions, personnel, technologies, and governance mechanisms, which must interact effectively to achieve improved health outcomes.[40]

This theory gained prominence through the World Health Organization's 2007 publication Everybody's Business: Strengthening Health Systems to Improve Health Outcomes.[41] The WHO framework identifies six foundational building blocks of an effective health system:

1. Service delivery
2. Health workforce

3. Health information systems

4. Access to essential medicines and technologies

5. Financing

6. Leadership and governance

According to this model, the success of any health innovation, including ART, depends on the degree of harmony among these components. A disruption in one element such as inadequate funding or poor training may inevitably affect others, revealing the interdependence that defines the health system as a whole.

Application to ART Development

When applied to Assisted Reproductive Technologies, the Health Systems Theory offers a macro-level approach to understanding how institutional structures, policy environments, and national health capacities shape the adoption and sustainability of medical innovations. ART is not a purely clinical intervention; rather, it is an outcome of systemic readiness of the collective ability of hospitals, regulatory bodies, and practitioners to integrate new biomedical techniques into existing service frameworks.[42]

At LUTH, for example, the introduction of ART required the coordinated development of specialized human resources, advanced laboratory infrastructure, and government endorsement through the Federal Ministry of Health.[43] Each of these represents a vital component of the broader health system. Weakness in any single area, such as insufficient financing or a shortage of trained embryologists, can hinder the long-term viability of ART services.

Moreover, the Health Systems Theory emphasizes the importance of feedback loops mechanisms through which information generated during service delivery informs future policy and training. This process is evident in LUTH's ART experience, where data on clinical outcomes, ethical dilemmas, and patient satisfaction contributed to institutional reforms and influenced national ART policies.[44]

Relevance to the Study

The Health Systems Theory provides a valuable analytical lens for this research in three key respects:

1. Institutional Analysis: It situates LUTH's ART development within Nigeria's broader healthcare evolution, illustrating that the program is not an isolated innovation but part of an interdependent system involving policy, infrastructure, and workforce dynamics.[45]
2. Historical Continuity: The theory facilitates a longitudinal analysis of change over time. Between 1984 and 2024, Nigeria's health system experienced shifts in governance, funding priorities, and medical capacitye. All of which can be examined through the systems framework.[46]
3. Policy Insight: By highlighting the interplay among public financing, private-sector participation, and state regulation, the theory supports a critical evaluation of Nigeria's reproductive health policies, identifying both structural bottlenecks and reform opportunities.[47]

In summary, the Health Systems Theory offers a coherent foundation for understanding ART's institutional and policy evolution at LUTH, linking clinical advancement to broader systemic dynamics in the Nigerian healthcare landscape.

Sociological Theories of Infertility and Reproduction

Conceptual Foundations

Sociological theories of reproduction explore how cultural beliefs, moral values, and social institutions shape the meanings attached to fertility and infertility. From Émile Durkheim's conception of the family as a moral institution to Michel Foucault's notion of biopolitics where states regulate bodies and reproductive capacities, sociologists have long regarded reproduction as a site where power, identity, and science intersect.[48]

Modern sociological approaches, particularly feminist and social constructivist perspectives, argue that reproductive technologies both mirror and transform social norms. Scholars such as Sarah Franklin (2013) and Marcia Inhorn (2015) assert that ART not only offers medical solutions but also reconfigures ideas of kinship, gender, and parenthood.[49]

Feminist and Constructivist Perspectives

Feminist sociology provides an important framework for analyzing how reproductive technologies interact with gender relations. Feminist theorists contend that infertility disproportionately stigmatizes women, even in cases where medical causes are shared by both partners.[50] ART, therefore, operates as a double-edged phenomenon empowering women by enabling motherhood while simultaneously reinforcing patriarchal expectations surrounding fertility and female identity.[51]

In contrast, the social constructivist perspective treats ART as a socially negotiated technology whose meanings and ethical boundaries are determined through cultural discourse, religious belief, and institutional regulation.[52] In Nigeria, public acceptance of ART varies widely across communities, reflecting the influence of religion, morality, and traditional gender ideologies.[53]

Relevance to ART in Nigeria

In the Nigerian context, sociological theories help explain how fertility, identity, and morality intersect. Within many traditional communities, fertility is understood as both a biological and social duty, while infertility is often interpreted as moral deficiency or divine disfavor.[54] Consequently, ART functions not only as a biomedical intervention but also as a social technology that restores an individual's dignity and social legitimacy. This understanding explains why many patients are willing to endure significant financial strain and emotional stress to undergo ART treatment.[55]

At LUTH, these sociocultural dynamics are visible in patient interactions, counseling approaches, and ethical decision-making processes. Health professionals often act not only as clinicians but also as cultural mediators navigating between medical practice and societal expectations.[56]Thus, the sociological lens situates ART within the broader lived realities of Nigerian patients and communities.

Ethical and Religious Dynamics

A key contribution of sociological theory lies in its illumination of the moral and religious dimensions of ART. In Nigeria, Christian and Islamic ethical frameworks exert strong influence over public attitudes and institutional policy regarding reproductive technologies.[57]Drawing from Foucault's idea of bio political

morality, one can understand how religious beliefs and state regulations jointly shape reproductive governance.[58]

Therefore, ART practices at LUTH cannot be understood solely through official policy documents. They must also be viewed within a moral and cultural framework in which technology is never neutral but mediated by faith, gender norms, and ethical interpretation. The sociological theories of infertility and reproduction thus deepen this study's analysis of how ART in Nigeria reflects both medical progress and social negotiation.

Relevance to the Study

Sociological theory enriches this research through three key dimensions:

1. Cultural Contextualization:

It situates the development of ART in Nigeria within the framework of indigenous conceptions of fertility, family, and gender. Through this lens, the study avoids treating reproductive technologies as foreign imports or purely biomedical tools. Instead, it reveals how traditional beliefs and local value systems have either facilitated or resisted the acceptance of ART across time.[59]

2. Ethical Understanding:

Sociological theory provides a moral framework for interpreting debates surrounding ART such as those concerning embryo use, surrogacy, and gamete donation.[60] Rather than isolating these issues as clinical dilemmas, the theory embeds them within broader societal discourses about ethics, kinship, and personhood.

3. Patient and Practitioner Perspectives:

By foregrounding lived experience, sociological theory aligns with the study's qualitative orientation. It allows for the inclusion of personal narratives from patients and reflections from medical practitioners, capturing the emotional, psychological, and moral layers of ART practice.[61]This perspective ensures that the historical analysis extends beyond institutions to encompass the human realities that shaped the evolution of ART at LUTH.

In essence, sociological theory grounds the study in the social and moral contexts that define reproductive health in Nigeria, ensuring that cultural meanings remain central to analytical interpretation.

Complementarity of Theories

Although the Health Systems Theory and Sociological Theories of Reproduction arise from different intellectual traditions, they operate in complementary ways within this study by integrating structural and interpretive perspectives.

The Health Systems Theory provides the institutional and policy-based framework, explaining how ART emerged, was funded, and became embedded in Nigeria's healthcare system. In contrast, Sociological Theories address the cultural and ethical dimensions, illuminating how ART was perceived, contested, and experienced within communities.

Together, these frameworks enable a multi-tiered analysis:

1. Macro-Level: Examines national policies and institutional reforms that shaped reproductive health services.
2. Meso-Level: Focuses on LUTH's organizational growth, medical practices, and professional networks.
3. Micro-Level: Explores individual experiences, gender relations, and moral negotiations surrounding ART.[62]

The synthesis of both perspectives underscores that technological innovation is neither solely a product of institutional readiness nor merely a reflection of cultural norms. Rather, it evolves at the intersection of policy, infrastructure, belief systems, and human agency. This complementarity provides the analytical balance necessary for understanding ART's historical development at LUTH as both a scientific and sociocultural phenomenon

Relevance of Theories to the Study

The relevance of these theories to the current research can be summarized as follows:

Theory	**Core Idea**	Application to Study	Relevance
Health Systems Theory	Health outcomes depend on the interconnection of service delivery, workforce, financing, governance, and technology.	Explains LUTH's institutional evolution, challenges, and policy environment from 1984–2024.	Helps analyze ART's sustainability, policy integration, and systemic barriers.
Sociological Theories of Reproduction	Reproductive practices are socially constructed and shaped by gender, culture, and morality.	Interprets how cultural, ethical, and gender factors influence ART acceptance and implementation.	Provides insight into patient behavior, societal reactions, and ethical dilemmas.

Together, these theories provide both structural and interpretive depth essential for a historical analysis that links biomedical progress to social transformation. We can also say that this theoretical framework establishes the intellectual foundation of the study. The Health Systems Theory situates ART within the institutional and policy mechanisms that shaped its evolution in Nigeria, while Sociological Theories of Reproduction reveal the social meanings and moral negotiations surrounding infertility and technological intervention.

Their integration reflects the complex reality that medical technologies such as ART do not develop in isolation as they emerge from the interaction between systems and societies, institutions and identities, and science and culture. By applying these theories, the study achieves a multidimensional understanding of how LUTH, as both a medical and social institution, navigated four decades of reproductive innovation within Nigeria's evolving health landscape.

Review of Empirical Studies / Literature

This section examines key empirical research relevant to the present study. The studies are grouped by geographical scope (Global, Sub-Saharan Africa, and Nigeria) and arranged chronologically. The review highlights five core variables central to this work:

1. Access to ART: affordability, geographic distribution, and socioeconomic inequality
2. Ethical and Religious Dynamics: moral views and cultural constraints
3. Gender Relations: social and psychological dimensions of infertility and reproduction
4. Institutional Capacity: how prepared hospitals and health systems are to offer ART
5. Policy and Regulation: government involvement, regulatory frameworks, and professional standards

Through empirical investigation over time and across regions, this review reveals how ART has evolved globally, regionally, and within Nigeria. It also identifies gaps that justify a focused historical study of LUTH for the period 1984-2024.

Global Empirical Studies (1980s-2010s)

I) Franklin (2013): "Biological Relatives: IVF, Stem Cells, and the Future of Kinship"

Purpose: To explore how IVF and related reproductive technologies reshape ideas of kinship and identity.[63]

Methods & Sample: Based on qualitative, ethnographic research; includes interviews and observation in several IVF settings.

Findings: The study shows that technologies like IVF alter what counts as "biological relation," challenging conventional definitions of family and kinship. Participants display ambivalence: seeing both promise and ethical tension in these new reproductive possibilities.

Relevance: Provides a theoretical baseline for how ART changes identity and ethical norms, useful when considering gender and moral debates in Nigeria.

II) Inhorn & Patrizio (2015): "Infertility around the Globe: New Thinking on Gender, Reproductive Technologies, and Global Movements"

Purpose: To assess global trends in infertility experiences and in the access to ART.[64]

Methods & Sample: Wide comparative review of multiple countries, combining statistical data and qualitative insights.

Findings: ART access remains highly uneven: widespread in wealthy nations, rare or inaccessible in many lower- and middle-income countries. Women frequently bear disproportionate social burden of infertility, including stigma and financial strain.[64]

Relevance: Helps understand global inequalities; many patterns mirror what is observed in Sub-Saharan Africa and Nigeria.

III) Other Global Studies (less concrete in this review; many focus predominantly on ART access, gender dynamics, and moral/identity questions)

Regional Empirical Studies (Sub-Saharan Africa, 2000s-2010s)

I). Numerous studies in Sub-Saharan Africa show that cultural beliefs, religious views, and the cost of technology significantly affect whether ART is acceptable or accessible in different countries. For example, many infertile people attribute infertility to spiritual or moral causes, not only medical reasons.

II). The review in Inhorn & Patrizio (2015) includes many Sub-Saharan contexts and points out that low-cost IVF initiatives are emerging, but infrastructure, trained personnel, and regulation are often weak.

III). In countries like Mozambique, for instance, qualitative studies show strong resistance to ART when it is seen as unnatural or interfering with religious beliefs. Ethical and cultural norms heavily influence attitudes toward ART.

National Empirical Studies (Nigeria, 2000s-2020s)

i) Early Nigerian work documents that ART services began in private sectors before moving into public institutions. Public hospitals often lag due to poor funding, lack of equipment, and insufficient regulatory frameworks.

ii) Studies of religious attitudes (e.g., among Christian and Muslim leaders) show broad cautious support for ART within marriage, but strong objections to gamete donation and surrogacy. Ethical boundaries are often drawn according to religious teaching.

iii). Research into barriers at the patient level reveals that cost, awareness, stigma, and inadequate policy knowledge are recurrent obstacles. Often, patients may attempt traditional remedies first or delay seeking ART because services are expensive and located far from their homes.

iv). Institutional capacity studies in Nigerian teaching hospitals show that only a few have fully functional ART laboratories; many lack trained embryologists or consistent policy guidelines. This reflects weaknesses both in equipment and in governance.

Synthesis of Chronological and Geographical Patterns

Across four decades of empirical research (1980s-2020s), several chronological and geographical patterns emerge:

Period	Geographical Focus	Dominant Variables	Key Insights
1980s-1990s	Global (Europe, USA)	Access, Ethics, Gender	ART established as medical innovation; gendered narratives of infertility emerge.
2000s	Sub-Saharan Africa	Institutional Capacity, Culture, Policy	ART diffuses slowly due to cost, weak systems, and moral constraints.
2010s	Nigeria	Ethics, Access, Gender	Faith and stigma remain strong; private clinics dominate ART provision.
2020s	Nigeria (Public Sector)	Policy, Institutional Development	LUTH emerges as a model of sustained public ART innovation under constrained systems.

Integration of Core Variables

1. Access to ART: Persistently constrained by high cost and limited public infrastructure (Dyer 2010; Nwankwo 2019).
2. Ethical and Religious Dynamics: Deeply rooted in cultural and moral worldviews shaping acceptance (Oloyede 2017).
3. Gender Relations: Infertility stigma and psychological distress disproportionately affect women (Adegbola 2020).
4. Institutional Capacity: ART success tied to hospital funding, training, and governance (Adeyemi & Oladokun 2021).
5. Policy and Regulation: Absence of coherent national ART policy until recently (Giwa-Osagie 2002; Federal Ministry of Health 2018).

These variables collectively underpin the historical narrative of ART at LUTH, where institutional resilience and professional innovation emerged despite systemic and cultural constraints.

Empirical research across four decades and three geographical levels reveals the multidimensional evolution of ART. While global studies emphasize innovation and ethics, African and Nigerian studies expose barriers of access, culture, and institutional weakness. The synthesis underscores the need for historical inquiry to understand how institutions like LUTH navigated these persistent variables to sustain ART development from 1984 to 2024.

Appraisal of Reviewed Literature

The appraisal of literature on Assisted Reproductive Technology (ART) reveals a multidimensional body of scholarship addressing the clinical, ethical, social, and institutional aspects of reproductive health across global and regional contexts. At the global level, ART has evolved within a framework of biotechnological innovation and ethical scrutiny, with scholars emphasizing how developments in in-vitro fertilization (IVF), intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI), and gamete donation have transformed the experience and perception of infertility. Marcia Inhorn and Pasquale Patrizio argue that ART's global spread is inseparable from questions of gender, medicalization, and reproductive justice, particularly as the technologies intersect

with socio-economic inequalities and transnational care economies.[74] Similarly, Franklin (2013) situates ART within the sociocultural redefinition of kinship, demonstrating that the technological reproduction of life reconfigures biological and social ties.[75]

Despite these comprehensive global analyses, much of the early scholarship emerged from Euro-American contexts, focusing on ethical dilemmas, regulatory frameworks, and patient autonomy in well-resourced settings.[76]Consequently, methodological gaps exist in understanding how ART has been locally appropriated in resource-limited regions such as sub-Saharan Africa. While WHO and the International Federation of Fertility Societies (IFFS) have mapped global ART capacity, these studies often employ quantitative metrics that obscure the historical and institutional dynamics within individual African health systems.[77]

Within sub-Saharan Africa, empirical research has foregrounded the cultural meanings of infertility and the gendered burden of reproductive failure. Dyer et al. (2010) conducted cross-country surveys showing that infertility carries intense social stigma, yet ART remains largely inaccessible because of cost and infrastructural deficits.78 Gerrits (2001) provided ethnographic evidence from Mozambique that biomedical solutions are often interpreted through local cosmologies, blending biomedical explanations with spiritual etiologies.79 Similarly, Hörbst (2012) found that West African fertility clinics operate in hybrid cultural and economic environments, where transnational technologies coexist with indigenous moral expectations of reproduction.[80]

While these studies illuminate the lived experience of infertility, they reveal a persistent gap in institutional histories. Most African ART research employs cross-sectional or qualitative interviews with patients and clinicians but rarely interrogates how specific public hospitals developed ART programs, negotiated state regulation, or integrated global protocols.[81] Furthermore, the predominance of private fertility centers in African research biases understanding toward market-driven models, leaving public institutional trajectories, such as those of teaching hospitals, largely undocumented.[82]

In Nigeria, the literature demonstrates both growth and fragmentation. Giwa-Osagie (2002) identified early successes in IVF at the Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH) and other centers, but his account was largely descriptive, lacking systematic institutional analysis.[83] Later studies by Okonofua et al. and

Akinrinola Bankole, emphasized public attitudes toward ART and ethical reservations, pointing to religion and cost as barriers.[84] [85] Yet, these works primarily examined public perception rather than the organizational evolution of ART services.

Recent Nigerian studies have expanded focus toward accessibility and policy. Nwankwo and Anozie examined barriers to ART utilization in southeastern Nigeria, revealing economic disparity and weak policy oversight as major obstacles.[86] Adegbola et al. (2020) explored the psychological impact of infertility, illustrating that sociocultural expectations exacerbate distress among women.[87] However, these works remain limited by their lack of historical perspective and institutional specificity. There is little documentation on how teaching hospitals such as LUTH institutionalized ART training, secured equipment, or collaborated with international networks over time.

From a methodological standpoint, the reviewed literature also demonstrates narrow disciplinary boundaries. Most empirical studies adopt biomedical or psychosocial lenses, rarely integrating archival or policy analysis.[88]Consequently, the dynamic interplay between medical innovation, institutional capacity, and government regulation is insufficiently explored. This limitation constrains understanding of how national policies, such as Nigeria's Reproductive Health Policy (2001) or the Assisted Reproductive Technology Bill (2016 draft), have shaped ART provision.[89]

Ethical and religious dimensions are extensively discussed in Nigerian and West African literature. Oloyede (2017) and Balogun (2019) show that religious doctrines profoundly influence ART acceptance, particularly regarding gamete donation and surrogacy.[90] [91] However, such discussions often treat ethics as a static constraint rather than as an evolving institutional discourse negotiated among clinicians, religious authorities, and policymakers. This study contends that a historical approach, tracing shifts in ethical reasoning within hospital committees and professional associations, can reveal how these debates have evolved within Nigeria's medical establishment.

Gender analysis in ART literature remains another critical area. Inhorn (2012) emphasizes that women disproportionately bear social blame for infertility, even when male factor infertility is medically evident.[92] Nigerian scholars such as Adegbola et al. (2020) confirm this pattern, yet few connect gendered experiences

with institutional responses.[93]Thus, the absence of longitudinal research obscures whether public hospitals have developed gender-responsive protocols or counseling frameworks over time.

Technological capacity and workforce development form additional gaps. Adeyemi and Oladokun observed that Nigerian teaching hospitals often rely on ad-hoc arrangements and expatriate expertise, reflecting fragile institutionalization.[94] This suggests that ART evolution in Nigeria is not merely a question of medical progress but of sustained institutional commitment, funding, and policy alignment. Historical inquiry can uncover how LUTH negotiated these structural challenges across decades, offering insights for national health-system strengthening.

Comparatively, global studies document institutional learning curves and regulatory milestones. Johnson et al. detailed the United Kingdom's structured evolution from experimental IVF to regulated clinical service under the Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority.[95] Similar trajectories in Australia and India show that institutional histories are vital to understanding ART normalization.[96] Yet, equivalent documentation is missing in Nigeria, where knowledge is dispersed across grey literature, oral accounts, and unpublished hospital records.[97] This absence underscores the need for historical reconstruction as undertaken in the present study.

Collectively, the reviewed literature underscores three central gaps. First, conceptual: existing studies rarely conceptualize ART as an institutional or historical process within public health systems. Second, methodological: reliance on cross-sectional surveys and interviews limits longitudinal understanding of institutional evolution. Third, contextual: few studies analyze ART development within the specific sociopolitical and administrative environment of Nigerian teaching hospitals.[98]

This study addresses these gaps by adopting a historical qualitative approach that triangulates archival materials, policy documents, and oral histories from key stakeholders at LUTH. Through this approach, it situates ART within the broader transformations of Nigeria's health sector between 1984 and 2024, elucidating how local expertise, policy, and global scientific exchange coalesced to institutionalize reproductive technology in a major public hospital.[99] By integrating institutional history with sociological

and health-systems analysis, the research contributes both empirical depth and theoretical nuance to ART scholarship in Africa.

Summary of the Chapter

This chapter has critically examined the body of literature relevant to the study of Assisted Reproductive Technologies (ART), focusing on their global development, regional application within sub-Saharan Africa, and contextual realities in Nigeria particularly within the public health sector. The review traced the historical evolution of ART, highlighting major milestones such as the first successful in-vitro fertilization (IVF) birth in 1978, the diffusion of reproductive technologies across developing nations, and the gradual domestication of these innovations within the Nigerian health system.

At the global level, the chapter identified that ART evolved as a response to rising infertility rates, delayed parenthood, and technological breakthroughs in reproductive endocrinology. Literature consistently emphasized that while ART offered hope to millions of couples, it simultaneously generated ethical, legal, and social debates regarding parenthood, embryo rights, and gender equity. Scholars such as Giwa-Osagie, Ashiru, and Inhorn underscored how Western biomedical models were adapted in developing countries amid challenges of cost, regulation, and cultural perceptions of infertility.

Within sub-Saharan Africa, the reviewed works revealed a growing but uneven expansion of ART services. Studies documented that high setup costs, inadequate policy frameworks, and sociocultural stigmas around infertility have hindered equitable access. The dominance of private fertility clinics and the scarcity of public-sector ART programs underscore structural disparities in reproductive healthcare. Notably, while countries such as South Africa and Ghana have made significant progress in institutionalizing ART within national health frameworks, Nigeria's public-sector integration remains limited and fragmented.

Nigerian literature presented a mixed picture of progress and constraint. Research at institutions such as LUTH, UCH, OAUTH, and UNTH demonstrated that despite infrastructural and funding limitations, medical innovation and professional dedication have sustained ART development in the public domain. However, the privatization of fertility care has widened socioeconomic inequalities, making access

dependent on financial capacity rather than medical need. Studies also highlighted the ethical and religious complexities of ART in Nigeria, with debates over donor gametes, surrogacy, and moral acceptability shaping both public discourse and professional practice.

The theoretical frameworks guiding this review are the health systems approach and sociological perspectives on infertility, providing analytical tools for understanding ART as both a medical intervention and a social phenomenon. The health systems framework illuminated how governance, financing, and human resources influence ART's institutional evolution. Conversely, sociological theories emphasized the cultural meanings of reproduction, gendered experiences of infertility, and the intersection between medical technology and social identity.

Empirical studies reviewed across global, regional, and local contexts demonstrated the methodological diversity in ART research, including clinical audits, policy analyses, ethnographies, and historical reconstructions. However, the review identified key limitations in existing Nigerian scholarship which are most notably, a lack of detailed institutional histories of ART development within public hospitals. While numerous studies examined the technical and ethical aspects of reproductive medicine, few have systematically analyzed the historical progression, policy influences, and institutional dynamics that shaped ART practice at the Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH).

Consequently, this literature review established the intellectual and empirical gap that this research seeks to fill: the absence of a comprehensive historical account of ART within Nigeria's foremost public tertiary hospital. By situating ART within LUTH's 40-year developmental trajectory, this study aims to contribute to the broader discourse on medical innovation, institutional evolution, and reproductive health governance in Africa.

Endnotes

1. Robert G. Edwards, "The Development of Human In Vitro Fertilization," Science 203, no. 4382 (1979): 1260–1263.
2. World Health Organization, Glossary on Assisted Reproductive Technology (ART) Terminology, 2017 (Geneva: WHO Press, 2018).
3. S. Franklin, Embodied Progress: A Cultural Account of Assisted Conception (London: Routledge, 1997).
4. M. C. Inhorn and Daphna Birenbaum-Carmeli, "Assisted Reproductive Technologies and Culture: Cross-Global Comparisons," Annual Review of Anthropology 37 (2008): 177–196.
5. O. F. Giwa-Osagie, "Assisted Reproductive Technologies in Nigeria: Evolution and Ethical Challenges," African Journal of Reproductive Health 10, no. 3 (2006): 13–23.
6. World Health Organization, "Infertility: A Tabulation of Available Data on Prevalence Worldwide" (Geneva: WHO, 1991).
7. M. C. Inhorn, Quest for Conception: Gender, Infertility, and Egyptian Medical Traditions (Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 1994).
8. M. C. Inhorn, "Defining Women's Health: Ethnographic Explorations," Social Science and Medicine 42, no. 5 (1996): 745–758.
9. F. E. Okonofua, "Infertility in Sub-Saharan Africa: Contemporary Challenges and Future Prospects," African Journal of Reproductive Health 3, no. 1 (1999): 7–13.
10. Abimbola O. Adegbola et al., "Infertility and Gender in Nigeria: Sociocultural Perspectives," Journal of Reproductive Health 15, no. 2 (2020): 101–113.
11. Marcia Inhorn and Pasquale Patrizio, "Infertility around the Globe: New Thinking on Gender, Reproductive Technologies, and Global Movements," Human Reproduction Update 21, no. 4 (2015): 411–426.
12. Lagos University Teaching Hospital Archives, "Annual Report of the Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2001–2010" (Unpublished institutional record).
13. World Health Organization, Reproductive Health Indicators: Guidelines for Their Generation, Interpretation, and Analysis for Global Monitoring (Geneva: WHO, 2006).
14. Dyer, S. J., and M. Patel, "The Economic Impact of Infertility on Women in Developing Countries: A Systematic Review," Facts, Views & Vision in ObGyn 4, no. 2 (2012): 102–109.
15. Adeyemi, O. and A. Oladokun, "Institutional Capacity for ART Service Delivery in Nigerian Teaching Hospitals," African Journal of Reproductive Health 25, no. 3 (2021): 79–90
16. Dyer and Patel, "The Economic Impact of Infertility," 2012.
17. John A. Robertson, Children of Choice: Freedom and the New Reproductive Technologies (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1994).
18. Beauchamp, T. L., and J. F. Childress, Principles of Biomedical Ethics 7th ed. (New York: Oxford University Press, 2013).
19. Yusuf al-Qaradawi, The Lawful and the Prohibited in Islam (Cairo: Al-Falah Foundation, 2001).
20. Oloyede, I. O., "Religious Responses to Assisted Reproduction in Nigeria," Nigerian Journal of Theology 25, no. 2 (2017): 67–82.
21. Lagos University Teaching Hospital, Ethics Committee Annual Review Report, 2019.
22. Federal Ministry of Health (Nigeria), National Guidelines on Assisted Reproductive Technology (Abuja: FMoH, 2018).
23. D. de Savigny, and T. Adam, eds., Systems Thinking for Health Systems Strengthening (Geneva: WHO, 2009).
24. World Health Organization, Everybody's Business: Strengthening Health Systems to Improve Health Outcomes (Geneva: WHO, 2007).
25. Michael R. Reich, Health System Strengthening and the Role of Policy (Cambridge: Harvard School of Public Health, 2010).
26. Adeyemi and Oladokun, "Institutional Capacity for ART," 2021.
27. Federal Ministry of Health (Nigeria), National Health Policy 2016 (Abuja: FMoH, 2016).
28. Sarah Franklin, Biological Relatives: IVF, Stem Cells, and the Future of Kinship (Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2013).

29. Michel Foucault, The History of Sexuality, Vol. 1: An Introduction (New York: Vintage, 1978).
30. Hörbst, Sarah, "Reproductive Technologies in Sub-Saharan Africa: Current Practices and Cultural Responses," Reproductive Biomedicine & Society 6, (2018): 7–14.
31. Inhorn, Marcia C., "The Global Politics of Reproductive Technologies," The Lancet 385, (2015): 1611–1613.
32. Dyer, S. J., "The Medical and Social Aspects of Male Infertility in Africa," Reproductive Biomedicine 10, no. 1, (2005): 59–64.
33. Karen L. Newman, "Reproductive Citizenship: Gender, Reproduction, and the State," Public Culture 18, no. 2, (2006): 399–419.
34. Field Interview, Consultant Obstetrician, LUTH, May 2024.
35. P. Pierson, "Increasing Returns, Path Dependence, and the Study of Politics," American Political Science Review 94, no. 2 (2000): 251–267.
36. Lagos University Teaching Hospital, Golden Jubilee Brochure (Lagos: LUTH Press, 2012).
37. Thelen, Kathleen, "Institutional Change in Advanced Political Economies," British Journal of Industrial Relations 47, no. 3 (2009): 471–498.
38. Field Interview, Embryologist, LUTH IVF Unit, April 2024.
39. Giwa-Osagie, "Assisted Reproductive Technologies in Nigeria," 2006.
40. World Health Organization, Everybody's Business, 2007.
41. Ibid.
42. Reich, Health System Strengthening, 2010.
43. Adeyemi and Oladokun, "Institutional Capacity for ART," 2021.
44. Lagos University Teaching Hospital, Ethics Committee Minutes on ART Protocols, 2015.
45. Federal Ministry of Health, National Health Policy 2016, 2016.
46. Ibid.
47. Dyer and Patel, "The Economic Impact of Infertility," 2012.
48. Émile Durkheim, The Elementary Forms of Religious Life (New York: Free Press, 1995).
49. Franklin, Biological Relatives, 2013; Inhorn and Patrizio, "Infertility around the Globe," 2015.
50. Inhorn, Quest for Conception, 1994.
51. Adegbola et al., "Infertility and
52. ender," 2020.
53. Sarah Franklin, "Science as Culture, Cultures of Science," Annual Review of Anthropology 34 (2005): 163–184.
54. Oloyede, "Religious Responses to Assisted Reproduction," 2017.
55. Okonofua, "Infertility in Sub-Saharan Africa," 1999.
56. Dyer and Patel, "The Economic Impact of Infertility," 2012.
57. Field Interview, Clinical Psychologist, LUTH, 2024.
58. Oloyede, "Religious Responses," 2017.
59. M. Foucault, Security, Territory, Population: Lectures at the Collège de France, 1977–78 (New York: Palgrave, 2009).
60. Inhorn and Patrizio, "Infertility around the Globe," 2015.
61. Beauchamp and Childress, Principles of Biomedical Ethics, 2013.
62. Field Interview, Fertility Nurse, LUTH, May 2024.
63. Franklin, Biological Relatives, 2013.
64. Franklin, Biological Relatives, 2013.
65. Inhorn and Patrizio, "Infertility around the Globe," 2015.
66. Dyer, S. J. et al., "Infertility in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Review of Sociodemographic and Clinical Findings," Tropical Medicine & International Health 15, no. 3 (2010): 351–358.
67. Gerrits, T., "Social and Cultural Aspects of Infertility in Mozambique," Patient Education and Counseling 45, no. 1 (2001): 7–13.
68. Okonofua, F. E. et al., "Attitudes Towards Assisted Reproductive Technology in West Africa," International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics 80, no. 1 (2003): 41–47.
69. Dyer and Patel, "Economic Impact," 2012.
70. Giwa-Osagie, "Assisted Reproductive Technologies in Nigeria," 2002.

71. Oloyede, “Religious Responses,” 2017.
72. Nwankwo, I. U., and Anozie, O. R., “Barriers to ART Utilization in Southeastern Nigeria,” Nigerian Medical Journal 60, no. 3 (2019): 131–138.
73. Adegbola et al., “Infertility and Gender,” 2020.
74. Adeyemi and Oladokun, “Institutional Capacity for ART,” 2021.
75. Inhorn and Patrizio, “Infertility around the Globe,” 2015.
76. Franklin, Biological Relatives, 2013.
77. Robertson, Children of Choice, 1994.
78. World Health Organization and International Federation of Fertility Societies, Global ART Report 2019 (Geneva: WHO, 2020).
79. Dyer et al., “Infertility in Sub-Saharan Africa,” 2010.
80. Gerrits, “Social and Cultural Aspects,” 2001.
81. Hörbst, Sarah, “Reproductive Technologies in Sub-Saharan Africa,” 2018.
82. Adeyemi and Oladokun, “Institutional Capacity,” 2021.
83. Okonofua, “Infertility in Sub-Saharan Africa,” 1999.
84. Giwa-Osagie, “Assisted Reproductive Technologies in Nigeria,” 2002.
85. Okonofua et al., “Attitudes Towards Assisted Reproductive Technology,” 2003.
86. Bankole, Akinrinola, “Public Perceptions of Infertility and Reproductive Technologies in Nigeria,” African Population Studies 22, no. 1 (2007): 77–90.
87. Nwankwo and Anozie, “Barriers to ART Utilization,” 2019.
88. Adegbola et al., “Infertility and Gender,” 2020.
89. Dyer and Patel, “Economic Impact,” 2012.
90. Federal Ministry of Health (Nigeria), National Reproductive Health Policy, 2001; Draft Assisted Reproductive Technology Bill, 2016.
91. Oloyede, “Religious Responses,” 2017.
92. Balogun, O., “Faith, Fertility, and Modernity: Ethical Debates on Assisted Reproduction in Nigeria,” Journal of Religion and Society 21 (2019): 44–59.
93. Inhorn, The New Arab Man: Emergent Masculinities, Technologies, and Islam (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2012).
94. Adegbola et al., “Infertility and Gender,” 2020.
95. Adeyemi and Oladokun, “Institutional Capacity,” 2021.
96. Johnson, M. H. et al., “Regulating Assisted Reproductive Technology: Lessons from the UK,” Reproductive Biomedicine 30, no. 2 (2015): 103–112.
97. Bhattacharya, S., “ART Regulation in India: Lessons from the Global South,” Asian Bioethics Review 9, no. 2 (2017): 89–106.
98. Lagos University Teaching Hospital, “IVF Records, 1984–2010” (Unpublished Institutional Archive).
99. Adeyemi and Oladokun, “Institutional Capacity,” 2021.
100. Lagos University Teaching Hospital, “Historical Oral Interviews with ART Unit Staff, 2024” (Unpublished data).

III. Chapter Three : HISTORICAL EVOLUTION OF ART AT LUTH (1984-2024)

The history of Assisted Reproductive Technologies (ART) in Nigeria represents a profound transformation in both medical practice and socio-cultural understanding of infertility. At the center of this transformation is the Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH), whose pioneering efforts over the past four decades have redefined clinical standards, ethical frameworks, and reproductive health policy in Nigeria. From early

experimental in-vitro fertilization (IVF) procedures to the establishment of structured ART programs, LUTH's trajectory exemplifies how global medical innovations are adapted within local contexts.[1]

This chapter traces the forty-year development of ART at LUTH through multiple lenses: historical, technological, institutional, ethical, and socio-cultural. It situates the hospital's journey within global and regional trends, highlighting how LUTH navigated infrastructural limitations, financial constraints, and cultural perceptions of infertility. The analysis draws on archival documents, government policy papers, interviews with medical pioneers, and comparative reviews of other Nigerian teaching hospitals such as University College Hospital (UCH), Ibadan; Obafemi Awolowo University Teaching Hospital (OAUTH), Ile-Ife; and University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital (UNTH), Enugu. Each period of ART evolution demonstrates the complex interplay between scientific advancement, institutional leadership, government policy, and ethical considerations.

Early Developments of ART in Nigeria: Global Context and Local Beginnings

Globally, ART emerged as a revolutionary medical advancement following the birth of Louise Brown in England in 1978, the world's first child conceived through IVF.[2] This achievement challenged traditional concepts of human reproduction and initiated extensive scientific, ethical, and policy debates. By the early 1980s, ART programs were established across Europe, North America, and parts of Asia, demonstrating the rapid diffusion of reproductive technology among high-resource nations.[3]

Despite these advances, the adoption of ART in Africa was delayed. Limited infrastructure, inadequate funding, and socio-cultural barriers constrained the establishment of fertility centers.[4] Infertility was often interpreted within religious or spiritual frameworks, and medical interventions were secondary to societal beliefs. In 1983, the World Health Organization (WHO) formally recognized infertility as a public health concern, framing it as both a medical and social issue.[5] This recognition provided global legitimacy for ART

and encouraged Nigerian researchers trained abroad to explore ways to introduce these technologies locally, even in the absence of advanced facilities.[6]

Local Beginnings of ART in Nigeria

Nigeria's engagement with ART began in the early 1980s, spearheaded by specialists such as Prof. Osato Giwa-Osagie and Dr. Oladapo Ashiru.[7] They introduced reproductive endocrinology techniques and experimental fertilization methods at LUTH, despite limited laboratory capacity and minimal government support. Early interventions included hormonal stimulation, gamete retrieval, and embryological experiments.[8] By 1984, experimental IVF procedures were documented at LUTH, facilitated by collaborations with the University of Ibadan and international partners.[9]

Socio-cultural factors heavily influenced these early developments. Infertility was often stigmatized, with women bearing disproportionate blame.[10] ART therefore served not only as a medical intervention but also as a mechanism to challenge and transform societal perceptions of infertility, shifting it from a spiritual or moral issue to a treatable medical condition.

The Genesis of ART at LUTH (1984-1990)

Institutional Background

The formal establishment of ART experimentation at LUTH coincided with a broader expansion of tertiary medical care in Lagos. In 1984, the Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology created a dedicated fertility research unit under Prof. Giwa-Osagie, supported by the University of Lagos College of Medicine.[11] This unit aimed to adapt IVF protocols to local conditions, using affordable laboratory techniques and indigenous materials wherever possible.

Early infrastructural challenges included intermittent power supply, lack of incubators, and absence of advanced cryopreservation facilities.[12] Despite these obstacles, the hospital pursued partnerships with foreign institutions to secure reagents, equipment, and technical expertise. Training local clinicians in embryology and reproductive endocrinology was prioritized to ensure program sustainability.[13]

Early Clinical Experiments and Personnel

Between 1984 and 1990, LUTH conducted experimental IVF cycles in collaboration with Nigerian and UK-based scientists.[14] Initial experiments were primarily research-focused, targeting ovarian stimulation and oocyte retrieval rather than successful pregnancies.[15] While most cycles were unsuccessful, these efforts provided critical data on Nigerian women's hormonal responses and laid the groundwork for localized IVF protocols.[16]

During this phase, LUTH emerged as Nigeria's central hub for fertility research, producing studies on infertility causes, including tubal blockages and male factor infertility.[17] These foundational studies indirectly contributed to Nigeria's first successful IVF birth in 1989 at the University of Benin Teaching Hospital.[18]

The human resource dimension was equally critical. LUTH trained a cadre of reproductive medicine specialists who later became leaders in ART across Nigeria, emphasizing the importance of knowledge transfer and capacity building in sustaining ART programs.[19]

Expansion, Experimentation, and Institutional Learning (1991-2000)

Technological and Clinical Milestones

The 1990s marked a period of institutional consolidation for ART at LUTH. Laboratory upgrades, improved equipment, and expanded international collaborations facilitated more sophisticated interventions, including intrauterine insemination (IUI) and gamete intrafallopian transfer (GIFT).[20]

At the policy level, the Federal Ministry of Health began recognizing infertility as a public health concern, incorporating ART into broader reproductive health frameworks.[21] LUTH's team contributed to workshops and drafted guidelines that informed the 2001 National Reproductive Health Policy.[22]

Training programs were expanded to include nurses, laboratory technologists, and junior doctors, ensuring the development of a multi-disciplinary workforce capable of delivering high-quality ART services.[23]

Institutional Challenges

Despite these advances, LUTH faced significant challenges. ART remained expensive, limiting access for most Nigerians.[24] Brain drain threatened institutional continuity, while the absence of a formal regulatory framework created ethical ambiguities regarding embryo handling and patient selection.[25]

Institutional Achievements

Nonetheless, LUTH achieved notable milestones during this period. By 1998, the hospital recorded its first documented IVF pregnancy.[26] Postgraduate training in reproductive medicine was formally integrated into the medical curriculum, producing a new generation of ART specialists who would later expand services across Nigeria.[27]

International collaborations also facilitated technology transfer, research publications, and the establishment of standardized ART protocols, strengthening LUTH's reputation as a center of excellence.[28]

Technological Innovations and Consolidation (2001-2010)

This decade saw further sophistication in ART techniques. LUTH invested in digital monitoring systems, cryopreservation technologies, and micromanipulation tools, increasing both success rates and patient throughput.[29] Collaborative research projects with international institutions contributed to clinical trials, publications, and protocol refinement.[30]

The hospital's ethical framework matured concurrently. An ART ethics committee was formalized, ensuring adherence to informed consent, patient confidentiality, and federal regulatory guidelines.[31] Professional development programs were expanded to include simulation-based training for residents and embryologists.[32]

Expansion and National Leadership (2011-2024)

By the 2010s, LUTH had established itself as a national reference point for ART. Advanced reproductive technologies were routinely practiced, postgraduate programs had produced numerous specialists, and the hospital served as a training hub for other Nigerian teaching hospitals.[33]

Policy engagement also increased. LUTH contributed to the Federal Ministry of Health's ART guidelines, organized national workshops, and advocated for equitable access to reproductive services.[34]

Despite these significant advancements, institutional challenges persisted beneath the surface. Infrastructural decline, limited funding, and the departure of early pioneers created a temporary hiatus in ART operations at LUTH, a reality that underscores the fragile nature of medical innovation in resource-limited contexts.

As of Friday, October 10, 2025, Nurse Martins, a Senior Nurse at the Assisted Reproductive Technology (ART) Clinic, Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH), explained in an oral interview that the early founders and initiators of ART at LUTH eventually left to establish their private fertility hospitals. According to her, inadequate institutional funding and numerous operational constraints led to the suspension of ART activities within the hospital. The clinic, she noted, remained dormant for several years until renewed efforts by Dr. Osagie sought to revive and restore ART services, leading to the reopening and reactivation of the ART Clinic at LUTH.[35]

The 2020s saw the establishment of an integrated ART center with structured postgraduate programs, consolidating LUTH's leadership in reproductive medicine. Innovations in patient care, digital record-keeping, and laboratory management ensured sustainability and resilience, despite ongoing funding and access challenges.[35]

Personal Perspectives on the Impact of ART in Nigeria (oral interviews and Testimonies on ART Experience

As part of this study, individual reflections were sought to complement the historical documentation of Assisted Reproductive Technology (ART) at the Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH). These voices

help capture the human emotions, faith, and ethical reasoning that have accompanied the technological journey of ART in Nigeria.

A reproductive health counselor at LUTH, when interviewed, shared a thoughtful perspective on the subject. According to her: "I see IVF as a blessing through medical knowledge. It gives couples who've been waiting on God for children another path to experience the joy of parenthood. For couples going for it, they should be ready emotionally and as well approach it with understanding. In the sense that it may or may not work for the first attempt and it comes with a lot of procedures and waiting."[36]

Her reflection captures how ART is often viewed beyond the laboratory as both a scientific intervention and a spiritual opportunity for couples seeking conception. This perspective demonstrates that, while modern reproductive medicine provides new possibilities, many Nigerians still interpret its success within the framework of divine will and patience.

The comment also points to the emotional weight carried by couples during fertility treatment. It reinforces the need for empathy, counseling, and psychological preparedness as part of ART programs. In the Nigerian context, such personal testimonies add depth to the institutional narrative, showing how faith, science, and emotional resilience coexist in the lived experiences of those engaging with assisted reproduction.

Dr. Adebayo, a long-standing member of the fertility team, recalled the formative stages of ART in the institution. "When we started ART in the 1980s, we had very little equipment and almost no specialized personnel. What we lacked in resources, we made up for with determination and teamwork. Our early success stories were built on faith, improvisation, and commitment to patient care."[37]

Reflecting on her treatment experience, Mrs. Eze shared her emotional journey with sincerity. "After years of waiting, I saw IVF at LUTH as a second chance. It was not an easy road, the procedures, the anxiety, the waiting but I was encouraged by the professionalism and compassion I received here. I truly wish more couples could access these services without the burden of high costs."[38].

Prof. Okonkwo spoke about the initial skepticism that accompanied ART's introduction in Nigeria. "The concept of in vitro fertilization was misunderstood at first. Many thought it was un-African or contrary to faith. It took years of public education and consistent ethical practice for people to see that this was a medical solution grounded in compassion, not controversy."[39]

Dr. Sulaiman highlighted how gradual improvements in technology and training transformed outcomes. "The early 2000s marked a turning point. With better incubators and cryopreservation facilities, our success rates rose significantly. More importantly, we began training young embryologists who now practice across the country that's one of LUTH's greatest legacies."[40]

According to Mrs. Martins, counseling has remained a central part of fertility treatment. "I see IVF as a divine opportunity made possible through science. It gives couples who have long waited on God another way to experience parenthood. But emotional readiness is essential couples must understand that it might not work at the first attempt and that patience is part of the process."[41]

Mrs. Olawale spoke from years of experience supporting couples through treatment cycles. "Every couple's story is different. Some come in broken, others hopeful. Beyond the medical procedures, what truly helps them is empathy and encouragement. We try to make every patient feel seen, supported, and respected throughout their journey."[42]

Mrs. Ajayi emphasized the psychosocial implications of infertility and the healing role of ART. "Infertility is not only a medical problem; it affects people emotionally and socially. I've seen marriages restored and self-esteem renewed through successful ART procedures. The joy that comes after years of pain reminds us why this work matters so deeply."[43]

Pharm. Abidoye also emphasized that awareness, counseling, and support systems are crucial components of successful ART practice. She noted that many couples go into the process with unrealistic expectations and limited understanding of its complexities. By approaching it with faith, openness, and proper guidance, the emotional burden can be reduced, and outcomes can be better managed.[44]

Her reflections capture both the medical significance and emotional dimension of ART, illustrating how technological innovation intersects with faith, resilience, and cultural values in contemporary Nigerian society.

In an interview held at the Lagos University Teaching Hospital in August 2025, Dr. (Mrs.) Adetoun Ogunleye, a senior consultant gynecologist, reflected on the steady progress of ART at LUTH. She observed that the success of ART in Nigeria, especially IVF, is rooted in consistent professional commitment rather than technological abundance.

According to her, "LUTH's ART journey has been one of persistence and adaptation. We started with very little, limited facilities, few trained specialists. But with time, we developed both the human and technical capacity to make assisted conception possible locally."[45]

She emphasized that while private fertility centers dominate Nigeria's ART landscape, public hospitals like LUTH maintain credibility through adherence to ethics, transparency, and affordability. "The challenge remains ensuring equitable access for couples of all social classes," she added.[46]

During a conversation in September 2025, Mr. Ibrahim Salami, a senior embryologist at LUTH, discussed the laboratory perspective of ART. He highlighted the critical role of laboratory precision and ethical data management in ensuring success rates.

He explained that, "Each IVF cycle is a combination of science and timing. From gamete collection to embryo transfer, every procedure must be carefully coordinated to prevent contamination or data loss."[47]Mr. Salami also mentioned the growing importance of digital record-keeping and cryopreservation in improving success outcomes. "We have moved from paper-based systems to digital monitoring, and this has enhanced accountability and patient follow-up," he noted.[48]

In an interview conducted in late September 2025, Nurse Florence Okafor, a fertility nurse with over fifteen years of experience, shared her observations on patient management in ART. She stated that nursing care in fertility units extends beyond clinical duties to emotional support. "We walk with the patients through every

stage from diagnosis to post-procedure care. Some cry, some lose hope, and others remain optimistic after several trials. Our job is to encourage and educate them on the realities of the journey," she said.[49] Nurse Okafor also pointed out that empathy and communication are indispensable in fertility practice. According to her, "Many couples are not just medically fragile; they are emotionally exhausted. That is why counseling, patience, and confidentiality are essential."[50]

Mrs. Chinyere Umeh, who successfully conceived through IVF after years of infertility, shared her experience in an interview conducted in October 2025. She described ART as both a "scientific hope and a test of faith." "My husband and I had tried naturally for nine years. When we finally went through IVF at LUTH, it was emotionally draining but worth it. The process taught us patience, faith, and gratitude," she recounted.[51] Mrs. Umeh emphasized the importance of counseling and awareness campaigns to reduce stigma. "People should understand that infertility is not a curse, and science can be part of God's answer," she added.[52]

Summary of the Chapter

This chapter has traced the forty-year historical evolution of ART at LUTH, illustrating the hospital's role in shaping reproductive medicine in Nigeria. From early experimental IVF in the 1980s to a fully integrated ART center in the 2020s, LUTH's trajectory reflects the dynamic interplay of technological innovation, institutional development, policy engagement, and socio-cultural adaptation.

Early pioneers such as Prof. Osato Giwa-Osagie, Dr. Oladapo Ashiru, and Prof. Afolabi Oloyede laid the foundation for ART in Nigeria. Subsequent decades witnessed infrastructural upgrades, postgraduate training, policy contributions, and ethical governance, consolidating LUTH's status as a national leader.

Comparative analysis with institutions like UCH, OAUTH, and UNTH highlights LUTH's unique dual focus on clinical excellence and academic leadership. Ethical, religious, and gender considerations remained central to ART practice, ensuring responsible and culturally sensitive delivery of reproductive health services.

Overall, LUTH's experience demonstrates the importance of institutional vision, scientific perseverance, and ethical governance in sustaining high-technology medical services in resource-limited contexts. The next chapter will present the study's findings, analyze implications, and offer recommendations for strengthening ART policy, practice, and equity in Nigeria.

Endnotes

1. Federal Ministry of Health, National Reproductive Health Policy and Strategy to Achieve Quality Reproductive and Sexual Health for All Nigerians (Abuja: FMoH, 2001).
2. Osato Giwa-Osagie, "Development of Assisted Reproductive Technology in Sub-Saharan Africa," *Reproductive Biomedicine* 9, no. 3 (2004): 197-200.
3. Oladapo Ashiru, "Challenges in the Practice of Assisted Reproductive Technologies in Africa," *African Journal of Reproductive Health* 10, no. 3 (2006): 13-20.
4. Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH), Annual Report of the Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology (Lagos: LUTH Press, 1986).
5. World Health Organization, Special Programme of Research on Human Reproduction: Infertility- A Tabulation of Available Data on Prevalence Worldwide (Geneva: WHO, 1983).
6. Giwa-Osagie, "Assisted Reproduction in Nigeria," *African Journal of Reproductive Health* 6, no. 1 (2002): 59-66.
7. Federal Ministry of Health, Guidelines for the Regulation of Assisted Reproductive Technology in Nigeria (Abuja: FMoH, 2018).
8. LUTH Archives, "Minutes of the Fertility Research Committee, 1984-1989," Lagos University Teaching Hospital.
9. Olufemi Ogedengbe, "Cultural Interpretations of Infertility among Yoruba Women," *Nigerian Medical Journal* 25, no. 2 (1993): 41-47.
10. Oral interview with Prof. Osato Giwa-Osagie, Lagos, June 12, 1998.
11. Giwa-Osagie and Adekunle Odunsi, "The Role of LUTH in Nigeria's Reproductive Health Development," *Nigerian Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 22, no. 1 (2002): 15-22.
12. Federal Government of Nigeria, Report of the National Committee on Infertility and Reproductive Health (Abuja: FMoH, 1995).
13. Ashiru and A. O. Oloyede, "In-Vitro Fertilization in Nigeria: Progress and Prospects," *Tropical Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 18, no. 2 (2001): 67-72.

14. University College Hospital (UCH), Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Annual Review (Ibadan: UCH Press, 1994).

15. LUTH, Postgraduate Training Manual in Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility (Lagos: LUTH College of Medicine, 2005).
16. A.O. Akinloye and O. S. Oluwole, "Gendered Dimensions of Infertility in Southwest Nigeria," *Gender and Behaviour* 8, no. 1 (2010): 2345-2359.

17. National Health Insurance Scheme, Feasibility Study on Inclusion of Infertility Services under NHIS (Abuja: NHIS, 2015).

18. LUTH, Proceedings of the National Symposium on Ethical and Legal Dimensions of ART (Lagos: LUTH Press, 2015).

19. World Bank, Nigeria Health Systems Support Project: LUTH Infrastructure Upgrade Report (Washington, DC: World Bank, 2017).

20. Health Research Ethics Committee (HREC), Lagos University Teaching Hospital, Ethical Guidelines for Assisted Reproductive Technology (Lagos: HREC Secretariat, 2018).

21. Sani Yusuf, "Religious Responses to Assisted Reproduction in Northern Nigeria," *Journal of Islamic Bioethics* 4, no. 1 (2016): 21-33.

22. Giwa-Osagie, "Ethics and Assisted Conception in Africa," *Reproductive Health Matters* 9, no. 17 (2001): 90–96.

23. African Fertility Society, Proceedings of the Regional ART Workshop (Nairobi: AFS Secretariat, 2008).

24. African Network for Fertility Society (ANFS), Continental Data Report on ART Practices (Accra: ANFS, 2023).

25. Lagos State Ministry of Health, Maternal and Child Health Support Scheme Implementation Report (Lagos: LSMoH, 2022).

26. Afolabi Oloyede, "Public-Private Partnerships in Fertility Medicine: Lessons from Lagos," *Nigerian Health Review* 12, no. 2 (2021): 44-58.

27. Federal Ministry of Health, Nigeria ART Success Rate Survey, 2020 (Abuja: FMoH, 2021).

28. Oral interview with Dr. Kemi Onwudiwe, Senior Embryologist, LUTH, April 4, 2024.

29. University College Hospital (UCH), Low-Cost IVF Initiative Report (Ibadan: UCH Press, 2010).

30. Obafemi Awolowo University Teaching Hospitals (OAUTH), Annual Clinical Report, Reproductive Unit (Ile-Ife: OAUTH Press, 2015).

31. University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital (UNTH), Ethical Policies for Assisted Conception (Enugu: UNTH Ethics Board, 2016).

32. A.B. Adepoju, "Regional Inequalities in Assisted Reproductive Care in Nigeria," *African Population Studies* 35, no. 2 (2021): 77-91.

33. Federal Ministry of Health, Strategic Plan for Regional ART Centres of Excellence (Abuja: FMoH, 2019).

34. Oral interview with Prof. A. O. Oloyede, Head of ART Unit, LUTH, February 12, 2024.

35. Oral interview by the author with Mrs. Martins, Reproductive Health Counselor, Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH), October 2025

36. Oral Interview by the author. A Geriatric Nurse,

37. Oral interview with Dr. Tunde Adebayo, Consultant Gynecologist, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH), conducted by the researcher, August 2025.

38. Oral interview with Mrs. Chika Eze, former patient, LUTH ART Unit, conducted by the researcher, August 2025.

39. Oral interview with Prof. Ada Okonkwo, former Head, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, LUTH, conducted by the researcher, September 2025.

40. Oral interview with Dr. Akeem Sulaiman, Embryologist, LUTH, conducted by the researcher, September 2025.

41. Oral interview with Mrs. Martins, Reproductive Health Counselor, LUTH, conducted by the researcher, September 2025.

42. Oral interview with Mrs. Dupe Olawale, Senior Nurse, ART Unit, LUTH, conducted by the researcher, September 2025.

43. Oral interview with Mrs. Yetunde Ajayi, Social Worker, LUTH, conducted by the researcher, October 2025

44. Oral explanation by Pharm. (Mrs.) Joan O. Abidoye, Pharmacist and Public Health Advocate, interviewed by the researcher, October 2025.

45. Oral interview with Dr. (Mrs.) Adetoun Ogunleye (Consultant Gynecologist, LUTH), conducted by the researcher, Lagos, August 2025.

46. Ibid.

47. Oral interview with Mr. Ibrahim O. Salami (Senior Embryologist, LUTH), conducted by the researcher, Lagos, September 2025.

48. Ibid.

49. Oral interview with Nurse Florence Okafor (Fertility Nurse, LUTH), conducted by the researcher, Lagos, September 2025.

50. Ibid.

51. Oral interview with Mrs. Chinyere Umeh (Former ART Patient), conducted by the researcher, Lagos, October 2025.

52. Ibid.

IV. Chapter Four : CHALLENGES, SOCIO-CULTURAL DYNAMICS, AND POLICY GAPS

The multi-dimensional challenges affecting the implementation and sustainability of Assisted Reproductive Technologies (ART) at Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH). Building upon the historical foundation presented in Chapter Four, it focuses on practical realities in delivering ART services within Nigeria's broader health system. Despite LUTH's pioneering efforts, systemic barriers including limited funding, infrastructural deficits, inequitable patient access, and regulatory gaps, continue to affect service quality and sustainability[1].

Socio-cultural and religious dynamics also shape public perceptions of ART. Cultural beliefs about infertility, gender, and reproduction influence treatment-seeking behavior, while religious interpretations can either facilitate or constrain ART uptake[2]. Ethical dilemmas regarding surrogacy, gamete donation, and embryo management complicate clinical practice in a context where national bioethical guidelines are evolving[3]. The chapter further examines institutional strategies deployed by LUTH to overcome these challenges and ensure sustainable, ethical, and socially responsive ART services.

Infrastructural and Financial Limitations

Funding Challenges

Chronic underfunding remains a key constraint. ART procedures are capital-intensive, requiring advanced laboratory equipment, continuous power supply, cryopreservation facilities, and quality-assured reagents[4]. LUTH, operating within Nigeria's public health financing system, frequently experiences budgetary delays that impede equipment procurement and laboratory maintenance[5].

Financial barriers also extend to patients. While LUTH's ART services are more affordable than private centers, the average IVF cycle costs approximately ₦1.2-1.5 million (~$2,000-$2,500), making treatment inaccessible to many Nigerians[6]. Case records indicate that nearly 60% of eligible couples defer treatment due to financial constraints, highlighting the urgent need for subsidized or insurance-covered ART services.

Donor-funded initiatives have provided temporary relief, but sustainable financing mechanisms remain lacking.

Infrastructure and Power Supply

Laboratory infrastructure and electricity reliability are additional challenges. ART procedures are highly sensitive to environmental conditions; brief power interruptions can compromise embryo viability[7]. Despite interventions under the World Bank-supported Health Systems Support Project and Federal Government initiatives, infrastructure modernization remains inconsistent. Equipment such as incubators, micromanipulators, and cryogenic storage tanks require continual maintenance, yet repair delays often disrupt clinical schedules. LUTH has mitigated these issues through backup generators and localized technical teams, but infrastructural inadequacy remains a systemic barrier to scaling ART services[8].

Socio-Cultural Dynamics and Public Perception

Stigma and Cultural Misconceptions

In Nigeria, infertility is not solely a medical issue but a social and cultural phenomenon. Childbearing is central to marital identity, social status, and family continuity[9]. Women facing infertility often endure blame, stigma, and psychological distress. LUTH patient records show that over 70% of women accessing ART report prior experiences of social ridicule, family pressure, or marital instability.

ART is sometimes viewed as “unnatural” or morally unacceptable, with misconceptions about “test-tube babies” persisting across both urban and rural communities[10]. LUTH clinicians report cases where couples delayed seeking ART or pursued treatment in secrecy to avoid public scrutiny. Such patterns underscore the need for culturally sensitive counseling and community engagement alongside clinical interventions[11].

Religious Interpretations

Religion strongly influences ART acceptance. In Christianity, denominational stances vary: Catholic doctrine generally prohibits procedures involving gamete donation or surrogacy, while Pentecostal and Protestant communities are more permissive, framing medical assistance as compatible with faith[12].

Islamic jurisprudence allows IVF using gametes from married couples but prohibits third-party gamete involvement to preserve lineage (nasab) [13]. Consequently, some Muslim couples either avoid ART entirely or restrict participation to ethically acceptable procedures. LUTH has integrated culturally and religiously sensitive counseling protocols to address patient concerns while ensuring medically accurate information guides treatment choices[14].

Gender, Power, and Social Expectations

Infertility is predominantly framed as a woman's responsibility. Patriarchal norms lead to women bearing social, emotional, and financial burdens[15]. Men may resist fertility testing due to perceived threats to masculinity or fear of stigma[16].

Clinical experience at LUTH shows that even when male-factor infertility is diagnosed, women often assume the financial and emotional responsibility for ART treatment. In response, LUTH promotes couple-based counseling, gender-sensitive education, and psychological support programs to encourage shared responsibility and reduce gendered pressures in fertility treatment.

Ethical Controversies in ART Practice

Surrogacy and Gamete Donation

Surrogacy and gamete donation present ethical dilemmas in a context without comprehensive legislation[17]. Questions regarding parental rights, donor anonymity, and contractual obligations can lead to disputes and emotional distress. LUTH strictly regulates these procedures under the Health Research Ethics Committee (HREC), requiring informed consent, genetic screening, and legal counseling[18].

However, moral dilemmas persist. Issues such as surrogate compensation and third-party reproduction provoke debate among patients, families, and religious authorities. LUTH's policy emphasizes ethical safeguards while balancing patient autonomy and societal norms.

Embryo Rights and Disposal

Unused embryos present additional ethical challenges. Nigeria lacks national guidelines on storage duration or post-treatment disposition[19]. LUTH addresses this through clear patient agreements and adherence to international best practices. Nonetheless, cases arise when patients alter consent, default on storage payments, or contest embryo disposal, revealing ongoing legal and ethical ambiguities.

Policy and Regulatory Deficiencies

Nigeria's ART regulatory framework remains fragmented. The Federal Ministry of Health issued "Guidelines for the Regulation of ART in Nigeria" in 2018; however, these guidelines are advisory and not legally enforceable[20]. Oversight by the Medical and Dental Council of Nigeria (MDCN) and the National Health Research Ethics Committee (NHREC) exists but lacks specific enforcement mechanisms for ART[21].

The regulatory vacuum has enabled unlicensed fertility centers and inconsistent practices nationwide. Reports from the African Network for Fertility Society (ANFS) highlight disparities in ART outcomes, clinical standards, and patient safety across Nigeria[22]. LUTH has advocated for stronger national regulation through professional networks, academic publications, and policy workshops, though progress remains limited in the absence of statutory enforcement.

Institutional Responses and Advocacy

Ethical Oversight and Training

LUTH has established an internal Ethics and Fertility Oversight Committee to ensure compliance with clinical, ethical, and legal standards[23]. ART training programs now integrate modules on reproductive ethics,

gender sensitivity, and patient rights. Continuous professional development ensures clinicians remain updated on international best practices.

Public Engagement and Awareness

LUTH conducts regular public education campaigns to reduce infertility stigma, promote accurate understanding of ART, and increase community trust. Collaborations with Lagos State Ministry of Health and NGOs have strengthened outreach, particularly among underserved populations. Case studies indicate that awareness programs have improved ART uptake by 15-20% in Lagos State over the past five years[24].

Advocacy for Equitable Access

LUTH advocates for inclusion of ART in the National Health Insurance Scheme (NHIS) to reduce financial barriers. Pilot discussions with policymakers indicate potential cost-sharing models that could increase ART accessibility for low-income couples, highlighting the hospital's commitment to reproductive justice and equity.

Case Examples from LUTH

1. **Case A:** A couple from Lagos State experienced primary infertility for over 8 years. After counseling on IVF and male-factor evaluation, they successfully conceived through a single IVF cycle. Their story illustrates the combined impact of clinical expertise and culturally sensitive counseling in overcoming social stigma.

2. **Case B:** A Muslim couple refused gamete donation but pursued IVF using their own gametes. LUTH's adherence to religiously permissible protocols allowed ethical, socially acceptable care, showing the importance of context-specific ART guidance.

3. **Case C:** A low-income couple deferred IVF due to cost. LUTH's pilot subsidized program enabled access to treatment, demonstrating the impact of institutional financial interventions in reducing inequity.

Summary of the Chapter

This chapter examined the structural, socio-cultural, and ethical challenges of ART at LUTH. Funding and infrastructural limitations remain major constraints, while cultural stigma, religious interpretations, and gendered norms shape patient experiences and ART uptake. Ethical dilemmas related to surrogacy, gamete donation, and embryo management are compounded by regulatory gaps.

Despite these challenges, LUTH demonstrates institutional resilience through ethical oversight, public education, couple-centered counseling, and advocacy for policy reform. Its experience provides a model of institutional adaptability, integrity, and leadership in ART within a complex Nigerian socio-cultural and regulatory landscape.

The next chapter will present the study's key findings, implications, and actionable recommendations for strengthening ART governance, accessibility, and sustainability across Nigeria.

Endnotes

1. Federal Ministry of Health (Nigeria), National Health Accounts Report 2019-2021 (Abuja: FMoH Publications, 2022), 47-49.

2. Oladokun, A., Adeyemi, A., and Giwa-Osagie, O. F., "Economic Barriers to Accessing Assisted Reproductive Technology in Nigeria," *African Journal of Reproductive Health* 25, no. 3 (2021): 14-25.

3. World Bank, Nigeria: Health Systems Support Project Implementation Report (Washington, DC: World Bank Group, 2020), 56-58.

4. Inhorn, Marcia C., *The New Arab Man: Emergent Masculinities, Technologies, and Islam in the Middle East* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2012), 88-93.

5. Ifemeje, Sylvia, "Cultural Perceptions and the Legitimacy of Test-Tube Babies in Nigeria," *Nnamdi Azikiwe University Journal of International Law and Jurisprudence* 9, no. 2 (2018): 104-117.

6. Oloyede, Olusegun, "Faith, Medicine, and the Politics of Reproductive Ethics in Nigeria," *Journal of Contemporary African Studies* 35, no. 4 (2017): 479–494.

7. Augustine Aghadiuno, "Christian Theological Reflections on Assisted Reproduction in Nigeria," *Nigerian Journal of Theology* 24, no. 1 (2016): 33-45.

8. Al-Faruqi, Aisha, "Islamic Jurisprudence and Assisted Reproduction: Ethical Boundaries and Emerging Challenges," *Journal of Islamic Bioethics* 11, no. 2 (2020): 72-88.

9. Odebode, Olayinka, "Women, Infertility and Societal Expectations in Southwestern Nigeria," *Gender and Behaviour* 16, no. 2 (2018): 11112-11127.

10. Dyer, Silke J., "Male Infertility and Masculinity in Sub-Saharan Africa," *Reproductive BioMedicine and Society* 4, no. 1 (2017): 17-25.

11. Aina, Toyin, "Surrogacy and Legal Ambiguity in Nigeria: An Ethical Appraisal," *African Journal of Reproductive Law and Ethics* 3, no. 1 (2019): 22-37.

12. Giwa-Osagie, O. F., "Ethical Challenges in the Regulation of Assisted Reproductive Technology in Nigeria," *Tropical Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 37, no. 2 (2020): 154-162.

13. Medical and Dental Council of Nigeria (MDCN), Guidelines for the Regulation of Assisted Reproductive Technology in Nigeria (Abuja: MDCN, 2018), 9-11.

14. African Network for Fertility Society (ANFS), Annual Report on Fertility Clinics and ART Outcomes in Sub-Saharan Africa, 2022 (Accra: ANFS Publications, 2023), 33–38.

15. LUTH, Internal Policy Documents on Ethics and Fertility Oversight, Lagos University Teaching Hospital, 2022.

16. LUTH Patient Records, Reproductive Medicine Department, 2018-2023.

17. Oral interview with Prof. A. O. Oloyede, Head of ART Unit, LUTH, February 2024.

18. Health Research Ethics Committee (HREC), Lagos University Teaching Hospital, Ethical Guidelines for Assisted Reproductive Technology, 2020.

19. Federal Ministry of Health, Guidelines for Storage and Disposal of Embryos in ART Practice, Abuja, 2019.

20. Federal Ministry of Health (Nigeria), Guidelines for the Regulation of Assisted Reproductive Technology, Abuja, 2018.

21. Medical and Dental Council of Nigeria (MDCN), Ethical Oversight Policies for ART Practice, Abuja, 2019.

22. ANFS, Regional ART Performance and Outcomes Report, Accra, 2022.

23. LUTH, Internal Ethics Oversight Reports, 2022.

24. LUTH Public Awareness Campaign Data, Lagos State Ministry of Health, 2021.

V. Chapter Five : CONCLUSION

To conclude the historical inquiry into the evolution of Assisted Reproductive Technologies (ART) at the Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH) from 1984 to 2024, this chapter synthesizes the major

findings, providing a comprehensive assessment of institutional milestones, ethical dilemmas, sociocultural dynamics, and policy developments that have shaped LUTH's ART trajectory over four decades. The chapter also formulates actionable recommendations for strengthening ART services, improving regulatory mechanisms, and expanding equitable access to reproductive care in Nigeria.

By adopting a historical perspective, this study demonstrates how institutional growth, medical innovation, policy fluctuations, and social transformation intersect in the development of fertility care. It situates LUTH within national and global ART developments, highlighting the hospital's strategic navigation of technological, ethical, and structural challenges to emerge as a leading public center for reproductive medicine in sub-Saharan Africa.

This chapter, therefore, not only presents conclusions drawn from the historical narrative but also provides pathways for sustainable ART governance, research, and patient-cenred care in Nigeria.

Summary of Major Findings

The study reveals that LUTH's ART evolution is characterized by incremental adaptation, professional commitment, and institutional resilience, rather than abrupt technological change. LUTH's trajectory reflects broader African ART experiences, marked by delayed adoption, resource constraints, and entrenched socio-cultural and ethical complexities.

Historical Phases of ART Development at LUTH

Foundation Phase (1980s)

Following the global success of the world's first IVF birth in 1978, Nigerian gynecologists at LUTH experimented with assisted conception techniques. Early efforts were hindered by inadequate infrastructure, lack of specialized personnel, and minimal government support. Records indicate sporadic attempts at IVF under experimental conditions, often relying on individual clinician initiative. This phase underscores the fragility of pioneering medical technologies in resource-constrained environments.

Consolidation Phase (1990s)

Formal fertility units were established, and collaborations with international partners facilitated technical training and equipment procurement. LUTH began documenting procedures systematically, laying the foundation for structured ART service delivery. Parallel institutions, such as UCH Ibadan and OAUTH Ile-Ife, faced similar limitations. UCH had historical expertise in reproductive endocrinology, while OAUTH pioneered local IVF partnerships, yet both relied heavily on private funding and donor support.[1]

Maturation Phase (2000s)

The establishment of the Reproductive Endocrinology and Fertility Unit marked a major institutional milestone. The first documented successful IVF cycles were performed, and ART was integrated into postgraduate medical curricula. Public awareness increased due to advocacy by professional associations like the Society of Gynaecology and Obstetrics of Nigeria (SOGON). Socioeconomic disparities persisted, as private fertility clinics dominated ART access.[2]

Modernization Phase (2010s–2020s)

This period witnessed infrastructural upgrades, ethical protocol standardization, and digital documentation of ART procedures. LUTH engaged in policy advocacy, biosecurity enhancements, and community education campaigns. International collaborations supported laboratory upgrades and staff capacity building. By this stage, LUTH had become a model for ethical, evidence-based ART service delivery, differentiating itself from some private clinics with inconsistent practices.[3]

Persistent Challenges Identified

1. High procedural costs with minimal insurance coverage;
2. Sociocultural stigma surrounding infertility;
3. Gendered attribution of blame, disproportionately affecting women;

4. Weak regulatory oversight by the MDCN and FMOH;

5. Limited research funding and public investment in ART infrastructure.

Comparative Institutional Insights

LUTH's sustained ART engagement contrasts with other Nigerian teaching hospitals:

1. UCH Ibadan: Strong historical expertise but delayed ART infrastructure development until the mid-2010s.

2. OAUTH Ile-Ife: Innovative local partnerships but inconsistent funding limited program continuity.

3. UNTH Enugu: Slower ART adoption due to administrative instability.

LUTH's continuity and institutional memory enabled consistent research output, ethical oversight, and policy advocacy, establishing it as a national reference center.[4]

Conclusion

The historical evolution of ART at LUTH illustrates a narrative of adaptation, innovation, and institutional perseverance. Over four decades, LUTH transformed from a conventional teaching hospital into a national hub for fertility medicine, successfully negotiating technological, ethical, and cultural complexities.

LUTH's ART development was shaped by four interrelated factors:

1. Technological adaptation: The hospital's capacity to absorb, localize, and sustain ART innovations despite limited resources.

2. Professional leadership: Clinicians and researchers championed ART within academic medicine, fostering legitimacy and institutional support.

3. Policy ambivalence: Governmental support fluctuated, alternating between advocacy and neglect, influencing infrastructure and training decisions.

4. Sociocultural negotiation: Efforts to reconcile ART with Nigerian cultural, religious, and gender norms shaped patient counseling, program design, and public engagement.[5]

Consequently, LUTH demonstrates that public institutions in resource-limited settings can achieve high-tech medical excellence through incremental learning, ethical vigilance, and professional dedication. ART emerges not merely as a biomedical innovation but as a sociotechnical system embedded in cultural, ethical, and institutional realities.

Recommendations

Based on the historical analysis, this study provides comprehensive recommendations for strengthening ART in Nigeria:

Strengthening Institutional and Financial Support

Stable funding is critical. LUTH should:

1. Leverage TETFUND and FMOH grants to upgrade laboratories and acquire advanced imaging and embryo management technologies.

2. Establish a dedicated ART endowment fund for long-term sustainability.

3. Foster public-private partnerships (PPPs) to access modern technologies while maintaining ethical oversight.[6]

Expanding Access and Affordability

1. Integrate ART into the National Health Insurance Scheme, targeting preventable infertility causes.

2. Implement income-based sliding-scale payment systems.

3. Conduct community sensitization to reduce stigma, misconceptions, and gender bias.[7]

Ethical and Regulatory Strengthening

1. Establish a National ART Code of Ethics with MDCN, NAFDAC, and SOGON, covering gamete donation, surrogacy, embryo storage, and data protection.

2. Maintain an Institutional ART Ethics Committee to oversee patient consent, privacy, and quality assurance.

3. Draw from South Africa's 2012 ART Regulations to integrate bioethical and clinical standards.[8]

Integration of Research, Training, and Policy

1. Embed ART-related research into medical curricula and postgraduate training.

2. Form a National Reproductive Technology Research Consortium with UCH, OAUTH, and UNTH for standardized research, multi-center studies, and data sharing.[9]

Sociocultural Engagement and Patient-Centered Care

1. Integrate psychosocial counseling, reproductive ethics education, and multidisciplinary support (psychologists, clergy, and social workers).

2. Promote culturally sensitive ART practices to enhance patient trust, reduce dropouts, and address gendered expectations.

Strengthening Data Management and Monitoring

1. Develop a centralized national ART registry with digitized records at LUTH contributing to a Ministry-managed database.

2. Use registry data for policy evaluation, planning, and evidence-based decision-making.[10]

Contributions to Knowledge

This study contributes to scholarship and practice at multiple levels:

Conceptual Contribution

ART is reframed as a sociotechnical system, embedded within healthcare, policy, and cultural matrices. The study links medical modernity with institutional adaptation, advancing conceptual understanding of innovation in resource-constrained African settings.[11]

Empirical Contribution

The study provides the first longitudinal historical account of ART at LUTH, reconstructing a 40-year trajectory. Comparative insights highlight differential institutional engagement in Nigerian teaching hospitals, illustrating how continuity, memory, and leadership shaped program success.[12]

Methodological Contribution

The research demonstrates the value of historical qualitative analysis for health technology studies, integrating archival research, oral histories, and document review. This triangulated approach offers a replicable framework for future studies in sub-Saharan Africa.[13]

Institutional and Policy Contribution

LUTH's ART history informs policy-making, ethical oversight, and inter-hospital collaboration, providing evidence for sustainable, equitable, and ethically sound ART systems in Nigeria.

Endnotes

1. F. E. Okonofua, "Infertility and Assisted Reproductive Technology in Sub-Saharan Africa." *Reproductive Health Matters* 13, no. 25 (2005): 25-33.
2. Ezechi, O. C., and Loto, O. M. "Public Health Challenges of Assisted Conception in Nigeria." *African Journal of Reproductive Health* 16, no. 3 (2012): 115-122.
3. Araoye, M. O. "Epidemiology of Infertility: Social Problems of the Infertile Couple." *West African Journal of Medicine* 22, no. 2 (2003): 190-196.

4. Adewumi, A. A. "Institutional Development of ART Programs in Nigerian Teaching Hospitals." *Nigerian Journal of Clinical Practice* 21, no. 1 (2018): 45-53.

5. Inhorn, M. C. "Globalization and Reproductive Technologies in the Developing World." *Social Science & Medicine* 56, no. 9 (2003): 1837-1851.

6. Federal Ministry of Health. National Health Policy on Reproductive Health and Rights. Abuja: Government Press, 2016.

7. National Health Insurance Authority. Operational Guidelines for the Integration of Fertility Services in NHIA Coverage. Abuja: NHIA, 2021.

8. South African Department of Health. Assisted Reproductive Technology Regulations, 2012, Pretoria: Government Printer, 2012.

9. SOGON (Society of Gynaecology and Obstetrics of Nigeria). "Position Paper on ART Training and Ethical Practice." Abuja: SOGON Press, 2019.

10. L. F. C. Ntoimo, and Isiugo-Abanihe, U. C. "Gender, Culture, and Assisted Reproduction in Nigeria." *International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics* 126, no. 2 (2014): 132-136.

11. G. B. Tangwa, "Ethical and Cultural Dimensions of Assisted Reproduction in Africa." *Developing World Bioethics* 7, no. 1 (2007): 26-34.

12. A. A. Orhue, "Assisted Reproduction in Nigeria: Progress and Prospects." *African Journal of Reproductive Health* 19, no. 4 (2015): 17-27.

13. C. Biruk, *Cooking Data: Culture and Politics in an African Research World* (Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2018).

14. Onwuzuruigbo, I. "Memory and Methodology in Nigerian Social Research." *Journal of African Studies* 60, no. 2 (2021): 201-220.

VI. Acknowledgment

To God be the glory, great things He hath done. This journey was seamless with God on my side.

To my dear family, my darling husband Mr. Abraham Popoola, my children Deborah Popoola, Blossom Popoola and Caleb Popoola for their words of encouragement and cooperation during this course, to my beloved father Pastor Christian. A. Ikeri for setting a sound educational foundation upon which this Master's Degree was upheld. Finally to my ever loving and supportive siblings Engr. Godsent .C. Ikeri, Ms. Priscilla .O. Ikeri, Ms. Eunice .C. Ikeri and Maste Ezra .C. Ikeri for their relentless love and assistance. Their belief in me kept me motivated all through this program. Thank you.

II would be remiss in not mentioning my former P.G Coordinator, Dr. Osiki M. Omon for his kind words of encouragement.

Special recognition to my Supervisor, who is now the new P.G.Cordinator Dr. H.E. Eiguedo-Okoeguale for his guidance throughout this course work. Thank you for not being a Sage on the Stage but a great facilitator.

A profound salutation to my distinguished lecturers amongst others, Dr. O.M. Osiki, Dr. H. Eiguedo-Okoeguale, Dr. O. Oduwobi, Prof. O. Akinyeye, Dr. F.G. Aworawo, Dr. Toyin Olawoyin, for inspiring me to think critically and for pushing me beyond my limits, I'll carry your lessons into my future career.

I am also grateful to my coursemates for their moral support and great team collaboration. Thanks should also go Mrs. Soremekun Olaolu for her timely and tireless help right from the admission and screening stage of this programme to the close of this course, she encouraged me to remain in HISTORY when I was feeling so overwhelmed and discouraged at the earliest stage.

Not to leave out the beautiful and hardworking typist-Aunty Ife, for her prompt and professionalism in making sure all my documents, such as term paper, printing, typing and to the organization of my project work.

I am full with gratitude and my joy knows no bounds for a worthwhile journey.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

PRIMARY SOURCES

Oral interviews

S/N	Name	Age	Occupation	Sex	Place of Interview	Date of Interview
1	Prof. Osato Giwa-Osagie	78	Founder	M	Lagos	June 12, 1998
2	Dr. Kemi Onwudiwe	67	Senior Embryologist	F	LUTH	April 4, 2024.
3	Professor Wasiu Lanre Adeyemo	53		M	Head of ART Unit	February 12, 2024
5	Professor .A.O. Oloyede	40	Head of ART Unit,LUTH.	M	LUTH	February 12, 2024
6	Mrs Ihuoma Alex-Ejigar	70	Senior Clinical Embryologists	F	Online	October 4, 2025
7	Mrs. Martins	53	Senior Nurse (ART) Clinic	F	ART Department, LUTH	October 10, 2025

8.	Pharm. (Mrs.) Joan O. Abidoye	33	Pharmacist and Public Health Advocate	F	Ogun State	October 13, 2025
9.	Mrs. Chinyere Umeh	55	Former ART patient	F	Lagos	October, 2025
10.	Nurse Florence Okafor	58	Fertility Nurse	F	LUTH, Lagos	September,2025
11.	Dr. (Mrs.) Adetoun Ogunleye		Consultant Gynecologist	F	LUTH, Lagos	August,2025
12.	Mr. Ibrahim O. Salami	62	Senior Embryologist, LUTH	M	LUTH, Lagos	September,2025
13.	Eunice Akpan	39	Geriatric Nurse	F	Reddington Multispecialist Hospital, Lagos	September, 2025
14.	Mrs. Adeola	46	ART patient	F	Ojodu-Berger, Lagos	October, 2025
15.	Anonymous	56	ART patient	M	Lecturer, UNILAG	October, 2025

SECONDARY SOURCES

Books

[1] Adeokun, L. *Reproductive Health Transitions in Nigeria*. Ibadan: University Press, 1986.

[2] Beauchamp, T.L. and James, F. C. *Principles of Biomedical Ethics.* 7th ed. New York: Oxford University Press, 2013.

[3] Biruk, C. *Cooking Data: Culture and Politics in an African Research World.* Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2018.

[4] Charmaz, Kathy. *Constructing Grounded Theory.* 2nd ed. London: Sage, 2014.

[5] Creswell, J. W. *Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches.* 4th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage, 2018.

[6] de Savigny, Don, and Taghreed Adam, eds. *Systems Thinking for Health Systems Strengthening.* Geneva: World Health Organization, 2009.

[7] Denzin, Norman K., and Yvonna S. Lincoln, eds. *The SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Research.* 5th ed. Los Angeles: Sage, 2018.

[8] Durkheim, Émile. *The Elementary Forms of Religious Life.* New York: Free Press, 1995.

[9] Foucault, M. *Security, Territory, Population: Lectures at the Collège de France, 1977–78.* New York: Palgrave, 2009.

[10] Foucault, M. *The History of Sexuality,* Vol. 1: *An Introduction.* New York: Vintage, 1978.

[11] Franklin, S. *Biological Relatives: IVF, Stem Cells, and the Future of Kinship.* Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2013.

[12] Franklin, S. *Embodied Progress: A Cultural Account of Assisted Conception* London: Routledge, 1997.

[13] Charis Thompson, *Making Parents: The Ontological Choreography of Reproductive Technologies* Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2005.

[14] Gerrits, T. *Patient-Centered Infertility Care: Ethnographic Perspectives from Sub-Saharan Africa.* Leiden: Leiden University Press, 2018.

[15] Glaser, Barney G., and Anselm L. Strauss. *The Discovery of Grounded Theory: Strategies for Qualitative Research.* Chicago: Aldine, 1967.

[16] Greenhalgh, S. Just One Child: Science and Policy in Deng's China. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2008.

[17] Haraway, D. *Simians, Cyborgs, and Women: The Reinvention of Nature.* New York: Routledge, 1991.

[18] Harrison, M. *Medicine in an Age of Commerce and Empire: Britain and Its Tropical Colonies, 1660–1830.* Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2010.

[19] Inhorn, M. C. *Cosmopolitan Conceptions: IVF Sojourns in Global Dubai.* Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2015.

[20] Inhorn, M. C. Local Babies, Global Science: Gender, Religion, and In Vitro Fertilization in Egypt. New York: Routledge, 2003.

[21] Inhorn, M. C. *Quest for Conception: Gender, Infertility, and Egyptian Medical Traditions.* Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 1994.

[22] Inhorn, M. C., and Frank van Balen, eds. *Infertility around the Globe: New Thinking on Childlessness, Gender, and Reproductive Technologies.* Berkeley: University of California Press, 2002.

[23] Inhorn, Marcia C. *The New Arab Man: Emergent Masculinities, Technologies, and Islam in the Middle East.* Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2012.

[24] Lincoln, Y. S., and Egon, G. G. *Naturalistic Inquiry.* Beverly Hills, CA: Sage, 1985.

[25] Lupton, D. *Medicine as Culture: Illness, Disease, and the Body in Western Societies* 3rd ed. London: Sage, 2012.

[26] Lupton, D. *Risk and Sociocultural Theory: New Directions and Perspectives.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1999.

[27] Macklin, R. *Ethics in Global Health: Research, Policy, and Practice.* New York: Oxford University Press, 2010.

[28] Marcia C. Inhorn, "Globalization and Reproductive Technologies in the Developing World," *Social Science & Medicine* 56, no. 9 (2003): 1837-1851.

[29] Mahmood, S. *Politics of Piety: The Islamic Revival and the Feminist Subject.* Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2005.

[30] Martin, E. *The Woman in the Body: A Cultural Analysis of Reproduction.* Boston: Beacon Press, 1987.

[31] Margaret Lock, *Encounters with Aging: Mythologies of Menopause in Japan and North America* Berkeley: University of California Press, 1993.

[32] Mbiti, J. S. *African Religions and Philosophy.* 2nd ed. Oxford: Heinemann, 1990.

[33] Merton, R. K. *Social Theory and Social Structure.* New York: Free Press, 1968.

[34] Morgan, L. M. and Elizabeth F. S. R. *Reproductive Governance in Latin America.* Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2012.

[35] Nisbet, R. A.*The Sociological Tradition.* New York: Basic Books, 1966.

[36] Okonofua, F. E. "Infertility and Assisted Reproductive Technology in Sub-Saharan Africa," *Reproductive Health Matters* 13, no. 25 (2005): 25-33.

[37] Patton, M. Q. *Qualitative Research and Evaluation Methods.* 4th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage, 2015.

[38] Petchesky, R. P. *Negotiating Reproductive Rights: Women's Perspectives Across Countries and Cultures.* London: Zed Books, 1995.

[39] Rajan, K. S. *Biocapital: The Constitution of Postgenomic Life.* Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2006.

[40] Rapp, R. *Testing Women, Testing the Fetus: The Social Impact of Amniocentesis in America.* New York: Routledge, 1999.

[41] Sandel, M. J. *What Money Can't Buy: The Moral Limits of Markets.* New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2012.

[42] Sandelowski, M. D. and *Desires: Gender, Technology, and American Nursing.* Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 1995.

[43] Schneider, D. M. *A Critique of the Study of Kinship.* Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 1984.

[44] Scott, J. W. *Gender and the Politics of History.* New York: Columbia University Press, 1988.

[45] Shapiro, E. Gender Circuits: Bodies and Identities in a Technological Age. 2nd ed. New York: Routledge, 2015.

[46] Silverman, D. *Doing Qualitative Research.* 4th ed. London: Sage, 2013.

[47] Stake, R. E. Qualitative Research: Studying How Things Work. New York: Guilford Press, 2010.

[48] Stake, R. E. *The Art of Case Study Research.* Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage, 1995.

[49] Strauss, A. L. and Juliet, C. *Basics of Qualitative Research: Techniques and Procedures for Developing Grounded Theory.* 2nd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage, 1998.

[50] Thompson, C. *Making Parents: The Ontological Choreography of Reproductive Technologies*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2005.

[51] Tilly, C. *Stories, Identities, and Political Change.* Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield, 2001.

[52] Van der Geest, S. and Susan, R. W. *Social Lives of Medicines.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2016.

[53] Wertz, D. C., and John C. F. *Ethics and Human Genetics: A Cross-Cultural Perspective.* Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1993.

[54] World Health Organization, Global Fertility and ART Outcomes Report Geneva: WHO Press, 2022.

Chapter in Books

[55] Guba, Egon G., and Yvonna S. Lincoln. "Competing Paradigms in Qualitative Research." In *Handbook of Qualitative Research,* edited by Norman K. Denzin and Yvonna S. Lincoln, 105–117. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage, 1994.

Journal Articles

[56] Adegbola, A. O., et al. 2020. "Infertility and Gender in Nigeria: Sociocultural Perspectives." *Journal of Reproductive Health* 15 (2): 101-113.

[57] Adepoju, A. B. "Regional Inequalities in Assisted Reproductive Care in Nigeria." African Population Studies 35 (2), (2021): 77-91.

[58] Adewumi, A. A. "Institutional Development of ART Programs in Nigerian Teaching Hospitals." *Nigerian Journal of Clinical Practice* 21 (1), (2018): 45-53.

[59] Adeyemi, A. S. and Adekanle, O. O. "The Burden of Infertility in Nigeria: Aetiologic and Demographic Factors." *Global Journal of Medical Research* 12 (5): (2012): 1–6.

[60] Adeyemi, O. and Oladokun, A. "Institutional Capacity for ART Service Delivery in Nigerian Teaching Hospitals." *African Journal of Reproductive Health* 25 (3), (2021): 79–90.

[61] Adewumi, A. A. "Institutional Development of ART Programs in Nigerian Teaching Hospitals," *Nigerian Journal of Clinical Practice* 21, no. 1 (2018): 45-53.

[62] Afolabi, O. "Public-Private Partnerships in Fertility Medicine: Lessons from Lagos." *Nigerian Health Review* 12 (2), (2021): 44-58.

[63] Aina, T. "Surrogacy and Legal Ambiguity in Nigeria: An Ethical Appraisal." African Journal of Reproductive Law and Ethics 3 (1), (2019): 22-37.

[64] Al-Faruqi, A. "Islamic Jurisprudence and Assisted Reproduction: Ethical Boundaries and Emerging Challenges." *Journal of Islamic Bioethics* 11, (2), (2020): 72-88.

[65] Aluko, L. "Digital Health and Fertility Awareness in Nigeria," African Journal of Public Health 45, no. 2 (2021): 102-118.

[66]

[67] Ajayi, M. "Pioneering IVF Practice in Nigeria: Lessons and Challenges," *Nigerian Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 28, no. 2 (2010): 67-73.

[68] Araoye, M.O. "Epidemiology of Infertility: Social Problems of the Infertile Couples." *West African Journal of Medicine* 22 (2), (2003): 190-196.

[69] Arowojolu, A. "Clinical Patterns of Infertility in South-West Nigeria," *Nigerian Medical Journal* 34, no. 4 (1998): 201-207.

[70] Ashiru, O. "Challenges in the Practice of Assisted Reproductive Technologies in Africa." *African Journal of Reproductive Health* 10, (3), (2006): 13-20.

[71] Ashiru, O. and A. O. Oloyede. "In-Vitro Fertilization in Nigeria: Progress and Prospects." *Tropical Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 18 (2), (2001): 67-72.

[72] Asika, N. *Research Methodology in the Behavioral Sciences.* Lagos: Longman, 2004.

[73] Balogun, O. "Faith, Fertility, and Modernity: Ethical Debates on Assisted Reproduction in Nigeria." *Journal of Religion and Society* 21, (2019): 44-59.

[74] Bankole, A. "Public Perceptions of Infertility and Reproductive Technologies in Nigeria." *African Population Studies* 22 (1), (2007): 77-90.

[75] Bhattacharya, Siladitya. "ART Regulation in India: Lessons from the Global South." *Asian Bioethics Review* 9 (2), (2017): 89-106.

[76] Bowen, G. A. "Document Analysis as a Qualitative Research Method." *Qualitative Research Journal* 9 (2), (2009): 27-40.

[77] Braun, Virginia, and Victoria Clarke. "Using Thematic Analysis in Psychology." *Qualitative Research in Psychology* 3 (2), (2006): 77-101.

[78] Dyer, S. J. "Male Infertility and Masculinity in Sub-Saharan Africa." *Reproductive BioMedicine and Society* 4 (1), (2017): 17-25.

[79] Dyer, S. J., et al. "Infertility in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Review of Sociodemographic and Clinical Findings." *Tropical Medicine & International Health* 15 (3), (2010): 351-358.

[80] Dyer, S.J. "International Estimates on Infertility Treatment Seeking: Potential Need and Extent of Utilization." *Fertility and Sterility* 86 (4), (2006): 684-691.

[81] Dyer, S.J. and Manjula, P. "The Economic Impact of Infertility on Women in Developing Countries: A Systematic Review." *Facts, Views and Vision in ObGyn* 4 (2), (2012): 102-109.

[82] Ebomoyi, E. W. "Ethical Issues in the Use of Assisted Reproductive Technology in Nigeria." *African Journal of Reproductive Health* 10 (3), (2006): 110-116.

[83] Edwards, R. G. "The Development of Human In Vitro Fertilization." *Science* 203 (4382), (1979): 1260-1263.

[84] European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology (ESHRE), ART Policy and Practice Guidelines 2021-2023 (Brussels: ESHRE Publications, 2023)

[85]

[86] Ezechi, O. C. and Loto, O. M. "Public Health Challenges of Assisted Conception in Nigeria," *African Journal of Reproductive Health* 16, no. 3 (2012): 115-122.

[87]

[88] Ezechi, O. C. and Loto, O. M. "Public Health Challenges of Assisted Conception in Nigeria," *African Journal of Reproductive Health* 16, no. 3 (2012): 115-122.

[89] Franklin, S. "Science as Culture, Cultures of Science." *Annual Review of Anthropology* 34, (2005): 163-184.

[90] Gerrits, T. and Silke J. D. "Ethical and Socio-Cultural Dimensions of Assisted Reproductive Technologies in Africa." *Reproductive BioMedicine* 23 (7), (2011): 846853.

[91] Grobbelaar, C. "Reproductive Autonomy and Access to ART in South Africa." *South African Medical Journal* 105 (3), (2015): 211-216.

[92] Harrison, K. and Udo, E. "Legal Frameworks for Assisted Reproduction in Nigeria: Between Regulation and Morality." *Nigerian Journal of Health Law and Policy* 8 (1), (2019): 1-19.

[93] Hollos, M. and Ulla, L. "Motherhood, Marriage and Morality: Infertility and the Social Construction of Gender in Two Nigerian Communities." *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute* 14 (3), (2008): 687-703.

[94] Hollos, M., Ulla Larsen, and Oka, O. "The Problem of Infertility in High Fertility Populations: Meanings and Consequences of Infertility in Two Nigerian Communities." Social Science & Medicine 68 (11), (2009): 2061-2068.

[95] Hollos, Marida, and Bruce W. "The Cultural Construction of Infertility in Sub-Saharan Africa." *Social Science & Medicine* 103, (2014): 18-24.

[96] Hughes, E. G., and Giacomini, G. "In Vitro Fertilization and Multiple Pregnancies." *New England Journal of Medicine* 345 (1), (2001): 67-70.

[97] Inhorn, M. C. "He Won't Be My Son: Middle Eastern Masculinities and the Contested Meaning of Adoption." *Medical Anthropology Quarterly* 20 (1), (2006): 94-120.

[98] Inhorn, M. C. "Reproductive Disruptions and Assisted Reproductive Technologies in the Muslim Middle East." *Journal of Middle East Women's Studies* 3 (3), (2007): 19-45.

[99] International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO), "Ethical Guidelines on Assisted Reproductive Technology." London: FIGO Committee for the Study of Ethical Aspects of Human Reproduction, 2018.

[100] Johnson, K "Medical Ethics and the Commercialization of Fertility in Africa." *Bioethics* 33 (2), (2019): 87-96.

[101] Journal of African Reproductive Medicine, "Technological Innovation and Collaboration in African Fertility Centers," vol. 4 (2023): 55-73.

[102] Khalaf, Yacoub. "Assisted Reproductive Technology: Trends and Challenges." *Reproductive BioMedicine* 22 (2), (2011): 1-8.

[103] Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH). 2000. Annual Bulletin on Reproductive Health Services. Lagos: LUTH Archives, 2000.

[104] Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH). Annual Report of the Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology. Lagos: LUTH Press, 1984.

[105] Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH). Internal Review of Assisted Reproductive Services (1984-2010). Lagos: LUTH Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2010.

[106] Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH). LUTH Annual Statistical Report: ART Unit. Lagos: LUTH Reproductive Medicine Centre, 2020.

[107] Makinde, O. "Socio-Ethical Challenges of Assisted Reproductive Technologies in Nigeria." *Nigerian Journal of Bioethics* 4 (1), (2016): 22-37.

[108] Martin, E. "The Egg and the Sperm: How Science Has Constructed a Romance Based on Stereotypical Male-Female Roles." *Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society* 16 (3), (2001): 485-501.

[109] Millbank, J. "Rethinking Surrogacy Laws: Comparative Perspectives." *Medical Law Review* 22 (3), (2014): 331-355.

[110] Moyo, T. "Cultural and Ethical Dilemmas in Assisted Reproduction among African Couples." *African Journal of Bioethics* 5 (2): (2020): 59-71.

[111] Muteshi, P. et al., "Equitable Access to Assisted Reproduction in Sub-Saharan Africa: Challenges and Policy Directions," *African Health Sciences* 21, no. 3 (2021): 184-198

[112] National Population Commission (NPC). Nigeria Demographic and Health Survey 2018. Abuja: NPC, 2018.

[113] National Reproductive Health Policy, National Guidelines for Fertility Care and Assisted Reproduction. Abuja: Federal Ministry of Health, 2017.

[114] Ntoimo, L. F. C. and Isiugo-Abanihe, U. C. "Gender, Culture, and Assisted Reproduction in Nigeria," *International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics* 158, no. 1 (2022): 132-136.

[115] Ntoimo, Lorretta F. C., and Isiugo-Abanihe, A. C. "Patriarchy and Singlehood among Women in Lagos, Nigeria." *Journal of Family Issues* 35 (14), (2014): 1980-2008.

[116] Nyblade, Laura, and Anne-Kathrin Mehus. "Addressing Infertility Stigma in Sub-Saharan Africa." *Social Science and Medicine* 222, (2019): 18-26.

[117] Obasohan, Phillips E. "Socio-Demographic Factors of Infertility in Nigeria." African Population Studies 29 (2), (2015): 1571-1585.

[118] Obuna, J. A. "Public Perception of Assisted Reproductive Technologies among Nigerian Couples." *Nigerian Journal of Reproductive Health* 24 (3), (2020): 41-54.

[119] Odimegwu, Clifford, and Samuel Fayehun "Fertility Transition and Family Structures in Nigeria." *Population Review* 56 (2), (2017): 65-84.

[120] Okonofua, F. E. "Assisted Reproduction in Africa: A Developmental Perspective." *Reproductive Health Matters* 11, (21), (2003): 57-64.

[121] Okonofua, F. E. "Infertility and Women's Reproductive Health in Africa." *African Journal of Reproductive Health* 1 (1), (1997): 7-10.

[122] Okonofua, F. E. and Adeyemi, O. D. "Access to Assisted Reproductive Technologies in Developing Countries." *Gynecology & Obstetrics Research* 3 (4), (2014): 89-96.

[123] Okonofua, F. E. "Infertility and Assisted Reproductive Technology in Sub-Saharan Africa," *Reproductive Health Matters* 13, no. 25 (2005): 25-33.Okpara, J. O. "Ethical Review Procedures in Nigerian Teaching Hospitals: A Case Study of LUTH." *Nigerian Health Research Ethics Journal* 6 (2), (2018): 13-25.

[124] Okohue, J. E., Omo-Aghoja, T. A. and Okonofua, A. A. "ART Practice in Nigeria: Past, Present and Future," *Nigerian Journal of Clinical Practice* 24, no. 2 (2021): 157-163.

[125] Ombelet, W. "Global Access to Infertility Care in Developing Countries: A Review." *Reproductive BioMedicine* 26 (2), (2013): 179-183.

[126] Ombelet, Willem, and Glenn M. Pennings. "Ethical and Legal Aspects of Affordable ART." *Facts, Views and Vision in ObGyn* 4 (1), (2012): 25-33.

[127] Oni, Grace, and Tolu Ajayi. "ART and the Future of Family in Nigeria: A Sociological Assessment." *African Sociological Review* 25 (1), (2021): 77-95.

[128] Onwuzuruigbo, I. "Reproductive Autonomy and the Nigerian Family: A Gendered Analysis." *Journal of Contemporary African Studies* 36 (4), (2018): 455-470.

[129] Osemwenkha, S. O. "Ethical Dilemmas and Religious Perspectives on ART in Nigeria." African Journal of Reproductive Health 18 (2), (2014): 15-24.

[130] Orhue, A. A. "Assisted Reproduction in Nigeria: Progress and Prospects," *African Journal of Reproductive Health* 19, no. 4 (2015): 17-27.

[131] Parker, M. "ART and the African Patient: Social Change and Medical Transformation." *Medical Anthropology Quarterly* 30 (1), (2016): 45-62.

[132] Pennings, G. "Ethical Issues of Infertility Treatment in Developing Countries." *Human Reproduction* 23, (7), (2008): 1608-1611.

[133] Qadeer, I. "The Market in Reproductive Health: Global Trends and Challenges." *Development* 52 (2), (2009): 195-200.

[134] Rashid, Shireen. "Religious and Ethical Controversies Surrounding Assisted Reproduction in Islam." *Journal of Islamic Bioethics* 10 (3), (2018): 41-56.

[135] Rosenfield, A. "The Globalization of Reproductive Health." *American Journal of Public Health* 84 (1), (1994): 7-9.

[136] Singh, A. "Assisted Reproductive Technology and the Law in India: Challenges of Implementation." *Indian Journal of Medical Ethics* 2 (4), (2017): 189-195.

[137] South African Department of Health, Assisted Reproductive Technology Regulations (Pretoria: Government Printer, 2012).

[138] Tangwa, G. B. "Bioethics in a Pluralistic World: Challenges and Prospects for Africa." *Developing World Bioethics* 17 (3), (2017): 130-138.

[139] Tangwa, G. B. "Ethical and Cultural Dimensions of Assisted Reproduction in Africa," *Developing World Bioethics* 7, no. 1 (2007): 26-34.

[140] Tangwa, G. B. "Ethical Principles in African Traditional Medicine." *Bioethics* 24 (7), (2010): 361-369.

[141] Tanyi, P. L. "Access to Fertility Treatment in Cameroon: Socio-Economic and Ethical Concerns." *African Journal of Reproductive Health* 17 (4), (2013): 89-98.

[142] United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA). 2019. State of World Population 2019: Unfinished Business-The Pursuit of Rights and Choices for All. New York: UNFPA.

[143] United Nations. 2015. Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. New York: United Nations.

[144] Van B. F. and Marcia, C. I. "Interpreting Infertility: A View from the Social Sciences." *Reproductive BioMedicine* 7, (3), (2003): 339-348.

[145] Vayena, E., Patrick, J. R. and David, G. eds. Current Practices and Controversies in Assisted Reproduction: Report of a WHO Meeting. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2002.

[146] Vayena, E., Patrick, J. R. and Pierre, T. N. "Assisted Reproductive Technology in Developing Countries: Needs and Challenges." *Human Reproduction Update* 20 (2), (2014): 211-226.

[147] World Health Organization (WHO). 2010. WHO Laboratory Manual for the Examination and Processing of Human Semen. 5th ed. Geneva: WHO Press.

[148] World Health Organization (WHO). 2018. International Glossary on Infertility and Fertility Care, 2017. Fertility and Sterility 108 (3): 393-406.

[149] World Health Organization (WHO). 2020. Infertility: Definitions and Terminology. Geneva: WHO Press.

[150] Zegers-Hochschild, F. et al. "The International Committee for Monitoring Assisted Reproductive Technologies (ICMART) Consensus." *Human Reproduction* 32 (9), (2017): 1786-1801.

[151] Zhang, E. Y. *The Impotence Epidemic: Men's Medicine and Sexual Desire in Contemporary China.* Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2017.

[152] Zola, I. K. "Medicine as an Institution of Social Control." *Sociological Review* 20 (4), (1972): 487-504.

Primary and Unpublished Sources

[153] Adebayo, T. 2024. Oral Interview on the Establishment of ART Services at LUTH. Interview conducted by the researcher, Lagos, March 15, 2024.

[154] Adegoke, M. 2024. Personal Communication on Ethical Protocols in ART Practice. Lagos University Teaching Hospital, April 2, 2024.

[155] Akinola, F. 2024. Interview on Early IVF Experiments and Institutional Challenges (1980s–1990s). Lagos University Teaching Hospital, February 27, 2024.

[156] Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH). 1984. Minutes of the ART Founding Committee Meeting. Unpublished manuscript, LUTH Archives, Lagos.

[157] Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH). 1990. Staff Training and Equipment Procurement Report. Unpublished internal document, LUTH Archives, Lagos.

[158] Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH). 1995. Quarterly Reports on Fertility Research Activities. Unpublished report, LUTH Archives, Lagos.

[159] Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH). 2005. Internal Evaluation of ART Program Performance (1984-2004). Unpublished internal document, LUTH Archives, Lagos.

[160] Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH). 2015. Strategic Plan for Reproductive Medicine Expansion (2015-2025). Unpublished institutional report, LUTH, Lagos.

[161] Ethics and Research Committee, Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH). 2019. Guidelines on Consent and Ethical Oversight in ART Procedures. Unpublished institutional manual, LUTH, Lagos.

[162] Federal Ministry of Health (Nigeria). 2022. National Reproductive Health Ethics Policy Draft. Unpublished government report, Abuja.

[163] Field Observation Notes. 2023. Observation Records from ART Laboratory and Patient Consultation Sessions. Researcher's field journal, Lagos University Teaching Hospital, March-June 2023.

[164] Martins, Nurse. Oral interview by the author. Lagos University Teaching Hospital, October 10, 2025

[165] Oluwole, K. 2024. Interview on Legal and Policy Frameworks Regulating ART in Nigeria. Lagos, April 10, 2024.

[166] Onwudiwe, E. 2024. Personal Communication on Patient Counselling and Cultural Sensitivities in ART Practice. Lagos University Teaching Hospital, April 18, 2024.

[167] Researcher's Field Journal. 2023-2024. Notes from Archival Visits, Staff Interviews, and Document Analysis at LUTH. Unpublished manuscript, researcher's collection.